



RESOLUTION 072-2022

OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF EL DORADO

Adopting the El Dorado County General Plan Traffic Impact Fee (TIF) Program 2022 Annual TIF Schedule

WHEREAS, the County Board of Supervisors has long recognized the need for new development to help fund the roadway, bridge, and transit improvements necessary to serve that new development; and

WHEREAS, starting in 1984 and continuing until the present time, the Board of Supervisors has adopted and updated various fee resolutions to ensure that new development on the western slope pay its fair share of the costs of improving the County and state roadways necessary to serve that new development; and

WHEREAS, General Plan Measure TC-B requires the County to adopt a traffic impact fee program and to update the program annually for changes in project costs; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with those General Plan requirements and implementation measure, Ordinance No. 5045 (El Dorado County Code Chapter 12.28) provided that said fees shall be adjusted annually by an increase or decrease in actual project costs (accomplished by updating cost estimates using actual construction costs of ongoing and completed projects and the most current cost estimates for those projects that are far enough along in the project development cycle to have project cost estimates) or pursuant to the Engineering News-Record Building Cost Index, as appropriate; and

WHEREAS, with the adoption of Board Resolution 196-2020, the Board adopted the now named Traffic Impact Fee (TIF) Program in lieu of the Traffic Impact Mitigation (TIM) Fee Program; and

WHEREAS, project costs have been updated as required by Ordinance No. 5144, resulting in revisions to the TIF schedule as shown on Exhibit A hereto; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors held a duly noticed public hearing during which updates to the fee schedule were studied and reviewed and the Board of Supervisors thereafter made the following findings in support of the updates to the fee schedule:

Government Code Section 66001(a)(1): Identify the purpose of the fee.

The purpose of the TIF is to fund capital transportation/circulation improvements which are related directly to the incremental traffic/vehicle burden imposed upon the County's transportation/circulation system by new development in the unincorporated west slope of El Dorado County through 2040. The TIF and TIF program are an implementation measure, as required by Implementation Measure TC-B of the 2004 General Plan adopted by the County Board of Supervisors: "2004 El Dorado County General Plan: A Plan for Managed Growth and Open Road; A Plan for Quality Neighborhoods and Traffic Relief." The TIF program addresses the need to fund a road system capable of achieving the traffic level of service standards of the County's General Plan. Transportation improvements funded by the TIF include future improvements as well as improvements already installed which are subject to reimbursement agreements. Improvements included in the TIF program are necessary to accommodate new development; such improvements include, but are not limited to, new local roads, local road upgrades and widenings, signalization and intersection improvements, operational and safety improvements, Highway 50 improvements, and bridge replacement and rehabilitation. The TIF advances a legitimate County interest by enabling the County to provide infrastructure to new development and to require new development to pay its fair share.

Government Code Section 66001(a)(2): Identify the use to which the fee is to be put. If the use is financing public facilities, the facilities shall be identified. That identification may, but need not, be made by reference to a capital improvement plan as specified in Section 65403 or 66002, may be made in applicable general or specific plan requirements, or may be made in other public documents that identify the public facilities for which the fee is charged.

The fee is to be used to fund transportation/circulation improvements necessary to accommodate new development in the unincorporated west slope of El Dorado County through 2040 as contemplated by the General Plan, including future improvements as well as improvements already installed which are subject to reimbursement agreements. The TIF will fund new local roads, local road upgrades and widenings, signalization and intersection improvements, operational and safety improvements, Highway 50 improvements, bridge replacement and rehabilitation, transit improvements in accordance with the El Dorado County Transit Authority's Capital Improvement Program (CIP), and costs associated with ongoing program, staff, and consultant costs for annual updates, major updates, and ongoing administration related to the TIF Program. The County's CIP, which is updated and adopted annually, identifies every project to be funded by the TIF and includes the following information for each project: detailed cash pro-formas which show all revenues by funding source and all expenditures per fiscal year; a current year work program; a future work program broken down into five year, ten year, and twenty year timeframes; and additional details for each capital project, including project description, a financing plan, and tentative schedule.

Government Code Section 66001(a)(3): Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the fee's use and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.

There is a reasonable relationship between the fee's use and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed as set forth in the following documents, all of which are incorporated into this resolution as if fully set forth herein:

- The *El Dorado County Traffic Impact Fee (TIF) Program Update Nexus & Funding Model* (Nexus Study) prepared by Urban Economic, DKS Associates and Kimley-Horn, December 8, 2020. The cost estimates for projects underway in the Nexus Study were updated by County staff for the 2022 TIF Program Annual Update, dated May 17, 2022, and the updated project costs are attached as Exhibit C.
- The most currently adopted El Dorado County *Capital Improvement Program*.
- The 2016 Programmatic Environmental Impact Report for the *Western Slope Roadway Capital Improvement Program and Traffic Impact Mitigation Fee Program for El Dorado County*, certified on December 6, 2016.
- The *Western Slope Roadway Capital Improvement Program and Traffic Impact Mitigation Fee Program for El Dorado County Addendum to the Environmental Impact Report*, March 2018.

There is a reasonable relationship between the TIF's use and the type of development projects on which the fee is imposed because the transportation/circulation facilities funded by the TIF are needed to accommodate and mitigate the incremental new traffic/vehicle burdens generated by the development of new commercial, industrial, and residential uses upon which the fee is imposed. (See documents cited above.) There is a reasonable relationship between the need for the transportation/circulation facilities and the development of new commercial, industrial, and residential projects upon which the fee is imposed because the new development projects paying the fee will receive a direct benefit from the transportation/circulation facilities funded by the fee; the transportation/circulation facilities funded by the fee will increase traffic/vehicle circulation capacity on streets and highways directly burdened by the increase in traffic/vehicles generated by new development projects upon which the fee is charged.

Government Code Section 66001(a)(4): Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the need for the public facility and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.

There is reasonable relationship between the need for the public facility and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed as set forth in the following documents, all of which are incorporated into this resolution as if fully set forth herein:

- The *El Dorado County Traffic Impact Fee (TIF) Program Update Nexus & Funding Model* (Nexus Study) prepared by Urban Economics, DKS Associates and Kimley-Horn, December 8, 2020. The cost

estimates for projects underway in the Nexus Study were updated by County staff for the 2022 TIF Program Annual Update, dated May 17, 2022, and the updated project costs are attached as Exhibit C.

- The most currently adopted El Dorado County *Capital Improvement Program*.
- The 2016 Programmatic Environmental Impact Report for the *Western Slope Roadway Capital Improvement Program and Traffic Impact Mitigation Fee Program for El Dorado County*, certified on December 6, 2016.
- The *Western Slope Roadway Capital Improvement Program and Traffic Impact Mitigation Fee Program for El Dorado County Addendum to the Environmental Impact Report*, March 2018.

There is a reasonable relationship between the need for the public facility and the type of development projects on which the fee is imposed because the transportation/circulation facilities funded by the TIF are needed to accommodate and mitigate the incremental new traffic/vehicle burdens generated by the development including those from new commercial, industrial, and residential uses upon which the fee is imposed. (See documents cited above.) There is a reasonable relationship between the need for the transportation/circulation facilities and the development of projects including new commercial, industrial, and residential projects upon which the fee is imposed because the new development projects paying the fee will receive a direct benefit from the transportation/circulation facilities funded by the fee; the transportation/circulation facilities funded by the fee will increase traffic/vehicle circulation capacity on streets and highways directly burdened by the increase in traffic/vehicles generated by new development projects upon which the fee is charged.

The previously adopted Nexus Study provides a thorough analysis of the required transportation facilities to be improved as a result of development and provides information of the fair share analysis and fees required by TIF Zone that is further broken down by development type. The TIF Program Schedule Resolution, which may be amended from time to time, provides the most current TIF rates per development type by TIF Zone.

WHEREAS, the collection process for improvement of roadways and intersections is set forth in Ordinance No. 5144 and in the TIM Fee Administrative Manual, adopted on January 24, 2017 by Resolution 001-2017.

THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED,

- A. The Board of Supervisors hereby adopts the updated General Plan TIF Program fee schedule as shown in the attached Exhibit A, which shall become effective sixty (60) days following adoption of this Resolution, and the updated project costs as shown in the attached Exhibit C; and
- B. A map of the TIF Zones is provided in Exhibit B; and
- C. Applicants shall pay the TIF rate in effect at the time of building permit issuance or at the time of approval of an application for a change in the use of a building or property as provided in County Code Chapter 12.28 and the TIF Administrative Manual.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of El Dorado at a regular meeting of said Board, held the 17th day of May 2022, by the following vote of said Board:

Attest:
Kim Dawson
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Ayes: Parlin, Thomas, Hidahl, Turnboo, Novasel
Noes: None
Absent: None

By: 
Clerk


Chair, Board of Supervisors
Lori Parlin

Table 1: Hwy 50 TIF Schedule - 2022 Update

Land Use	EDU Rate ¹	Fee Basis	Zone A	Zone B	Zone C
		Original Zones >>	1,4,5,6,7	2,3	8
Residential		Cost per EDU ¹ >>	2,400	8,793	2,269
SFD Not Age Restricted					
Less than 1,000 SqFt	0.82	Dwelling Unit	1,968	7,210	1,860
1,000 to 1,499 SqFt	0.89	Dwelling Unit	2,136	7,826	2,019
1,500 to 1,999 SqFt	0.95	Dwelling Unit	2,280	8,353	2,155
2,000 to 2,999 SqFt	1.00	Dwelling Unit	2,400	8,793	2,269
3,000 to 3,999 SqFt	1.06	Dwelling Unit	2,544	9,320	2,405
4,000 SqFt or more	1.10	Dwelling Unit	2,640	9,672	2,496
MFD Not Age Restricted	0.57	Dwelling Unit	1,368	5,012	1,293
SFD Age Restricted	0.30	Dwelling Unit	NA	2,638	681
MFD Age Restricted	0.26	Dwelling Unit	NA	2,286	590
Nonresidential		Cost per EDU ¹ >>	485	1,815	275
General Commercial	1.55	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	0.75	2.81	0.43
Hotel/Motel/B&B	0.28	Room	136	508	77
Church	0.25	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	0.12	0.45	0.07
Office/Medical	1.28	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	0.62	2.32	0.35
Industrial/Warehouse	0.51	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	0.25	0.93	0.14

¹ "EDU" (equivalent dwelling unit) equals the demand placed on the transportation network relative to one single family detached dwelling unit. EDU factors are expressed per dwelling unit for residential development, per room for hotel/motel/B&B, and per 1,000 square feet for all other nonresidential development.

Table 2: Local Roads TIF Schedule - 2022 Update

Land Use	EDU Rate ¹	Fee Basis	Zone A	Zone B	Zone C			Silva Valley IC Share
					Total	Silva Valley IC	Other Local	
		Original Zones >>	1,4,5,6,7	2,3		8		
Residential		Cost per EDU ¹ >>	9,088	19,422	32,139	9,642	22,497	30%
SFD Not Age Restricted								
Less than 1,000 SqFt	0.82	Dwelling Unit	7,452	15,926	26,354	7,906	18,448	20,023
1,000 to 1,499 SqFt	0.89	Dwelling Unit	8,088	17,285	28,604	8,581	20,022	
1,500 to 1,999 SqFt	0.95	Dwelling Unit	8,634	18,451	30,532	9,160	21,372	10,220
2,000 to 2,999 SqFt	1.00	Dwelling Unit	9,088	19,422	32,139	9,642	22,497	
3,000 to 3,999 SqFt	1.06	Dwelling Unit	9,633	20,587	34,067	10,221	23,847	
4,000 SqFt or more	1.10	Dwelling Unit	9,997	21,364	35,353	10,606	24,747	
MFD Not Age Restricted	0.57	Dwelling Unit	5,180	11,070	18,319	5,496	12,823	
SFD Age Restricted	0.30	Dwelling Unit	NA	5,827	9,642	2,893	6,749	
MFD Age Restricted	0.26	Dwelling Unit	NA	5,050	8,356	2,507	5,849	
Nonresidential		Cost per EDU ¹ >>	797	3,684	7,322	2,197 2,196	5,125 5,126	30%
General Commercial	1.55	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	1.24	5.71	11.35	3.41 3.40	7.94	
Hotel/Motel/B&B	0.28	Room	223	1,032	2,050	615	1,435	
Church	0.25	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	0.20	0.92	1.83	0.55	1.28	
Office/Medical	1.28	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	1.02	4.71	9.36	2.81	6.55	
Industrial/Warehouse	0.51	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	0.41	1.88	3.73	1.12	2.61	


¹ "EDU" (equivalent dwelling unit) equals the demand placed on the transportation network relative to one single family detached dwelling unit. EDU factors are expressed per dwelling unit for residential development, per room for hotel/motel/B&B, and per 1,000 square feet for all other nonresidential development.

Table 3: Total TIF Schedule - 2022 Update

Land Use	EDU Rate ¹	Fee Basis	Zone A	Zone B	Zone C
		<i>Original Zones</i> >>	1,4,5,6,7	2,3	8
Residential		<i>Cost per EDU¹</i> >>	11,488	28,215	34,408
SFD Not Age Restricted					
Less than 1,000 SqFt	0.82	Dwelling Unit	9,420	23,136	28,214
1,000 to 1,499 SqFt	0.89	Dwelling Unit	10,224	25,111	30,623
1,500 to 1,999 SqFt	0.95	Dwelling Unit	10,914	26,804	32,687
2,000 to 2,999 SqFt	1.00	Dwelling Unit	11,488	28,215	34,408
3,000 to 3,999 SqFt	1.06	Dwelling Unit	12,177	29,907	36,472
4,000 SqFt or more	1.10	Dwelling Unit	12,637	31,036	37,849
MFD Not Age Restricted	0.57	Dwelling Unit	6,548	16,082	19,612
SFD Age Restricted	0.30	Dwelling Unit	NA	8,465	10,323
MFD Age Restricted	0.26	Dwelling Unit	NA	7,336	8,946
Nonresidential		<i>Cost per EDU¹</i> >>	1,282	5,500	7,597
General Commercial	1.55	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	1.99	8.52	11.78
Hotel/Motel/B&B	0.28	Room	359	1,540	2,127
Church	0.25	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	0.32	1.37	1.90
Office/Medical	1.28	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	1.64	7.03	9.71
Industrial/Warehouse	0.51	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	0.66	2.81	3.87
¹ "EDU" (equivalent dwelling unit) equals the demand placed on the transportation network relative to one single family detached dwelling unit. EDU factors are expressed per dwelling unit for residential development, per room for hotel/motel/B&B, and per 1,000 square feet for all other nonresidential development.					



Map prepared in State Plane Coordinate System
(NAD 1983 California Zone 2, feet)



Legend

TIF Zone Boundaries

- TIF Zone A
 - formerly 1 - Grizzly Flat / Quintette / West of Echo Summit
 - formerly 4 - Coloma / Cool / Georgetown
 - formerly 5 - Placerville / Camino / Pollock Pines
 - formerly 6 - Pleasant Valley
 - formerly 7 - Fairplay / Latrobe / Mt Aukum
- TIF Zone B
 - formerly 2 - Cameron Park / Shingle Springs
 - formerly 3 - El Dorado / Diamond Springs
- TIF Zone C
 - formerly 8 - El Dorado Hills

- Parcels
- Cities
- Rivers & Creeks
- Lakes
- Major Roads
- US Highway
- State Routes

EXHIBIT B

Adopted Traffic Impact Fee Zones With Parcel Boundaries County of El Dorado State of California

EXHIBIT B
THIS MAP IS THE PROPERTY OF THE COUNTY OF EL DORADO AND IS LOANED TO YOU FOR YOUR INFORMATION ONLY. IT IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL, INCLUDING PHOTOCOPYING, RECORDING, OR BY ANY INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE COUNTY OF EL DORADO.
LATEST INFORMATION MAY COVER ADDITIONAL ADOPTED ZONES OF THE COUNTY OF EL DORADO. DATE: 1/1/2009
MAP PREPARED BY: JIM ANDERSON, DATE: 1/1/2009

Table 6: Bridge Replacement Projects

River	Crossing	CIP Account	2021 Cost	Inflation Adjustment (2021 - 2022) ¹	Proposed 2022 CIP Adjustment ²	Updated Cost
Indian Creek	Green Valley Rd	Zones B	\$ 5,663,000	\$ -	\$ 282,000	\$ 5,945,000
Mound Springs Creek	Green Valley Rd	Zones B	\$ 6,226,000	\$ -	\$ 334,000	\$ 6,560,000
Weber Creek	Green Valley Rd	Zones B	\$ 11,942,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,942,000
South Fork American River	Salmon Falls Rd	Zone C	\$ 25,000,000	\$ 3,750,000	\$ -	\$ 28,750,000
Weber Creek	Cedar Ravine Rd	Zones A	\$ 3,248,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,248,000
Carson Creek	White Rock Rd	Zone C	\$ 5,050,000	\$ 757,500	\$ -	\$ 5,807,500
North Fork Cosumnes River Mt. Aukum Rd		Zones A	\$ 5,050,000	\$ 757,500	\$ -	\$ 5,807,500
North Fork Cosumnes River Bucks Bar Rd		Zones A	\$ 9,165,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,165,000
South Fork Weber Creek	Newtown Rd	Zones A	\$ 5,954,000	\$ -	\$ 702,000	\$ 6,656,000
New York Creek	Malcolm Dixon Rc	Zone C	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 675,000	\$ -	\$ 5,175,000
Total						\$ 89,056,000
New Development Share³						11.47%
TIF Program Share						\$ 10,215,000

¹ Inflation adjustment is 15% based on the Engineering News Record Building Cost Index between November 2020 and January 2022.

² Project cost adjustments were provided by the Department of Transportation and based on the 2021 CIP.

³ Development share based on federal funding for 88.53 percent of total costs. Developer share is less than could be allocated based on growth as a share of total development at the planning horizon (see Table 5).

Sources: County of El Dorado.

Table 7: Intersection and Safety Improvements

Type of Deficiency	Location	Cost per Intersection ¹	Inflation Adjustment	2022 Cost per Intersection	New Development Share ²	New Development Cost per Intersection	Number of Projects	Cost
Tier 1 - Existing Deficiency								
Intersections	To Be Determined	\$ 2,200,000	\$ 330,000	\$ 2,530,000	13.41%	\$ 339,273	2	\$ 679,000
Safety Improvements	To Be Determined	\$ 1,260,000	\$ 189,000	\$ 1,449,000	13.41%	\$ 194,311	10	\$ 1,943,000
Tier 2 - Future Deficiency								
Intersections	To Be Determined	\$ 2,200,000	\$ 330,000	\$ 2,530,000	100.00%	\$ 2,530,000	16	\$ 40,480,000
Intersections	Cameron Park Dr / Hacienda Rd ³	\$ 500,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 575,000	100.00%	\$ 575,000	1	\$ 575,000
Intersections	El Dorado Hill Blvd/Saratoga Way/Park Dr ⁴	\$ 3,000,000	\$ -	\$ 3,000,000	100.00%	\$ 3,000,000	1	\$ 3,000,000
Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) Program								
ITS Elements ⁵	To Be Determined	\$ 9,766,000	\$ -	\$ 9,766,000	100.00%	\$ 9,766,000	1	\$ 9,766,000
TIF Program Share								\$ 56,443,000

¹ Intersection costs based on \$350,000 for signal equipment plus \$1,850,000 for channelization and other costs. Includes intelligent transportation systems (ITS). Safety improvements based on actual costs for seven safety-focused projects completed between 2001 and 2016, adjusted for inflation.
² For existing deficiencies, TIF program share is equal to new development as a share of total development at the planning horizon (see Table 5).
³ For signal equipment only.
⁴ Planning-level estimate provided by the design engineer
⁵ Includes ITS elements listed in the El Dorado Hills Project List

Sources: County of El Dorado; Table 4.

Table 8: Transit Capital Projects

Capital Project	Source	Unit No.	Unit Cost	2021 Total Cost	Inflation Adjustment	2022 Total Cost	New Development Share ¹	TIF Program Share
Bus Stop Improvements	Short-range Capital Plan			\$ 13,000	\$ 1,950	\$ 14,950	13.41%	\$ 2,000
Missouri Flat Transfer Point Expansion	Short-range Capital Plan			\$ 310,000	\$ 47,000	\$ 357,000	100.00%	\$ 357,000
Cambridge Park-and-Ride Improvements	Short-range Capital Plan			\$ 200,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 230,000	13.41%	\$ 31,000
Operations and Maintenance Facility Improvements	Short-range Capital Plan			\$ 40,000	\$ 6,000	\$ 46,000	13.41%	\$ 6,000
Fleet Expansion								
Paratransit Van	Short-range Capital Plan	1	\$ 67,000	\$ 67,000	\$ 10,050	\$ 77,050		
Dial-A-Ride Vans	Long-range Capital Plan	5	\$ 42,000	\$ 210,000	\$ 31,500	\$ 241,500		
Local Route Buses	Long-range Capital Plan	2	\$ 504,000	\$ 1,008,000	\$ 151,200	\$ 1,159,200		
Subtotal				\$ 1,285,000	\$ 192,750	\$ 1,477,750	100.00%	\$ 1,478,000
Cambridge Park-and-Ride Improvements	Long-range Capital Plan			\$ 2,725,000	\$ 409,000	\$ 3,134,000	13.41%	\$ 420,000
County Line Transit Center	Long-range Capital Plan							
Land				\$ 1,406,000	\$ -	\$ 1,406,000		
Construction				\$ 7,117,000	\$ 1,068,000	\$ 8,185,000		
Subtotal				\$ 8,523,000	\$ 1,068,000	\$ 9,591,000	13.41%	\$ 1,286,000
Total				\$ 13,096,000	\$ 1,754,700	\$ 14,850,700		\$ 3,580,000

Notes:

Costs do not include planned transition to zero emission vehicle fleet.

Costs exclude projects within the City of Placerville.

Bass Lake Hills Park and Ride improvements are anticipated to be funded directly by nearby development projects.

¹ For capital projects not directly related to growth, TIF program share is equal to new development at the planning horizon (see Table 5).

Sources: El Dorado County Transportation Commission, *Western El Dorado County 2019 Short and Long Range Transit Plan*, prepared by LSC Consultants, Inc. November 20, 2019, pp. 165-167, 173-174; El Dorado Transit staff (for fleet vehicles and County Line Transit Center cost estimates); Table 4 (this model).

Table 9: Program Administration

Task	Unit Cost	Frequency	Total 20-yr. Program	
			Units	Cost
Annual program updates ¹	51,750	Annually	20	1,035,000
Major program updates	1,150,000	Every 5 Years	4	4,600,000
Travel demand model updates	379,500	Every 5 Years	4	1,518,000
Total				7,153,000

¹ Includes periodic minor technical (transportation analysis) updates.

Sources: County of El Dorado.

Table 10: TIF Program Costs

Map ID	CIP Acct.	Project No.	Project Name	Total Cost	Prior Year Funding ¹	Future Local Funding ²	2021 Net Cost	Inflation Adjustment	Proposed 2022 CIP Adjustment	2022 Net Cost
Hwy 50 Interchanges Projects										
A-1	Hwy 50 Blackstone	53115/36104021	Auxiliary Lane Westbound	3,100,000	10,000	\$ -	\$ 3,090,000	463,000		\$ 3,553,000
			Subtotal	\$ 3,100,000	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$ 3,090,000	\$ 463,000	\$ -	\$ 3,553,000
I-1	Zone C	71323/36104001	El Dorado Hills Blvd	12,637,000	584,000	\$ -	\$ 12,053,000		\$ (906,000)	\$ 11,147,000
I-2	Sierra Valley IC	71345/36104004	Sierra Valley Pkwy-Phases 1&2	10,808,000	143,000	-	\$ 10,665,000		973,000	\$ 11,638,000
I-3	Hwy 50 Zones A-C	71368/36104003	Bass Lake Rd	5,417,000	22,000	466,265	\$ 4,928,735	809,000	-	\$ 5,738,000
I-4	Hwy 50 Zones A-C	71332/36104006	Cambridge Rd	9,665,000	39,000	-	\$ 9,626,000	1,443,914		\$ 11,070,000
I-5	Hwy 50 Zones A-C	72361/36104007	Cameron Park Dr	22,837,000	1,441,000	-	\$ 21,396,000	3,190,000	(29,000)	\$ 24,557,000
I-6	Hwy 50 Zones A-C	71333/36104010	Ponderosa Rd/S Shingle Rd	22,073,000	118,000	-	\$ 21,955,000		(10,000)	\$ 21,945,000
I-7	Hwy 50 Zones A-C	71338/36104008	El Dorado Rd	5,782,000	181,000	-	\$ 5,601,000	840,000		\$ 6,441,000
		71339/36104009	Subtotal	\$ 89,219,000	\$ 2,528,000	\$ 466,265	\$ 84,110,000	\$ 6,282,914	\$ 28,000	\$ 92,536,000
		71347/36104011	Subtotal	\$ 4,110,000	\$ 217,000	\$ -	\$ 3,893,000		\$ -	\$ 3,893,000
Roadway Improvements										
R-1	Zone B	72143/36105004	Cameron Park Dr Widening				Under Construction - See Table 14			
R-2	Zone C	72376/36105013	Green Valley Rd Widening	10,941,000	-	-	\$ 10,941,000		5,732,000	\$ 16,673,000
R-3	Zone C	GP178/36105018	Green Valley Rd Widening	9,467,000	5,000	-	\$ 9,462,000	1,419,000		\$ 10,881,000
R-4	Zone C	72374/36105042	White Rock Rd Widening	7,629,000	-	-	\$ 7,629,000	1,144,000		\$ 8,773,000
R-5	Zone B	72142/36105027	Missouri Flat Rd							
R-6	Zone C	GP147/36105035	Saratoga Way Extension-Phs 2	13,282,000	-	-	\$ 13,282,000			\$ 13,282,000
R-7	Zone C	72377/36105007	Country Club Dr Extension	21,190,000	-	-	\$ 21,190,000	3,141,000	(50,000)	\$ 24,281,000
R-8	Zone C	71362/36105008	Country Club Dr Extension	11,703,000	-	-	\$ 11,703,000	1,699,000	(175,000)	\$ 13,227,000
R-9	Zone C	71367/36105009	Country Club Dr Extension	17,923,000	-	-	\$ 17,923,000	2,658,000		\$ 20,581,000
R-10	Zone B	71360/36105010	Country Club Dr Realignment							
Construction Completed - See Reimbursement Agmts & Table 14										
R-11	Zone B	72334/36105011	Diamond Springs Pkwy-Phs 1B	30,163,000	5,763,000	18,015,067	\$ 6,384,933		3,659,000	\$ 10,044,000
R-12	Zone C	66116/36105024	Lairobre Connection	2,873,000	353,000	-	\$ 2,520,000	378,000		\$ 2,898,000
R-13	Zone B	71375/36105022	Headington Rd Extension	14,950,000	704,000	-	\$ 14,246,000			\$ 14,246,000
R-14	Zone C	72BASS/36105054	Bass Lake Rd	1,654,000	-	-	\$ 1,654,000	248,000		\$ 1,902,000
R-15	Zone C	72LATROBE/36105055	Lairobre Rd Widening				Deleted - Not Deficient			
R-16	Zone C	72381/36105041	White Rock Rd Widening	8,223,000	317,000	-	\$ 7,906,000		1,235,000	\$ 9,141,000
R-17	Zone C	GP154/36105069	Lairobre Rd Widening	5,865,000	-	-	\$ 5,865,000	880,000		\$ 6,745,000
R-18	Zone B	NA	Pleasant Valley Rd	409,000	-	-	\$ 409,000			\$ 409,000
			Subtotal	\$ 160,382,000	\$ 7,359,000	\$ 18,015,067	\$ 141,008,933	\$ 11,567,000	\$ 10,401,000	\$ 156,976,000

Table 10: TIF Program Costs

Map ID	CIP Acct.	Project No.	Project Name	Total Cost	Prior Year Funding ¹	Future Local Funding ²	2021 Net Cost	Inflation Adjustment	Proposed 2022 CIP Adjustment	2022 Net Cost
Reimbursement Agreements										
R-6	Zone C	71324/36105034	Saratoga Way Extension-Phs 1	10,958,000	NA	NA				10,958,000
R-10	Zone C	71360/36105010	Country Club Dr Realignment	4,381,000	NA	NA				4,381,000
R-10	Zone B	71360/36105010	Country Club Dr Realignment	7,256,000	NA	NA				7,256,000
R-10	Hwy 50 Zones A-C	71360/36105010	Country Club Dr Realignment	148,000	NA	NA				148,000
R-12	Zone C	66116/36105024	Larobe Connection	55,000	NA	NA				55,000
I-2	Silva Valley IC	71328/36104002	Silva Valley Interchange	193,000	NA	NA				193,000
I-2	Silva Valley IC	71328/36104002	Silva Valley Interchange-Design	5,602,000	NA	NA				5,602,000
NA	Zone C	71353	Bass Lake Rd (SIA)	1,477,000	NA	NA				1,477,000
NA	Zone B	76107	Silver Springs Pkwy	2,127,000	NA	NA				2,127,000
NA	Zone B	66108/76108/ 36105039	Silver Springs Pkwy	4,274,000	NA	NA				4,274,000
NA	Zones A-B	76114	Deer Valley Rd	70,000	NA	NA				70,000
			Subtotal	\$ 36,541,000	NA	NA				\$ 36,541,000
Other Programs										
NA	Zones A - C	NA	Bridge Replacement	10,215,000	NA	NA				10,215,000
NA	Zones A - C	NA	Intersection Improvements	56,443,000	NA	NA				56,443,000
NA	Zones A - C	53118/36109004	Transit	3,580,000	NA	NA				3,580,000
NA	Zones A - C	NA	Fee Program Admin	7,153,000	NA	NA				7,153,000
			Subtotal	\$ 77,391,000	NA	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 77,391,000
			Total	\$ 366,633,000	\$ 9,897,000	\$ 18,481,332		\$ 18,312,914	\$ 10,429,000	\$ 366,997,000
				97%	3%	5%				97%

¹ Amounts represents spending through June 30, 2020 based on EDC DOT June 2020 CIP Book (see sources).

² Includes funding for Bass Lake Rd. Interchange (Map ID I-3) from the Bass Lake Hills Public Facilities Financing Plan (BLHPFFP), and funding for Diamond Springs Parkway (Map ID R-11) from Missouri Flats Master Circulation and Funding Plan (MC&FP) and State-Local Partnership Program (SLPP).

Sources: Tables 6, 7, 8, and 9 of this study; Appendix B (attached to this model documentation); "TIM Fee Capital Improvement Costs Supporting Documentation" (for total project cost estimates), County of El Dorado, Department of Transportation (DOT); Adopted 2020 Capital Improvement Program, June 9, 2020 (for prior year funding and future local funding estimates).