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## Executive Summary

GHD has prepared this Intersection Control Evaluation (ICE) report for El Dorado County Department of Transportation and California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) District 3 utilizing methodologies consistent with the ICE process currently implemented by Caltrans. The analysis compares safety and operations associated with the following proposed improvement alternatives (that are consistent with the Caltrans Traffic Operations Policy Directive (TOPD) 1302). The Build Alternatives analyzed at the signalized intersection of the United States Highway 50 (US 50) and Pioneer Trail in the unincorporated community of Meyers, California, near South Lake Tahoe are as follows:

- Single-Lane Roundabout Alternative - The roundabout would include one through lane and one right-turn bypass lane on the northbound approach, a left-turn lane and a right turn bypass lane on the westbound approach, and a through bypass lane and a shared through/left turn lane on the southbound approach; and
- Modified Traffic Signal Alternative - The Modified Traffic Signal Alternative would increase capacity at the intersection by providing additional lanes through the intersection and providing a free right-turn lane from US 50 onto Pioneer Trail. The northbound approach would provide two through lanes, and the existing right-turn pocket would be replaced with a free right-turn lane. Therefore, drivers traveling north (or eastbound) on US 50 to Pioneer Trail would no longer be required to stop at the traffic signal. The southbound approach would be widened to include two through lanes and would maintain one left-turn lane. The Pioneer Trail westbound approach would be widened from a single lane to include two left-turn lanes and a right-turn lane

Included in this report is a comparison of operational feasibility, safety benefits, right of way impacts, and cost estimates of two Build Alternatives and a No Build Alternative for the signalized intersection of the United States Highway 50 (US 50) and Pioneer Trail for current and future traffic conditions.

Based on the results of this analysis, the Roundabout Alternative has the highest return on investment for the study intersection. In addition, with a roundabout as the traffic control device, there is better efficiency and less delay, reduced conflict points and vehicular queue lengths. The Roundabout Alternative will also perform better when compared to the Modified Traffic Signal Alternative in terms of collision and mobility costs.

It is estimated the Roundabout Alternative will have slightly higher construction costs than the Modified Traffic Signal Alternative, but will provide overall better life cycle costs than both the No Build Alternative and Modified Traffic Signal Alternative. Figure EX-1 provides a summary of the expected life cycle costs for the No-Build and Build Alternatives over the project life.

The following El Dorado County Department of Transportation representatives were consulted during preparation of this ICE:

- John Kahling
- Donaldo Palaroan

Figure EX-1 Life Cycle Costs


As shown in Figure EX-1, the total life cycle costs of the No Build Alternative are generally higher than the Roundabout Alternative and the Modified Traffic Signal Alternative, with the exception of the total project cost. Also, the Modified Traffic Signal Alternative has higher collision and delay costs compared to the Roundabout Alternative. For additional detail regarding the project cost estimates and life cycle costs, refer to Appendix D (Cost Estimates and Life Cycle Costs).
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## 1. Introduction

This Intersection Control Evaluation (ICE) report has been prepared to present the results of the two Build Alternatives and the No Build Alternative. The report builds on the previous analysis in the August 2019 Traffic Operations Analysis Report (TOAR) and compares safety and operations associated with the Build Alternative improvements that are consistent with the Caltrans TOPD 1302. The term "project," as used in this report, will refer to the potential improvements at the US 50 and Pioneer Trail intersection. The project is located in El Dorado County within the unincorporated community of Meyers, California. Figure 1.1 presents the study area and the intersection analyzed within this report.

US 50 is a two-lane conventional highway in the project area with a posted speed limit of 40 miles per hour ( mph ) (reduced from 55 mph further north of the intersection). Pioneer Trail is a two-lane rural arterial with a posted speed limit of 40 mph in the project area. US 50 is a Terminal Access Route for Surface Transportation Assistance Act (STAA) trucks.

### 1.1 Need and Purpose

The purpose of this project is to improve safety at the US 50 and Pioneer Trail intersection for all modes of travel, improve traffic flow, reduce vehicle speeds through the intersection and into the Meyers area, reduce vehicle emissions associated with traffic delays, and improve access to nearby bikeways and trails.

Several prior plans and studies have identified a need for safety and transportation improvements at the study intersection. Three issues help define the need for improvements:

- High number of collisions;
- Disjointed pedestrian and bicycle facilities lack connectivity; and
- Unacceptable intersection level of service (LOS) during peak periods.

These issues correspond to three needs for this project, described in greater detail below:

- Enhance Safety;
- Provide Bicycle and Pedestrian Access; and
- Reducing speed and improving traffic flow through the corridor
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In 2012, the US 50 and Pioneer Trail intersection was identified as a high collision location. ${ }^{1}$ It was determined that most collisions at the intersection were a result of drivers traveling at unsafe speeds in snowy or icy conditions. Most collisions occurred on the northbound right-turn movement onto Pioneer Trail. Collision data collected for the last three years showed that the most common collision type was broadside collisions.

When compared to traditional intersection controls, roundabouts have fewer conflict points for vehicles, bicyclists, and pedestrians. This directly correlates to improved safety. Roundabouts have the potential to reduce the number and severity of broadside collisions, reduce vehicle speeds, and reduce exposure for people bicycling and walking compared to traditional intersections. A study of 55 roundabouts in the United States concluded that roundabouts generally reduce crashes by 35 percent overall, reduce injury crashes by 76 percent, and reduce fatal crashes by 90 percent. ${ }^{2}$

At the study intersection, pedestrian crossings are currently only permitted in the crosswalk on the north leg of the intersection. All other pedestrian movements are prohibited. While this crosswalk connects to a shared use path on the west side of US 50, it does not connect to another pedestrian facility on the east side. A Class I shared use path parallel to US 50 on the west side does not connect to Class II bicycle lanes or the Class I shared use path on the east side of US 50. Accommodation for people walking, bicycling, and riding transit was identified as a need in the 2016 Linking Tahoe: Active Transportation Plan (amended in October 2018).

Both US 50 and Pioneer Trail are heavily traveled routes between Meyers and South Lake Tahoe. Traffic levels at this location are highly variable throughout the year, as the intersection serves tourist traffic to and from Lake Tahoe, Nevada, and a variety of other outdoor recreation opportunities. Traffic levels can vary significantly based on weather, economic conditions, special events, and other factors. The summer months typically have the highest traffic volumes due to the wide range of tourist attractions throughout the Tahoe Basin, but traffic congestion is typically worse in winter due to weather conditions, chain restrictions, and avalanche control operations.

LOS standards for the project are set by Caltrans, the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA), and El Dorado County, as described further in Section 1.5 (Level of Service Criteria) of this report. The existing intersection currently operates at an unacceptable LOS during Sunday peak hours, with an LOS E or F between 10:45 AM and 2:00 PM. With no improvements, LOS at the intersection would continue to worsen and result in extensive delays and long queues. El Dorado County's 2018 Meyers Area Plan includes policy and implementation language that recommends reducing traffic speeds through Meyers without adversely affecting air quality and enhancing the intersection at US 50 and Pioneer Trail to improve LOS and traffic flow, reduce vehicle emissions, and improve bicycle and pedestrian safety.
${ }^{1} 2011$ Annual Accident Location Survey (El Dorado County Department of Transportation, 2012)
${ }^{2}$ Roundabouts in the United States (National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 572, 2007)

This report examines the traffic operations for Existing Conditions, No Build Alternative, and Build Alternatives for the Design Year (typically 20 years) conditions.

### 1.1.1 Project Funding

El Dorado County has identified several funding sources for the Pioneer Trail/U.S. 50 Intersection Safety Improvement Project in their 2019 Capital Improvement Program. Also, the project is listed in the TRPA Federal Transportation Improvement Program. The project is expected to be funded through a combination of Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) funds, TRPA/Air Quality funds, Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP) Exchange Funds through TRPA and Caltrans, Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Program (CMAQ) funds, and other local funds.

### 1.1.2 Previous Studies

The project team was retained by El Dorado County to provide engineering as well as project approval and environmental document support for the project. In preparation for the Project Study Report/Project Development Support (PSR/PDS) document and subsequent Project ApprovalEnvironmental Document (PA-ED) phase, a TOAR was prepared. This ICE report will build on the findings in the TOAR.

### 1.2 Data Collection and Analysis Time Periods

As described in the TOAR prepared for the project, the summer traffic (between the months of June and September) was found to be generally higher when compared to the other months. Because congestion in the project area is driven by weekend tourism rather than typical commute patterns, traffic operations have been quantified based on average Friday and Sunday peak hours, rather than the traditional AM and PM peak hours.

The TOAR included a summary of the three recent and relevant planning studies in the area that contain traffic volume information in the Meyers community. This information is provided in Appendix A (Traffic Volume Information from TOAR).

### 1.3 Level of Service Methodologies

The following section outlines the LOS methodologies and analysis parameters used to quantify traffic operations at the study location.

Levels of service (LOS) have been calculated for all intersection control types using the methods documented in the Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) or SIDRA methodology. Traffic operations have been quantified through the determination of LOS. LOS is a qualitative measure of traffic operating conditions, whereby a letter grade A through $F$ is assigned to an intersection or roadway segment representing progressively worsening traffic conditions. For a signalized or roundabout intersection, a LOS determination is based on the weighted calculated averaged delay for all approaches and movements.

The methodology for the Roundabout Alternative is based on the $6^{\text {th }}$ edition of the HCM, which draws from a Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) report on capacity modeling for
roundabouts. ${ }^{3}$ At signalized intersections and roundabouts, the HCM specifies that LOS is based on the average control delay for the entire intersection. Table 1.1 displays the control delay range associated with each LOS grade.

Table 1.1 Intersection Level of Service Thresholds

| Level of <br> Service | Average Control Delay <br> (Seconds/Vehicle) |  | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Signalized | Roundabout |  |
| A | $<10.0$ | $<10.0$ | Very low delay. At signalized intersections, most <br> vehicles do not stop. |
| B | 10.0 to 20.0 | 10.0 to 15.0 | Generally good progression of vehicles. Slight <br> delays. |
| C | 20.1 to 35.0 | 15.1 to 25.0 | Fair progression. At signalized intersections, <br> increased number of stopped vehicles. |
| D | 35.1 to 55.0 | 25.1 to 35.0 | Noticeable congestion. At signalized intersections, <br> large portion of vehicles stopped. |
| E | 55.1 to 80.0 | 35.1 to 50.0 | Poor progression. High delays and frequent cycle <br> failure. |
| F | $>80.0$ | $>50.0$ | Oversaturation. Forced flow. Extensive queuing. |

Note: Highway Capacity Manual (Transportation Research Board 2016)

### 1.4 Technical Analysis Parameters

The software programs used to analyze the intersection include Synchro 10 for signalized intersection control, and SIDRA 8 for roundabouts. The Synchro and SIDRA outputs are included in Appendix B (Synchro and SIDRA LOS Worksheets).

The evaluation incorporated appropriate heavy vehicle adjustment factors, peak hour factors, and signal lost-time factors, and reported the resulting intersection delays and LOS as projected using HCM-based analysis methodologies. Lane widths for the Roundabout Alternative analysis were determined by measuring face of curb to face of curb.

The specific technical analysis parameters that have been used for this study are presented in Table 1.2. As mentioned in the TOAR for the project, these parameters were reviewed with Caltrans staff.

[^0]
## Table 1.2 Intersection Technical Analysis Parameters

| Technical Parameters ${ }^{1}$ | Intersections |
| :--- | :--- |
| Grade $^{2}$ | Level |
| \% Trucks |  |
| Peak Hour Factor Design Hourly Volume | Obtained from Caltrans US50/SR89 Study |
| Minimum Signal Cycle Length |  |
| Lost Time per Critical Signal Phase | 2017 count data |
| Left-Turn Critical Lane Volume | 120 seconds (based on field observations) |
| Pedestrian Calls per Hour | 4 seconds (if applicable) |
| SIDRA Environmental Factor | 1,900 vehicles per hour |
| SIDRA Environmental Factor | 5 | Notes:

1. Computer software defaults will be used for parameters not listed.
2. For Existing and Future conditions.
3. Will be optimized as appropriate.
4. A.k.a. Saturated Flow Rate.

### 1.5 Level of Service Criteria

LOS standards for the project are set by Caltrans, TRPA, and El Dorado County. The applicable LOS guidelines are discussed below.

Caltrans identified standards for the project area in the US 50 Transportation Concept Report/Corridor System Management Plan (TCR/CSMP) in 2014. The minimum acceptable LOS for this segment of US 50 is LOS D.

TRPA identifies LOS thresholds in its Linking Tahoe: Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) in 2017. The acceptable LOS for Pioneer Trail is D, though the policy notes LOS E may be acceptable during peak periods in urban areas but not to exceed four hours per day. The policy also states, "These vehicle LOS standards may be exceeded when provisions for multi-modal amenities and/or services (such as transit, bicycling, and walking facilities) are adequate to provide mobility for users at a level that is proportional to the project-generated traffic in relation to overall traffic conditions on affected roadways."

The El Dorado County General Plan Transportation and Circulation Element includes Policy TCXd that states, "Level of Service (LOS) for County-maintained roads and state highways within the unincorporated areas of the county shall not be worse than LOS E in the Community Regions or LOS D in the Rural Centers and Rural Regions."

Based on the applicable standards discussed above, LOS D is the standard applied to this project. The intersection is also allowed to operate at LOS E for fewer than four hours per day during peak periods.

## 2. Existing Conditions

This section presents the analysis of current operations at the study location and establishes the baseline traffic conditions.

### 2.1 Multimodal Facilities

Currently, two Class I shared use paths provide bicycling and walking facilities in the project area. On the west side of US 50, a shared use path parallels the highway from State Route (SR) 89 past the project area to Sawmill Road. On the east side of US 50, a shared use path parallels the highway from SR 89/Luther Pass Road and terminates at Pioneer Trail, approximately 150 feet east of the intersection. No sidewalks exist at the intersection; the only pedestrian access is provided by the shared use path on the west side of US 50. Faded Class II bicycle markings exist on Pioneer Trail, and a southbound bicycle lane is marked on US 50 beginning at the Pioneer Trail intersection. The Class I shared use path parallel to US 50 on the west side does not connect to Class II bicycle lanes or the Class I shared use path on the east side of US 50.

At the US 50 and Pioneer Trail intersection, pedestrian crossings are only permitted in the crosswalk on the north leg of the intersection. All other pedestrian movements are prohibited. While this crosswalk connects to a shared use path on the west side of US 50, it does not connect to the pedestrian facility on the east side.

### 2.2 Intersection Operations

Traffic volumes at the US 50 and Pioneer Trail intersection, and in the Meyers community in general, are highly variable throughout the year since the intersection serves tourist traffic to and from Lake Tahoe, the State of Nevada, and a variety of other year-round outdoor recreation activities. Congestion in the project area is driven by weekend tourism rather than typical commute patterns, and therefore, traffic operations have been quantified based on average Friday and Sunday peak hours rather than the traditional AM and PM peak hours.

As described in the TOAR, the traffic volumes identified in the Meyers Intersection Improvements at United States Highway (US) 50 and State Route (SR) 89 Initial Study with Negative Declaration (provided in Appendix A [Traffic Volume Information from TOAR]) were used to analyze the LOS under existing conditions without and with the proposed intersection improvements. Caltrans staff concurred with this approach.

Based on this traffic volume data, the intersection generally operates at LOS D on Fridays and LOS E on Sundays. The intersection also experiences queues over 40 vehicles along US 50 traveling north (eastbound) on Fridays and Sundays as well as along Pioneer Trail traveling west on Sundays.

### 2.3 Safety Analysis

As summarized in the TOAR prepared for the project, the study intersection had the second highest number of collisions in the Meyers area ${ }^{4}$, with 34 reported collisions between 2007 and 2015. Of these, six collisions resulted in injuries and 28 resulted in property damage only. No fatal collisions were reported within the intersection, however, one fatality was reported approximately 400 feet south of the intersection. According to the Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) the fatal collision occurred in 2012 (Case ID Number 5638393). The collision involved a vehicle and a pedestrian. The pedestrian was crossing US 50 in the dark and SWITRS records indicate that alcohol was involved.

More recent collision data was collected from the SWITRS for the study intersection. To capture the collision patterns and any trends within the study area, the most recent three years were obtained from SWITRS (January 1, 2016 - December 31, 2018).

### 2.3.1 US 50 and Pioneer Trail Intersection Collisions

Table 2.1 displays the intersection collisions for the past three years from SWITRS. There was a total of 14 intersection collisions within the influence area of the intersection.

Table 2.1 US 50 and Pioneer Trail Intersection Collisions (2016-2018)

| Intersection | Year |  |  | Total Collisions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |  |
| US 50 and Pioneer Trail | 5 | 4 | 5 | 14 |

As presented in Tables 2.2 and 2.3, the collision severity, type, and primary collision factor are displayed for US 50 and Pioneer Trail intersection for 2016-2018. There were more Property Damage Only (PDO) collisions than injury collisions (12 vs. 2) and the most common collision type was broadside collisions (7). Broadside collisions are likely occurring due to the high free flow speed and limited gaps across US 50. In addition, the most common cited primary collision factor violation was unsafe speed (12).

## Table 2.2 US 50 and Pioneer Trail Intersection - Collision Severity/Type

| Collision Severity |  |  | Collision Type |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Injury <br> (Other <br> Visible) | Injury <br> (Complaint <br> of Pain) | PDO | Head-On | Sideswipe | Rear End | Broadside | Hit Object |
| 1 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 1 |

Table 2.3 US 50 and Pioneer Trail - Primary Collision Factor

| Intersection | Primary Collision Factor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DUI | Unsafe Speed | Wrong Side of Road |
| US 50 and Pioneer Trail | 1 | 12 | 1 |

## 3. Design Year Forecasts

The TOAR for the project established the methodology to develop the traffic forecast for the Design Year at the study intersection. As previously stated, all project alternatives were evaluated for design hourly volumes identified in the Meyers Intersection Improvements at United States Highway (US) 50 and State Route (SR) 89 Initial Study with Negative Declaration (provided in Appendix A [Traffic Volume Information from TOAR]). Figure 3.1 illustrates the Design Year traffic volumes.

Figure 3.1 Design Year Traffic Volumes
Friday Summer Peak Hour Volumes
Sunday Summer Peak Hour Volumes



### 3.1 No Build Operational Analysis

Assuming the same lane geometries and traffic control at the study intersection, the No Build Alternative was analyzed using the Design Year traffic volumes. As presented in Table 3.1, the LOS degrades to an overall LOS D on Fridays and LOS E on Sundays with the increase in traffic volumes and no improvements. See Appendix B (Synchro and SIDRA LOS Worksheets) for additional details.

Table 3.1 also shows the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues for the US 50 and Pioneer Trail intersection for Design Year conditions. The longest queue length is on Sunday for eastbound US 50 traffic traveling north.

Table 3.1 Design Hourly Intersection Traffic Operations
No Build Conditions - Summer Weekend

|  | Friday |  |  | Sunday |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay | LOS | Queue | Delay | LOS | Queue |
|  | 62.5 | E | 1,118 | 103.6 | F | 1,875 |
| South/Westbound US 50 | 15.1 | B | 279 | 20.1 | C | 950 |
| West/Southbound Pioneer Trail | 45.6 | D | 361 | 66.8 | E | 1,025 |
| Overall | 47.8 | D | - | $\mathbf{6 8 . 0}$ | E | - |

Note: Analysis is based on the methodology and procedures in the HCM. Average delay is reported in seconds per vehicle. For signalized intersections, LOS is based on the average control delay for all approaches. Queue is reported in feet for the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile.

## 4. Build Conditions

### 4.1 Roundabout Alternative

The Roundabout Alternative would construct a three-legged roundabout at the US 50 and Pioneer Trail intersection. The roundabout would provide an inscribed circle diameter of 140 feet with one through lane and one right-turn bypass lane on the northbound approach, a left-turn lane and a right turn bypass lane on the westbound approach, and a through bypass lane and a shared through/left turn lane on the southbound approach. Figure 4.1 provides a visual of the proposed Roundabout Alternative design.

High-visibility marked crosswalks would be provided on all three legs, including refuge areas in the splitter islands that would allow people walking or bicycling to cross one lane of traffic at a time. Crosswalks would be set back at least one car-length from the roundabout, allowing drivers to yield to pedestrians and move past the crosswalk before waiting for a gap in traffic and entering the roundabout. Separating the crosswalk from the roundabout entry in this way allows drivers to focus their attention on one potential conflict at a time.

The Roundabout Alternative would include bypass lanes with splitter islands designed to reduce excessive delay and queueing, to avoid two-lane entrances for collision reduction, and to increase pedestrian safety by providing refuge when crossing.

Roundabout Alternative
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Sidewalks would be provided on the northeast and southeast corners of the intersection, and connections would be provided from crosswalks to the Class I shared use path on the west side of US 50. Directional bike ramps would provide bicyclists traveling in the roadway with access to the shared use path or sidewalks if they prefer to navigate the intersection using the crosswalks or path. A proposed connection to the shared use path on the east side of US 50 would provide a direct connection for people walking or bicycling to the crosswalks on the south and east legs of the intersection.

### 4.1.1 Roundabout Alternative Operational Analysis

Table 4.1 presents the peak hour intersection LOS for the Roundabout Alternative. LOS and delay were projected with SIDRA 8 software for the design hourly traffic volumes with the lane geometrics of the Roundabout Alternative.

Table 4.1 Design Hourly Intersection Traffic Operations
Roundabout Conditions

|  | Friday |  |  | Sunday |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay | LOS | Queue | Delay | LOS | Queue |
| North/Eastbound US 50 | 3.9 | A | 76 | 4.0 | A | 100 |
| South/Westbound US 50 | 4.9 | A | 24 | 5.0 | A | 54 |
| West/Southbound Pioneer Trail | 12.0 | B | 43 | 16.6 | B | 152 |
| Overall LOS | $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ | A | - | 7.2 | A | - |

Note: Analysis is based on the methodology and procedures in the HCM. Average delay is reported in seconds per vehicle. For roundabout intersections, LOS is based on the average control delay for all approaches. Queue is reported in feet for the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile.

The intersection is projected to operate at an overall acceptable LOS A with improvements identified in the Roundabout Alternative. The intersection is projected to experience queues less than or equal to 6 vehicles for either time period.

### 4.2 Modified Traffic Signal Alternative

The Modified Traffic Signal Alternative would increase capacity at the intersection by providing additional lanes through the intersection and providing a free right-turn lane from US 50 onto Pioneer Trail. The northbound approach would provide two through lanes, and the existing rightturn pocket would be replaced with a free right-turn lane. Drivers traveling north (or eastbound) on US 50 to Pioneer Trail would no longer be required to stop at the traffic signal. The southbound approach would be widened to include two through lanes and would maintain one left-turn lane. The Pioneer Trail westbound approach would be widened from a single lane to include two left-turn lanes and a right-turn lane. Figure 4.2 provides a visual of the proposed Modified Traffic Signal Alternative design.

Modified Traffic Signal Alternative
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Marked transverse crosswalks would be provided across the north and east legs of the intersection as well as across the free right-turn lane on the southeast corner. A crosswalk on the south leg of the intersection would require a pedestrian only phase resulting in increased green/cycle time and intersection delay. For this reason, the project team agreed to eliminate the crosswalk on the south leg as it would compromise the overall intersection operations.

Sidewalks would be provided on the northeast and southeast corners of the intersection, and connections would be provided from the crosswalks to the Class I shared use path on the west side of US 50. Directional ramps would provide southbound bicyclists traveling in the roadway on US 50 with access to the shared use path or sidewalks if they prefer to navigate the intersection using the crosswalks or path. A proposed connection of the shared use path on the east side of US 50 would provide a direct connection for people walking or bicycling to the crosswalks on the south and east legs of the intersection.

### 4.2.1 Modified Traffic Signal Alternative

Table 4.2 presents peak hour intersection LOS for the Modified Traffic Signal Alternative. LOS and delay were projected for the design hourly traffic volumes with the lane geometrics of the Modified Traffic Signal Alternative. Projections were developed using Synchro 10 software based on the HCM.

## Table 4.2 Design Hourly Intersection Traffic Operations Modified Traffic Signal Alternative

|  | Friday |  |  | Sunday |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay | LOS | Queue | Delay | LOS | Queue |
|  | 12.4 | B | 208 | 16.5 | B | 491 |
| South/Westbound US 50 | 12.3 | B | 218 | 17.7 | B | 331 |
| West/Southbound Pioneer Trail | 23.0 | C | 173 | 32.0 | C | 311 |
| Overall LOS | 14.0 | B | - | $\mathbf{2 0 . 5}$ | C | - |

Note: Analysis is based on the methodology and procedures in the HCM. Average delay is reported in seconds per vehicle. For signalized intersections, LOS is based on the average control delay for all approaches. Queue is reported in feet for the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile.

The intersection is projected to operate at acceptable LOS C or better with the improvements identified in the Modified Traffic Signal Alternative. The intersection is projected to experience queues less than or equal to 8 vehicles for the Friday peak period and 20 vehicles during the Sunday peak period.

## 5. Roundabout Performance Checks

Based on the traffic analysis, the Roundabout Alternative is further evaluated for performance based checks. The following design criteria were used to analyze the geometrics and safety performance of the proposed Roundabout Alternative:

- Criteria and methodologies to be consistent with Caltrans DIB 80-01, Caltrans Highway Design Manual (HDM), and Report 672 of the National Cooperative Highway Research

Program (NCHRP) titled Roundabouts: An Informational Guide (Second Edition). This document supersedes the original roundabout guide published by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) in 2000.

- The "STAA-Standard" design vehicle from the Caltrans HDM, 6th Edition (update September 2014) shall be accommodated on all movements from and to US 50.
- Fast path entry speeds on single-lane approaches should be 25 mph or less.
- Minimum stopping sight distance for posted speed limits should be provided for vehicles approaching roundabout entrances and pedestrian crosswalks.
- View angles for all legs of the roundabout should be no more than 15 degrees.
- Entry angles for all legs of the roundabout should be between 20 and 40 degrees.


### 5.1 Fastest Path and Vehicle Speed Checks

The "Fastest Path" represents the path that the most aggressive drivers could take through the roundabout and assumes no other traffic to be within the intersection. NCHRP Report 672 indicates that the recommended maximum vehicle entry speeds along the fastest path should be less than 25 mph at urban single-lane roundabouts, and less than 30 mph at urban multi-lane roundabouts. NCHRP Report 672 also indicates that the differential speed between consecutive or conflicting projected fast path speeds should be less than 15 mph .

Fast path speeds are determined for five locations per approach. These include entry speeds (referred to as V 1 ); through movement circulating speeds (V2); exiting speeds (V3); left turn movement circulating speeds (V4); and right turn speeds (V5). A diagram of the described locations is shown in Figure 5.1.

## Figure 5.1 Fast Path Critical Speed Locations



Fastest-path speeds for the Roundabout Alternative for vehicles entering, circulating, exiting, left, and right turns are provided in Table 5.1 and further performance based checks and exhibits are
provided in Appendix C (Roundabout Performance Based Checks). The fast path speeds for entering traffic are less than 25 mph , which is consistent with the NCHRP Report 672 recommendation for single-lane roundabouts.

Table 5.1 Fast Path Checks for Roundabout Alternative

| FAST PATH SPEED (MPH) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | Northbound <br> US 50 (N:) | Northbound <br> US 50 Right <br> Bypass (N\#\#) | Southbound <br> US 50(S\#) | Southbound <br> US 50 <br> Bypass(S\#) | Westbound <br> Pioneer <br> Trail (W\#\#) |
| Entering (V1) | 24.5 | N/A | 24.7 | 24.5 | 24.2 |
| Circulating (V2) | 18.2 | N/A | 19.7 | 20.0 | N/A |
| Exiting (V3) | 29.9 | N/A | 31.1 | 29.8 | N/A |
| Left Turn (V4) | N/A | N/A | 15.0 | N/A | 15.0 |
| Right Turn (V5) | N/A | 20.9 | N/A | N/A | 24.5 |

Notes:
All values are in miles per hour.
V3 exiting speeds are derived from vehicle acceleration formulas in NCHRP 672.
V3 fast path speed measured at exit crosswalk or 100 feet downstream from V2.
As acceleration potential of vehicle determines actual exiting speed, V 3 presented is a conservative estimate. N/A = Fastest path speed does not exist for this approach.
$2 \%$ cross-slope assumed for determining fastest path.

## 6. Alternatives Comparison

For the alternatives comparison, the two Build Alternatives (Roundabout and Modified Traffic Signal) were compared to the No Build Alternative in the Design Year. This comparison analysis will consist of LOS and queue graphics, planning level cost estimates, and life cycle costs.

### 6.1 Level of Service and Queuing

Figure 6.1 illustrates the No Build Alternative LOS and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths and LOS in the project study area. This figure shows the extensive queues for all directions of travel. With no improvements, traffic will continue to queue, causing delays and limiting access to private properties/businesses in the area.

Figure 6.2 illustrates the LOS and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues for both Build Alternatives. The Roundabout Alternative is expected to have better LOS and shorter queue lengths when compared to the Modified Traffic Signal Alternative.

95th Percentile Queue Lengths - No Build Alternative


95th Percentile Queue Lengths - Roundabout and Modified Traffic Signal Alternatives


Meyers, California

### 6.2 Preliminary Cost Estimate

The Roundabout Alternative is estimated to cost $\$ 5,246,000$ and the Modified Traffic Signal Alternative is estimated to cost $\$ 4,950,000$ in the current year. Detailed cost estimates are provided in Appendix D (Cost Estimates and Life Cycle Costs).

### 6.3 Life-Cycle Costs

In evaluating the life-cycle costs of the project, a 20 year service life was used in comparing the NoBuild and Build Alternatives (Roundabout and Modified Traffic Signal). In following Caltrans methodology and transportation economics, Caltrans Vehicle Operations Cost Parameters (2016 Current Dollar Value), the vehicle operations costs, collision costs, and emission cost parameters (CA rural area) were used. As presented in Table 6.1, the No Build Alternative is expected to have life-cycle costs of $\$ 20,366,000$. The higher cost is mainly attributed to the predicted collision costs of $\$ 14,564,000$. Table 6.2 shows both Build Alternatives have lower life cycle costs than the No Build Alternative, and the Roundabout Alternative is lower than the Modified Traffic Signal Alternative. This is primarily due to the predicted collision costs. The detailed life cycle costs are provided in Appendix D (Cost Estimates and Life Cycle Costs).

Table 6.1 Life Cycle Costs - No Build Alternative

| Safety and Delay Costs |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Collision Costs of Predicted Crashes | $\$ 14,564,000$ |
| Delay Costs | $\$ 3,850,000$ |
| Fuel and Greenhouse Gas Emission Costs | $\$ 1,897,000$ |
| Project Costs (Design, Construction, and Maintenance) |  |
| Operations \& Maintenance Costs |  |
| Project Costs (including soft costs) | $\$ 54,000$ |
| TOTAL LIFE CYCLE COSTS FOR | $\$ 0$ |
| NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE | $\$ 20,365,000$ |

## Table 6.2 Life Cycle Costs - Build Alternatives

| Safety and Delay Costs* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Roundabout Alternative | Modified Traffic Signal Alternative |
| Collision Costs of Predicted Crashes | \$3,652,000 | \$10,923,000 |
| Delay Costs | \$430,000 | \$1,640,000 |
| Fuel and Greenhouse Gas Emission Costs | \$1,484,000 | \$1,302,000 |
| Project Costs (Design, Construction, and Maintenance)* |  |  |
|  | Roundabout Alternative | Modified Traffic Signal Alternative |
| Operations \& Maintenance Costs | \$31,000 | \$54,000 |
| Project Costs (including soft costs) | \$5,250,000 | \$4,950,000 |
| TOTAL LIFE CYCLE COSTS FOR BUILD ALTERNATIVES | \$10,847,000 | \$18,869,000 |

## 7. Conclusions

With no improvements to the US 50 and Pioneer Trail intersection, the delay will increase and the intersection will reach an overall LOS D on Fridays and LOS E on Sundays by the Design Year. Excessive queuing will continue in all directions of travel. Both Build Alternatives would improve the intersection and provide acceptable LOS and reduced queue lengths. However, compared to the Modified Traffic Signal Alternative that would provide LOS C operations, the Roundabout Alternative would provide LOS A operations and shorter queue lengths. In addition, over the design life of the project, the life cycle costs for the Roundabout Alternative would be more favorable than the Modified Traffic Signal Alternative.
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## Appendix A.

Traffic Volume Information from TOAR

## Existing Traffic Conditions

## Existing Traffic Volumes

Traffic volumes at the study intersection and in the Meyers community in general are highly variable throughout the year, as the intersection serves tourist traffic to and from Lake Tahoe, the State of Nevada, and a variety of other year-round outdoor recreation activities. Based on discussion with the project team, the summer traffic (between the months of June and September) was found to be generally higher when compared to the other months.

This section reviews three recent and relevant planning studies in the area that contain traffic volume information in the Meyers community. An overview of the count data collected in these studies is presented in Table 5.1.

## Table 5.1 Comparison of Traffic Counts Collected on US 50 between SR 89 and Pioneer Trail

|  | Count Year |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2010 | 2016 | 2017 |
| Agency | El Dorado County | Caltrans | El Dorado County |
| Number of <br> Data points | 1 day | 12 weekends (over 3 <br> months)a | 6 days (2 weekends) |
| Location | US 50 between SR 89 <br> and Pioneer Trail | US 50 between SR 89 <br> and Pioneer Trail | US 50 between SR 89 <br> and Pioneer Trail |

Traffic Operations Analysis for the US Highway 50/Pioneer Trail Intersection Safety Improvement Project (El Dorado County, December 2017). This study cites two time frames (2010 and 2017) when counts were conducted at the study intersection during the summer months. One count was conducted in 2010, and six counts were conducted in 2017. Additionally, this study extrapolated 2010 traffic with a $0.6 \%$ growth increase to derive 2016 counts. As the 2016 data is derived and not based actual counts, these numbers are not included in Table 5.2, which presents the traffic volumes.

Table 5.2 Traffic Patterns on US 50 between SR 89 and Pioneer Trail
(December 2017 Study)

|  | Aug 2010 <br> Sunday | Aug 2017 <br> Friday | Aug 2017 <br> Saturday | Aug 2017 <br> Sunday | Oct 2017 <br> Friday | Oct 2017 <br> Saturday | Oct 2017 <br> Sunday |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| US 50 <br> (EB) | 1,243 | 1,075 | 1,130 | 872 | 1,115 | 796 | 637 |
| US 50 <br> (WB) | 1,278 | 726 | 730 | 1,234 | 653 | 883 | 1,317 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 5 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 5 4}$ |

Meyers Intersection Improvements at United States Highway (US) 50 and State Route (SR) 89 Initial Study with Negative Declaration (Caltrans, December 2016). This study utilized average summer traffic volumes representative of a three month summer period. Table 5.3 presents the average summer traffic volumes for 2016.

## Table 5.3 Traffic Patterns on US 50 between SR 89 and Pioneer Trail (December 2016 Study)

|  | Average Summer 2016 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Friday | Sunday |  |
| US 50 (EB) | $\mathbf{1 , 1 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 1 9}$ |  |
| US 50 (WB) | 688 | 1,308 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 8 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 2 7}$ |  |

## Design Hourly Volumes

Because the 2016 traffic volumes are based on three months of counts, they are more likely to represent average traffic volumes for a summer weekend and less likely to reflect anomalies in traffic patterns. A comparison of Table 5.2 and Table 5.3 indicates that the average Friday and Sunday summer traffic volumes were greater in the 2016 study than in 2017. Using the higher volumes from 2016 represents a more conservative approach to this analysis of alternatives, as it accounts for increased traffic under current conditions as well as for the sensitivity analysis.

Furthermore, the traffic volumes from the 2016 study were used in the recently completed Initial Study with Negative Declaration for the US 50 and SR 89 roundabout project, which is currently under construction. Based on input from the project development team, which includes staff from TRPA, Caltrans, EI Dorado County, and consultants, traffic volumes from the 2016 study have been used as the design hourly volumes in the preparation of this Traffic Operations Analysis Report. These design hourly volumes are shown in Appendix A.

Turning movements at the intersection were derived from the traffic split obtained from the 2017 counts. A review of these counts and turning movements revealed the following patterns:

- North/Eastbound US 50 traffic on a typical Friday as it approaches the intersection breaks up into two movements; 51 percent of traffic continues north/east on US 50 and 49 percent turns east/north onto Pioneer Trail. Westbound traffic on a typical Friday is made up of 37 percent from Pioneer Trail (southbound/westbound left) and 63 percent from US 50 (southbound/westbound through).
- North/Eastbound US 50 traffic on a typical Sunday as it approaches the intersection breaks up into two movements; 65 percent of traffic continues north/east on US 50 and 35 percent turns east/north onto Pioneer Trail. Westbound US 50 traffic is made up of 40 percent from Pioneer Trail (southbound/westbound left) and 60 percent from US 50 (southbound/westbound through).


## Appendix A from TOAR

Friday Summer Peak Hour Volumes


Sunday Summer Peak Hour Volumes



Design Hourly Volumes

## Appendix B.

Synchro and SIDRA LOS Worksheets

## LANE SUMMARY

## Site: 1v [Pioneer RB Summer No Build Friday]

No Build Design Volumes Signal Alternative
Site Category: (None)
Signals - Actuated Isolated Cycle Time $=108$ seconds (Site User-Given Phase Times)

| Lane Use and Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Demand Total veh/h | $\begin{array}{r} \text { lows } \\ \text { HV } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. \% | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Veh | f Queue Dist ft | Lane Config | Lane Length ft | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cap. } \\ \text { Adj. } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Prob. Block. \% |
| South: NB Pioneer Trail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 266 | 3.0 | $379{ }^{1}$ | 0.700 | 100 | 46.5 | LOS D | 14.1 | 360.4 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lane 2 | 52 | 1.0 | 399 | 0.131 | 100 | 41.1 | LOS D | 2.2 | 56.7 | Short | 50 | 0.0 | NA |
| Approach | 318 | 2.7 |  | 0.700 |  | 45.6 | LOS D | 14.1 | 360.4 |  |  |  |  |
| East: WB US 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 73 | 1.0 | 199 | 0.366 | 100 | 57.3 | LOS E | 4.0 | 100.1 | Short | 165 | 0.0 | NA |
| Lane 2 | 453 | 3.0 | 1247 | 0.363 | 100 | 8.3 | LOS A | 10.9 | 278.7 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 526 | 2.7 |  | 0.366 |  | 15.1 | LOS B | 10.9 | 278.7 |  |  |  |  |
| West: EB US 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 620 | 3.0 | $616{ }^{1}$ | 1.007 | 100 | 57.7 | LOS F | 43.7 | 1118.0 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lane 2 | 594 | 1.0 | $583{ }^{1}$ | 1.018 | 100 | 67.5 | LOS F | 42.8 | 1077.4 | Short | 225 | 0.0 | NA |
| Approach | 1214 | 2.0 |  | 1.018 |  | 62.5 | LOS E | 43.7 | 1118.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection | 2057 | 2.3 |  | 1.018 |  | 47.8 | LOS D | 43.7 | 1118.0 |  |  |  |  |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& v/c (HCM 2010). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Lane LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per lane.
LOS F will result if v/c>1 irrespective of lane delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all lanes (v/c not used as specified in HCM 2010). SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
1 Reduced capacity due to a short lane effect. Short lane queues may extend into the full-length lanes. Some upstream delays at entry to short lanes are not included.
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## LANE SUMMARY

## $\square$ Site: 1 [Pioneer RB Summer Friday Peak Hour]

2019 Pioneer RB Sidra Standard EF 1.05
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Lane Use and Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Demand Total veh/h | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lows } \\ & \text { HV } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. \% | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \text { Bac } \\ \text { Veh } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Queue } \\ & \text { Dist } \\ & \text { ft } \end{aligned}$ | Lane Config | Lane Length ft | Cap. Adj. \% | Prob. Block. \% |
| South: NB Pioneer Trail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane $1^{\text {d }}$ | 266 | 3.0 | 1043 | 0.255 | 100 | 12.8 | LOS B | 1.7 | 42.4 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lane 2 | 52 | 1.0 | 700 | 0.074 | 100 | 8.0 | LOS A | 0.4 | 9.7 | Short | 200 | 0.0 | NA |
| Approach | 318 | 2.7 |  | 0.255 |  | 12.0 | LOS B | 1.7 | 42.4 |  |  |  |  |
| East: WB US 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane $1^{\text {d }}$ | 207 | 2.3 | 1309 | 0.158 | $95^{6}$ | 6.8 | LOS A | 0.9 | 23.6 | Short | 150 | 0.0 | NA |
| Lane 2 | 319 | 3.0 | 1918 | 0.166 | 100 | 3.7 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 526 | 2.7 |  | 0.166 |  | 4.9 | LOS A | 0.9 | 23.6 |  |  |  |  |
| West: EB US 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane $1^{\text {d }}$ | 620 | 3.0 | 1504 | 0.412 | 100 | 4.1 | LOS A | 2.9 | 75.4 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lane 2 | 594 | 1.0 | 1658 | 0.358 | 100 | 3.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | Short | 150 | 0.0 | NA |
| Approach | 1214 | 2.0 |  | 0.412 |  | 3.9 | LOS A | 2.9 | 75.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection | 2057 | 2.3 |  | 0.412 |  | 5.4 | LOS A | 2.9 | 75.4 |  |  |  |  |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& v/c (HCM 2010). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Lane LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per lane.
LOS $F$ will result if $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}>1$ irrespective of lane delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all lanes (v/c not used as specified in HCM 2010).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
6 Lane under-utilisation due to downstream effects
d Dominant lane on roundabout approach
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Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ |
| End Time | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Vehs Entered | 1988 | 1894 | 1950 | 1961 | 2007 | 1923 | 1962 |
| Vehs Exited | 2000 | 1920 | 1963 | 1957 | 1995 | 1934 | 1946 |
| Starting Vehs | 43 | 63 | 34 | 37 | 49 | 48 | 31 |
| Ending Vehs | 31 | 37 | 21 | 41 | 61 | 37 | 47 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 972 | 934 | 955 | 957 | 976 | 944 | 952 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 38.4 | 36.2 | 39.0 | 38.5 | 40.0 | 37.6 | 38.6 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 10.4 | 9.4 | 11.6 | 10.9 | 11.7 | 10.5 | 11.1 |
| Total Stops | 1055 | 989 | 1135 | 1098 | 1128 | 1052 | 1126 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 33.4 | 31.6 | 33.3 | 32.8 | 33.5 | 32.5 | 33.1 |

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ |
| End Time | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Vehs Entered | 1966 | 1909 | 2041 | 1960 |
| Vehs Exited | 1955 | 1909 | 2048 | 1963 |
| Starting Vehs | 29 | 33 | 45 | 41 |
| Ending Vehs | 40 | 33 | 38 | 39 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 959 | 932 | 1002 | 958 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 38.3 | 37.0 | 40.3 | 38.4 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 10.7 | 10.2 | 11.4 | 10.8 |
| Total Stops | 1069 | 1051 | 1091 | 1079 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 32.8 | 32.1 | 34.3 | 33.0 |

Interval \#O Information Seeding

| Start Time | $6: 45$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 15$ |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |
| Volumes adjusted by PHF, Growth Factors. |  |


| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehs Entered | 536 | 489 | 501 | 540 | 534 | 509 | 511 |
| Vehs Exited | 543 | 516 | 498 | 546 | 543 | 522 | 498 |
| Starting Vehs | 43 | 63 | 34 | 37 | 49 | 48 | 31 |
| Ending Vehs | 36 | 36 | 37 | 31 | 40 | 35 | 44 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 264 | 246 | 244 | 266 | 266 | 251 | 246 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 10.6 | 9.5 | 10.3 | 11.1 | 10.8 | 10.3 | 10.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 3.0 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| Total Stops | 284 | 248 | 303 | 308 | 289 | 285 | 295 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 9.2 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 9.2 | 9.1 | 8.7 | 8.5 |

## Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 00$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 15$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by PHF, Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 544 | 516 | 563 | 524 |
| Vehs Exited | 531 | 515 | 565 | 528 |
| Starting Vehs | 29 | 33 | 45 | 41 |
| Ending Vehs | 42 | 34 | 43 | 38 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 264 | 249 | 274 | 257 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 10.9 | 10.1 | 11.3 | 10.5 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 3.3 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 3.1 |
| Total Stops | 309 | 282 | 291 | 291 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 9.1 | 8.7 | 9.5 | 8.9 |

Interval \#2 Information Recording

| Start Time | 7:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End Time | 8:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Vehs Entered | 1452 | 1405 | 1449 | 1421 | 1473 | 1414 | 1451 |
| Vehs Exited | 1457 | 1404 | 1465 | 1411 | 1452 | 1412 | 1448 |
| Starting Vehs | 36 | 36 | 37 | 31 | 40 | 35 | 44 |
| Ending Vehs | 31 | 37 | 21 | 41 | 61 | 37 | 47 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 708 | 688 | 711 | 691 | 711 | 693 | 705 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 27.8 | 26.7 | 28.7 | 27.4 | 29.2 | 27.3 | 28.6 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 7.4 | 6.9 | 8.3 | 7.4 | 8.6 | 7.4 | 8.2 |
| Total Stops | 771 | 741 | 832 | 790 | 839 | 767 | 831 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 24.2 | 23.2 | 24.6 | 23.6 | 24.4 | 23.8 | 24.7 |

## Interval \#2 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 15$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $8: 00$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 45 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 1422 | 1393 | 1478 | 1436 |
| Vehs Exited | 1424 | 1394 | 1483 | 1435 |
| Starting Vehs | 42 | 34 | 43 | 38 |
| Ending Vehs | 40 | 33 | 38 | 39 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 695 | 683 | 728 | 701 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 27.4 | 26.9 | 29.0 | 27.9 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 7.4 | 7.3 | 8.0 | 7.7 |
| Total Stops | 760 | 769 | 800 | 790 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 23.8 | 23.4 | 24.8 | 24.0 |

3: Performance by approach

| Approach | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied DelVeh (s) | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 23.0 | 12.4 | 12.3 | 14.0 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 18.0 |

Intersection: 3:

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 195 | 162 | 36 | 245 | 237 | 210 | 115 | 187 | 160 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 107 | 26 | 12 | 134 | 80 | 102 | 52 | 87 | 33 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 173 | 96 | 28 | 208 | 183 | 174 | 98 | 155 | 103 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 719 |  | 540 | 540 |  |  | 447 | 447 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 300 |  |  | 225 | 300 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 325 |  | 300 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 5: Bend

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 62 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 63 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 540 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Baa Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Network Summary |  |
| Network wide Queuing Penalty: 1 |  |

## LANE SUMMARY

## Site: 1v [Pioneer RB Summer No Build Sunday]

No Build Design Volumes Signal Alternative
Site Category: (None)
Signals - Actuated Isolated Cycle Time $=110$ seconds (Site User-Given Phase Times)

| Lane Use and Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Demand Total veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { lows } \\ \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. $\%$ | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Bac <br> Veh | f Queue Dist ft | Lane Config | Lane Length ft | Cap. Adj. \% | Prob. Block. \% |
| South: NB Pioneer Trail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 559 | 1.5 | $558{ }^{1}$ | 1.002 | 100 | 69.4 | LOS F | 40.3 | 1020.8 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lane 2 | 43 | 1.0 | 536 | 0.079 | 100 | 33.4 | LOS C | 1.6 | 40.9 | Short | 50 | 0.0 | NA |
| Approach | 601 | 1.5 |  | 1.002 |  | 66.8 | LOS E | 40.3 | 1020.8 |  |  |  |  |
| East: WB US 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 37 | 1.0 | 179 | 0.208 | 100 | 58.2 | LOS E | 2.0 | 51.0 | Short | 165 | 0.0 | NA |
| Lane 2 | 835 | 1.5 | $1066{ }^{1}$ | 0.783 | 100 | 18.4 | LOS B | 37.1 | 937.9 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 872 | 1.5 |  | 0.783 |  | 20.1 | LOS C | 37.1 | 937.9 |  |  |  |  |
| West: EB US 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane 1 | 777 | 1.1 | $637^{1}$ | 1.219 | 100 | 142.5 | LOS F | 74.6 | 1881.1 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 19.7 |
| Lane 2 | 415 | 1.1 | $626{ }^{1}$ | 0.663 | 100 | 30.7 | LOS C | 18.2 | 459.0 | Short | 225 | 0.0 | NA |
| Approach | 1191 | 1.1 |  | 1.219 |  | 103.6 | LOS F | 74.6 | 1881.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection | 2665 | 1.3 |  | 1.219 |  | 68.0 | LOS E | 74.6 | 1881.1 |  |  |  |  |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& v/c (HCM 2010). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Lane LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per lane.
LOS F will result if v/c>1 irrespective of lane delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all lanes (v/c not used as specified in HCM 2010). SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
1 Reduced capacity due to a short lane effect. Short lane queues may extend into the full-length lanes. Some upstream delays at entry to short lanes are not included.
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## LANE SUMMARY

## $\nabla$ Site: 1 [Pioneer RB Summer Sunday Peak Hour]

2019 Myers RB Sidra Standard EF 1.05
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Lane Use and Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Demand Total veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { lows } \\ \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. \% | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Bac <br> Veh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Queue } \\ & \text { Dist } \\ & \mathrm{ft} \end{aligned}$ | Lane Config | Lane Length ft | Cap. <br> Adj. \% | Prob. Block. \% |
| South: NB Pioneer Trail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane $1^{\text {d }}$ | 559 | 1.5 | 956 | 0.584 | 100 | 17.2 | LOS B | 6.0 | 152.0 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lane 2 | 43 | 1.0 | 635 | 0.067 | 100 | 9.4 | LOS A | 0.4 | 9.0 | Short | 200 | 0.0 | NA |
| Approach | 601 | 1.5 |  | 0.584 |  | 16.6 | LOS B | 6.0 | 152.0 |  |  |  |  |
| East: WB US 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane $1^{\text {d }}$ | 289 | 1.4 | 1016 | 0.284 | $95^{6}$ | 7.2 | LOS A | 2.1 | 53.2 | Short | 150 | 0.0 | NA |
| Lane 2 | 584 | 1.5 | 1947 | 0.300 | 100 | 4.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 872 | 1.5 |  | 0.300 |  | 5.0 | LOS A | 2.1 | 53.2 |  |  |  |  |
| West: EB US 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane $1^{\text {d }}$ | 777 | 1.1 | 1706 | 0.455 | 100 | 4.1 | LOS A | 3.9 | 99.2 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lane 2 | 415 | 1.1 | 1656 | 0.250 | 100 | 3.7 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | Short | 150 | 0.0 | NA |
| Approach | 1191 | 1.1 |  | 0.455 |  | 4.0 | LOS A | 3.9 | 99.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection | 2665 | 1.3 |  | 0.584 |  | 7.2 | LOS A | 6.0 | 152.0 |  |  |  |  |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& v/c (HCM 2010). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Lane LOS values are based on average delay and $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio (degree of saturation) per lane.
LOS $F$ will result if $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}>1$ irrespective of lane delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all lanes (v/c not used as specified in HCM 2010).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
6 Lane under-utilisation due to downstream effects
d Dominant lane on roundabout approach
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Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ |
| End Time | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Vehs Entered | 2602 | 2514 | 2433 | 2441 | 2476 | 2509 | 2433 |
| Vehs Exited | 2614 | 2513 | 2431 | 2451 | 2465 | 2505 | 2425 |
| Starting Vehs | 62 | 54 | 57 | 62 | 52 | 49 | 53 |
| Ending Vehs | 50 | 55 | 59 | 52 | 63 | 53 | 61 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 1293 | 1258 | 1212 | 1217 | 1229 | 1251 | 1208 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 59.5 | 55.4 | 53.3 | 53.7 | 53.7 | 55.4 | 53.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 23.0 | 20.0 | 19.2 | 19.4 | 19.1 | 20.5 | 18.9 |
| Total Stops | 1862 | 1632 | 1695 | 1568 | 1647 | 1655 | 1636 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 49.0 | 46.8 | 45.4 | 45.2 | 45.5 | 47.0 | 44.8 |

Summary of All Intervals

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| Start Time | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ |
| End Time | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Vehs Entered | 2498 | 2472 | 2528 | 2491 |
| Vehs Exited | 2510 | 2472 | 2532 | 2492 |
| Starting Vehs | 52 | 62 | 61 | 57 |
| Ending Vehs | 40 | 62 | 57 | 54 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 1249 | 1226 | 1260 | 1240 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 56.5 | 54.4 | 60.1 | 55.5 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 21.4 | 19.9 | 24.5 | 20.6 |
| Total Stops | 1768 | 1683 | 1910 | 1706 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 46.9 | 45.7 | 47.6 | 46.4 |

Interval \#O Information Seeding

| Start Time | $6: 50$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 10 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 15$ |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |
| Volumes adjusted by PHF, Growth Factors. |  |


| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehs Entered | 677 | 687 | 629 | 641 | 659 | 650 | 649 |
| Vehs Exited | 668 | 665 | 635 | 655 | 648 | 646 | 637 |
| Starting Vehs | 62 | 54 | 57 | 62 | 52 | 49 | 53 |
| Ending Vehs | 71 | 76 | 51 | 48 | 63 | 53 | 65 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 333 | 338 | 316 | 323 | 327 | 320 | 321 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 15.8 | 15.6 | 14.2 | 15.1 | 14.7 | 14.3 | 14.7 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 6.3 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.7 |
| Total Stops | 499 | 486 | 454 | 479 | 465 | 440 | 475 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 12.8 | 12.8 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 12.2 | 12.1 | 12.1 |

## Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 00$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 15$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by PHF, Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 701 | 676 | 716 | 668 |
| Vehs Exited | 687 | 680 | 709 | 663 |
| Starting Vehs | 52 | 62 | 61 | 57 |
| Ending Vehs | 66 | 58 | 68 | 61 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 346 | 335 | 353 | 331 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 16.7 | 16.1 | 19.3 | 15.6 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 6.9 | 6.6 | 9.3 | 6.3 |
| Total Stops | 534 | 541 | 663 | 504 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 13.3 | 12.8 | 13.9 | 12.6 |

Interval \#2 Information Recording

| Start Time | 7:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End Time | 8:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Vehs Entered | 1925 | 1827 | 1804 | 1800 | 1817 | 1859 | 1784 |
| Vehs Exited | 1946 | 1848 | 1796 | 1796 | 1817 | 1859 | 1788 |
| Starting Vehs | 71 | 76 | 51 | 48 | 63 | 53 | 65 |
| Ending Vehs | 50 | 55 | 59 | 52 | 63 | 53 | 61 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 960 | 920 | 897 | 894 | 902 | 930 | 888 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 43.7 | 39.8 | 39.1 | 38.5 | 39.0 | 41.2 | 38.3 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 16.7 | 13.8 | 13.9 | 13.4 | 13.7 | 15.2 | 13.2 |
| Total Stops | 1363 | 1146 | 1241 | 1089 | 1182 | 1215 | 1161 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 36.3 | 34.0 | 33.6 | 32.9 | 33.3 | 34.9 | 32.7 |

## Interval \#2 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 15$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $8: 00$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 45 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 1797 | 1796 | 1812 | 1822 |
| Vehs Exited | 1823 | 1792 | 1823 | 1829 |
| Starting Vehs | 66 | 58 | 68 | 61 |
| Ending Vehs | 40 | 62 | 57 | 54 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 903 | 891 | 907 | 909 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 39.8 | 38.3 | 40.7 | 39.9 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 14.4 | 13.3 | 15.2 | 14.3 |
| Total Stops | 1234 | 1142 | 1247 | 1202 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 33.6 | 32.9 | 33.7 | 33.8 |

3: Performance by approach Interval \#1 7:00

| Approach | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 36.7 | 18.6 | 19.2 | 23.1 |

3: Performance by approach Interval \#2 7:15

| Approach | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 29.5 | 15.6 | 17.0 | 19.2 |

3: Performance by approach Entire Run

| Approach | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 32.0 | 16.5 | 17.7 | 20.5 |

Total Network Performance By Interval

| Interval Start | $7: 00$ | $7: 15$ | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 29.9 | 25.9 | 27.6 |

Intersection: 3: , Interval \#1

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 316 | 282 | 28 | 282 | 254 | 118 | 65 | 315 | 284 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 245 | 167 | 12 | 199 | 152 | 74 | 36 | 211 | 159 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 348 | 324 | 32 | 300 | 272 | 132 | 72 | 330 | 291 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 719 |  | 540 | 540 |  |  | 447 | 447 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 225 | 300 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 325 |  | 300 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 4 | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 3: , Interval \#2

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 302 | 253 | 34 | 274 | 246 | 132 | 90 | 343 | 308 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 205 | 122 | 10 | 174 | 124 | 61 | 32 | 195 | 129 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 293 | 253 | 26 | 254 | 229 | 111 | 72 | 304 | 268 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 719 |  | 540 | 540 |  |  | 447 | 447 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 225 | 300 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 325 |  | 300 |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: , All Intervals

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 329 | 293 | 37 | 295 | 262 | 156 | 92 | 354 | 327 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 215 | 133 | 11 | 180 | 131 | 64 | 33 | 199 | 136 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 311 | 274 | 28 | 268 | 241 | 117 | 72 | 311 | 275 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 719 |  | 540 | 540 |  |  | 447 | 447 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 225 | 300 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 325 |  | 300 |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 5: Bend, Interval \#1

| Movement | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 63 | 56 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 133 | 117 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 540 | 540 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 5: Bend, Interval \#2

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 5: Bend, All Intervals

| Movement | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 63 | 56 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 63 | 56 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 540 | 540 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

## Intersection: 6: Bend, Interval \#1

| Movement | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 143 | 46 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 20 | 7 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 179 | 97 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 447 | 447 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 6: Bend, Interval \#2

| Movement | NB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 280 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 21 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 180 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 447 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

## Intersection: 6: Bend, All Intervals

| Movement | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 330 | 46 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 21 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 180 | 46 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 447 | 447 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |
| Network wide Queuing Penalty, Interval \#1: 22 |  |  |
| Network wide Queuing Penalty, Interval \#2: 2 |  |  |
| Network wide Queuing Penalty, All Intervals: 7 |  |  |

## LANE SUMMARY

## Site: 1 [Pioneer RB Summer Friday Peak Hour - Sensitivity]

2019 Pioneer RB Sidra Standard EF 1.05; sensitivity analysis 1\% growth over 20 years, applied a 120\% volume factor in SIDRA
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Lane Use and Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Demand Total veh/h | $\begin{array}{r} \text { lows } \\ \text { HV } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane \% | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Bac Veh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Queue } \\ & \text { Dist } \\ & \mathrm{ft} \end{aligned}$ | Lane Config | Lane Length ft | Cap. Adj. \% | Prob. Block. \% |
| South: NB Pioneer Trail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane $1^{\text {d }}$ | 319 | 3.0 | 922 | 0.346 | 100 | 14.0 | LOS B | 2.5 | 64.0 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lane 2 | 63 | 1.0 | 625 | 0.100 | 100 | 9.2 | LOS A | 0.6 | 14.0 | Short | 200 | 0.0 | NA |
| Approach | 381 | 2.7 |  | 0.346 |  | 13.2 | LOS B | 2.5 | 64.0 |  |  |  |  |
| East: WB US 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane $1^{\text {d }}$ | 241 | 2.3 | 1245 | 0.193 | $95^{6}$ | 7.1 | LOS A | 1.2 | 30.8 | Short | 150 | 0.0 | NA |
| Lane 2 | 390 | 3.0 | 1918 | 0.204 | 100 | 3.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 631 | 2.7 |  | 0.204 |  | 5.0 | LOS A | 1.2 | 30.8 |  |  |  |  |
| West: EB US 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane $1^{\text {d }}$ | 744 | 3.0 | 1484 | 0.501 | 100 | 4.2 | LOS A | 4.1 | 104.7 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lane 2 | 712 | 1.0 | 1658 | 0.430 | 100 | 3.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | Short | 150 | 0.0 | NA |
| Approach | 1456 | 2.0 |  | 0.501 |  | 3.9 | LOS A | 4.1 | 104.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection | 2469 | 2.3 |  | 0.501 |  | 5.7 | LOS A | 4.1 | 104.7 |  |  |  |  |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& v/c (HCM 2010). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Lane LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per lane.
LOS F will result if $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}>1$ irrespective of lane delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all lanes (v/c not used as specified in HCM 2010).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
6 Lane under-utilisation due to downstream effects
d Dominant lane on roundabout approach

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2018 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: GHD SERVICES PTY LTD | Processed: Saturday, August 10, 2019 11:34:43 AM
Project: K:\PRJ\2610\A2610\To Caltrans\2019 Sidra50_Pioneer.sip8

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ |
| End Time | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Vehs Entered | 2337 | 2289 | 2440 | 2316 | 2338 | 2328 | 2352 |
| Vehs Exited | 2327 | 2288 | 2445 | 2330 | 2325 | 2337 | 2358 |
| Starting Vehs | 41 | 45 | 48 | 56 | 53 | 46 | 45 |
| Ending Vehs | 51 | 46 | 43 | 42 | 66 | 37 | 39 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 1141 | 1119 | 1196 | 1133 | 1138 | 1141 | 1152 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 48.8 | 47.0 | 52.6 | 47.7 | 48.3 | 48.6 | 49.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 16.0 | 14.9 | 18.2 | 14.9 | 15.5 | 15.9 | 15.9 |
| Total Stops | 1362 | 1312 | 1471 | 1323 | 1328 | 1385 | 1373 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 39.8 | 39.0 | 42.9 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 40.2 | 40.5 |

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ |
| End Time | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Vehs Entered | 2399 | 2364 | 2410 | 2356 |
| Vehs Exited | 2410 | 2361 | 2418 | 2360 |
| Starting Vehs | 38 | 56 | 46 | 46 |
| Ending Vehs | 27 | 59 | 38 | 43 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 1175 | 1153 | 1176 | 1152 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 50.5 | 50.4 | 52.5 | 49.5 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 16.7 | 17.2 | 18.4 | 16.4 |
| Total Stops | 1423 | 1427 | 1523 | 1393 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 41.3 | 40.9 | 41.8 | 40.5 |

Interval \#O Information Seeding

| Start Time | $6: 45$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 00$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| End Time | $7: 15$ |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |
| Volumes adjusted by PHF, Growth Factors. |  |


| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehs Entered | 607 | 610 | 652 | 615 | 625 | 599 | 616 |
| Vehs Exited | 587 | 600 | 644 | 628 | 631 | 603 | 621 |
| Starting Vehs | 41 | 45 | 48 | 56 | 53 | 46 | 45 |
| Ending Vehs | 61 | 55 | 56 | 43 | 47 | 42 | 40 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 289 | 296 | 319 | 302 | 307 | 293 | 303 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 12.8 | 13.1 | 14.3 | 13.0 | 13.4 | 13.0 | 12.7 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 4.5 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.0 |
| Total Stops | 378 | 382 | 374 | 366 | 384 | 384 | 339 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 10.3 | 10.6 | 11.8 | 10.7 | 10.8 | 10.5 | 10.6 |

## Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 00$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 15$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by PHF, Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 650 | 667 | 658 | 630 |
| Vehs Exited | 633 | 656 | 645 | 624 |
| Starting Vehs | 38 | 56 | 46 | 46 |
| Ending Vehs | 55 | 67 | 59 | 52 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 314 | 322 | 316 | 306 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 14.3 | 15.5 | 14.6 | 13.7 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 5.3 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 4.8 |
| Total Stops | 439 | 461 | 427 | 394 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 11.4 | 12.1 | 11.4 | 11.0 |

Interval \#2 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 15$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $8: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 45 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF. |  |


| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehs Entered | 1730 | 1679 | 1788 | 1701 | 1713 | 1729 | 1736 |
| Vehs Exited | 1740 | 1688 | 1801 | 1702 | 1694 | 1734 | 1737 |
| Starting Vehs | 61 | 55 | 56 | 43 | 47 | 42 | 40 |
| Ending Vehs | 51 | 46 | 43 | 42 | 66 | 37 | 39 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 852 | 823 | 877 | 831 | 831 | 848 | 849 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 36.0 | 34.0 | 38.3 | 34.7 | 34.9 | 35.6 | 36.4 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 11.5 | 10.2 | 13.1 | 10.7 | 10.9 | 11.3 | 11.9 |
| Total Stops | 984 | 930 | 1097 | 957 | 944 | 1001 | 1034 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 29.5 | 28.5 | 31.1 | 28.8 | 28.7 | 29.7 | 29.9 |

## Interval \#2 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 15$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $8: 00$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 45 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 1749 | 1697 | 1752 | 1727 |
| Vehs Exited | 1777 | 1705 | 1773 | 1735 |
| Starting Vehs | 55 | 67 | 59 | 52 |
| Ending Vehs | 27 | 59 | 38 | 43 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 862 | 831 | 860 | 846 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 36.2 | 34.9 | 37.9 | 35.9 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 11.4 | 11.0 | 13.1 | 11.5 |
| Total Stops | 984 | 966 | 1096 | 1000 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 29.9 | 28.8 | 30.4 | 29.5 |

3: Performance by approach

| Approach | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 26.0 | 16.1 | 14.3 | 17.2 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 22.6 |

Intersection: 3:

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 240 | 195 | 44 | 267 | 307 | 296 | 141 | 211 | 178 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 133 | 47 | 15 | 162 | 119 | 147 | 66 | 111 | 44 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 207 | 148 | 32 | 246 | 250 | 263 | 117 | 187 | 132 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 719 |  | 540 | 540 |  |  | 447 | 447 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 300 |  |  | 225 | 300 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 325 |  | 300 |  | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 1 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Bend

| Movement | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 233 | 46 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 13 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 137 | 46 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 447 | 447 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bal Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Bk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |
| Network wide Queuing Penalty: 12 |  |  |

## LANE SUMMARY

## Site: 1 [Pioneer RB Summer Sunday Peak Hour - Sensitivity]

2019 Myers RB Sidra Standard EF 1.05; sensitivity analysis 1\% growth over 20 years, applied a 120\% volume factor in SIDRA
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Lane Use and Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Demand <br> Total veh/h | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lows } \\ & \text { HV } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. \% | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Veh | Queue Dist ft | Lane Config | Lane Length ft | Cap. <br> Adj. <br> \% | Prob. Block. \% |
| South: NB Pioneer Trail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane $1^{\text {d }}$ | 670 | 1.5 | 813 | 0.824 | 100 | 31.9 | LOS C | 15.5 | 393.1 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lane 2 | 51 | 1.0 | 550 | 0.093 | 100 | 11.3 | LOS B | 0.5 | 13.7 | Short | 200 | 0.0 | NA |
| Approach | 721 | 1.5 |  | 0.824 |  | 30.4 | LOS C | 15.5 | 393.1 |  |  |  |  |
| East: WB US 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane $1^{\text {d }}$ | 313 | 1.4 | 876 | 0.357 | $95^{6}$ | 8.1 | LOS A | 2.9 | 74.4 | Short | 150 | 0.0 | NA |
| Lane 2 | 734 | 1.5 | 1947 | 0.377 | 100 | 4.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 1047 | 1.5 |  | 0.377 |  | 5.2 | LOS A | 2.9 | 74.4 |  |  |  |  |
| West: EB US 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane $1^{\text {d }}$ | 932 | 1.1 | 1692 | 0.551 | 100 | 4.2 | LOS A | 5.7 | 143.4 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lane 2 | 498 | 1.1 | 1656 | 0.301 | 100 | 3.7 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | Short | 150 | 0.0 | NA |
| Approach | 1430 | 1.1 |  | 0.551 |  | 4.0 | LOS A | 5.7 | 143.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection | 3198 | 1.3 |  | 0.824 |  | 10.4 | LOS B | 15.5 | 393.1 |  |  |  |  |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& v/c (HCM 2010). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Lane LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per lane.
LOS F will result if $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}>1$ irrespective of lane delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all lanes (v/c not used as specified in HCM 2010).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

6 Lane under-utilisation due to downstream effects
d Dominant lane on roundabout approach

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2018 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: GHD SERVICES PTY LTD | Processed: Saturday, August 10, 2019 11:35:21 AM
Project: K:\PRJ\2610\A2610\To Caltrans\2019 Sidra50_Pioneer.sip8

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ |
| End Time | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Vehs Entered | 3040 | 2988 | 2954 | 2878 | 2927 | 3018 | 3035 |
| Vehs Exited | 3035 | 2995 | 2977 | 2896 | 2888 | 3019 | 2982 |
| Starting Vehs | 83 | 91 | 83 | 87 | 63 | 94 | 58 |
| Ending Vehs | 88 | 84 | 60 | 69 | 102 | 93 | 111 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 1511 | 1494 | 1480 | 1442 | 1446 | 1509 | 1494 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 98.3 | 75.8 | 84.6 | 73.4 | 80.4 | 93.5 | 91.9 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 55.8 | 33.9 | 43.0 | 32.9 | 39.8 | 51.1 | 49.9 |
| Total Stops | 3428 | 2636 | 3065 | 2408 | 2762 | 3207 | 3131 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 65.3 | 58.8 | 60.7 | 56.3 | 58.7 | 63.5 | 62.4 |

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ | $6: 45$ |
| End Time | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Vehs Entered | 3043 | 2947 | 3008 | 2984 |
| Vehs Exited | 3042 | 2959 | 3024 | 2982 |
| Starting Vehs | 68 | 83 | 86 | 79 |
| Ending Vehs | 69 | 71 | 70 | 81 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 1518 | 1471 | 1502 | 1487 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 84.0 | 80.1 | 95.1 | 85.7 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 41.3 | 38.7 | 52.7 | 43.9 |
| Total Stops | 2998 | 2781 | 3290 | 2971 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 61.2 | 59.1 | 63.7 | 61.0 |

Interval \#O Information Seeding

| Start Time | $6: 45$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

Interval \#1 Information Seeding

| Start Time | $7: 00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 15$ |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |
| Volumes adjusted by PHF, Growth Factors. |  |


| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehs Entered | 827 | 779 | 801 | 772 | 784 | 776 | 787 |
| Vehs Exited | 766 | 790 | 775 | 759 | 751 | 771 | 735 |
| Starting Vehs | 83 | 91 | 83 | 87 | 63 | 94 | 58 |
| Ending Vehs | 144 | 80 | 109 | 100 | 96 | 99 | 110 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 391 | 395 | 392 | 381 | 381 | 386 | 377 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 26.0 | 20.3 | 24.2 | 20.9 | 22.1 | 23.5 | 20.6 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 15.0 | 9.3 | 13.3 | 10.3 | 11.4 | 12.7 | 10.1 |
| Total Stops | 958 | 728 | 961 | 733 | 776 | 867 | 759 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 17.1 | 15.6 | 16.6 | 15.2 | 15.7 | 16.0 | 15.2 |

Interval \#1 Information Seeding

| Start Time | $7: 00$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 15$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 15 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by PHF, Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 780 | 815 | 822 | 794 |
| Vehs Exited | 760 | 781 | 795 | 767 |
| Starting Vehs | 68 | 83 | 86 | 79 |
| Ending Vehs | 88 | 117 | 113 | 105 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 385 | 396 | 400 | 388 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 22.1 | 23.8 | 27.6 | 23.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 11.3 | 12.7 | 16.3 | 12.2 |
| Total Stops | 764 | 927 | 1019 | 849 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 15.7 | 16.4 | 17.6 | 16.1 |

Interval \#2 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 15$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $8: 00$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Vehs Entered | 2213 | 2209 | 2153 | 2106 | 2143 | 2242 | 2248 |
| Vehs Exited | 2269 | 2205 | 2202 | 2137 | 2137 | 2248 | 2247 |
| Starting Vehs | 144 | 80 | 109 | 100 | 96 | 99 | 110 |
| Ending Vehs | 88 | 84 | 60 | 69 | 102 | 93 | 111 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 1120 | 1099 | 1088 | 1061 | 1065 | 1123 | 1118 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 72.4 | 55.5 | 60.4 | 52.4 | 58.3 | 69.9 | 71.3 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 40.8 | 24.6 | 29.7 | 22.6 | 28.4 | 38.4 | 39.8 |
| Total Stops | 2470 | 1908 | 2104 | 1675 | 1986 | 2340 | 2372 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 48.2 | 43.2 | 44.1 | 41.1 | 43.0 | 47.5 | 47.2 |

## Interval \#2 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 15$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $8: 00$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 45 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors, Anti PHF. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 2263 | 2132 | 2186 | 2190 |
| Vehs Exited | 2282 | 2178 | 2229 | 2213 |
| Starting Vehs | 88 | 117 | 113 | 105 |
| Ending Vehs | 69 | 71 | 70 | 81 |
| Travel Distance (mi) | 1134 | 1075 | 1101 | 1098 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 61.9 | 56.3 | 67.5 | 62.6 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 30.0 | 26.0 | 36.4 | 31.7 |
| Total Stops | 2234 | 1854 | 2271 | 2123 |
| Fuel Used (gal) | 45.5 | 42.7 | 46.1 | 44.9 |

3: Performance by approach

| Approach | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 78.7 | 25.2 | 27.8 | 38.1 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 49.4 |

Intersection: 3:

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | B5 | SB | SB | SB | B6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | T | T | R | T | L | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 408 | 642 | 217 | 462 | 454 | 283 | 7 | 204 | 472 | 431 | 158 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 338 | 351 | 35 | 260 | 224 | 104 | 0 | 44 | 288 | 239 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 468 | 681 | 177 | 410 | 400 | 230 | 8 | 148 | 445 | 421 | 110 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 719 |  | 540 | 540 |  | 1102 |  | 447 | 447 | 474 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 4 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 325 |  | 300 |  |  | 225 |  | 300 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 38 | 14 |  |  | 5 | 0 |  |  | 11 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 137 | 52 |  |  | 25 | 0 |  |  | 5 |  |  |

Intersection: 5: Bend

| Movement | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 586 | 165 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 69 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 374 | 114 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 540 | 540 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 4 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Bend

| Movement | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 488 | 435 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 111 | 31 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 439 | 221 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 447 | 447 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 5 | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

## Network Summary

## Network wide Queuing Penalty: 229

## Appendix C.

Roundabout Performance Based Checks

Roundabout Performance Based Checks
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Pioneer Trail/US50 Intersection Safety Improvement Project
 Meyers, California



Figure 1

## Fastpath (SB)

LEGEND:
FAST PATH
(D\# FAST PATH SPEED IDENTIFIER

| Movement | Southbound <br> US 50 <br> Radius (ft) | Southbound <br> US50 <br> Speed (S\#) | Southbound <br> US 50 <br> Radius (ft) | Southbound <br> US50 Bypass <br> Speed (S\#) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entering (V1) | 164.8 | 24.7 | 162.0 | 24.5 |
| Circulating (V2) | 1133 | 19.7 | 19.2 | 20.0 |
| Exiting (V) | N/A | 31.1 | 75.9 | 29.8 |
| Left Turn (V4) | N/A | 15.0 | N/A | N/A |
| Right Turn (V5) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

All values are in miles per hour
3 exiting speeds are derived from vehicle acceleration formulas in NCHRP 672
fast path speed measured at exit crosswalk or 100 feet downstream from V2.
As acceleration potential of vehicle determines actual exiting speed, V3 presented is a conservative estimate.
path speed does not exist for this approach
$2 \%$ cross-slope assumed for determining Fastest path


Pioneer Trail/US50 Intersection Safety Improvement Project
 GHD Inc. Ti916 7828688 V

## Fastpath (WB)

## LEGEND:

(D\#) FAST PATH PATH SPEED IDENTIFIER

| Movement | Westbound <br> Pioneer Trail <br> Radius (ft) | Westbound <br> Pioneer Trail <br> Speed (W\#) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Entering (V1) | 156.4 | 24.2 |
| Circulating (V2) | N/A | N/A |
| Exiting (V3) | N/A | N/A |
| Left Turn (V4) | 53.7 | 15.0 |
| Right Turn (V5) | 161.1 | 24.5 |

Notes:
All values are in miles per hour
V3 exiting speeds are derived from vehicle acceleration formulas in NCHRP 672
V3 fast path speed measured at exit crosswalk or 100 feet downstream from V2.
As acceleration potential of vehicle determines actual exiting speed, V3 presented is a conservative estimate
N/A = Fastest path speed does not exist for this approach
$2 \%$ cross-slope assumed for determining Fastest path



Figure 3

## STAA Truck Turns (NB)

## VEHICLE PROFILE
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## STAA Truck Turns (SB)
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## BUS 45 TURNS (SB)
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## BUS 45 TURNS (WB)
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## STOPPING SIGHT DISTANCE - YIELD LINE

| Approach | Design Speed | Stopping Sight Distance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | (mph) | (feet) |
| Northbound Entrance Route 50 | 45.0 | 360.0 |
| Southbound Entrance Route 50 | 45.0 | 360.0 |
| Westbound Entrance Pioneer Trail | 45.0 | 360.0 |
| Notes: Stopping Sight Distance criteria obtained from Caltrans HDM. |  |  |

Pioneer Trail/US50 Intersection Safety Improvement Project
Figure 10

Meyers, California

## STOPPING SIGHT DISTANCE - PEDESTRIANS

| Approach | Design Speed | Stopping Sight Distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (mph) | (feet) |
| Northbound Entrance Route 50 | 45.0 | 360.0 |
| Northbound Circulating from Route 50 (V2) | 18.2 | 115.8 |
| Northbound Right from Route 50 | 20.9 | 129.4 |
| Southbound Entrance Route 50 | 45.0 | 360.0 |
| Southbound Circulating Route 50 (V2) | 19.7 | 123.3 |
| Southbound Entrance from Route 50 Bypass | 45.0 | 360.0 |
| Southbound Circulating from Route 50 Bypass (V2) | 20.0 | 125.2 |
| Westbound Entrance from Pioneer Trail | 45.0 | 360.0 |
| Westbound Right from Pioneer Trail (V5) | 24.5 | 147.4 |
| Notes: 1. Stopping Sight Distance criteria obtained from Caltrans HDM. <br> 2. To be conservative, fastpath speeds were used for right turn movements. |  |  |



Pioneer Trail/US50 Intersection Safety Improvement Project

## INTERSECTION SIGHT DISTANCE (NB/SB)

| LEGEND: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | SIGHT TRIANGLE |
| $\begin{aligned} & t_{c} \\ & v \\ & d \end{aligned}$ | CRITICAL HEADWAY FOR ENTERING THE MAJOR ROADS DESIGN SPEED (R4) OF CONFLICTING MOVEMENT (MPH) length of entering/Circulating leg of sight triangle |
| NOTE: <br> FOR CALCULATING THE SIGHT TRIANGLE FOR SOUTHBOUND TRAFFIC THE WESTBOUND LEFT LEFT TURN FASTPATH SPEED WAS USED DUE TO A LACK OF CRCULATING CONFLICT SPEED. |  |
|  |  |

FOR CALCULATING THE SIGHT TRIANGLE FOR SOUTHBOUND TRAFFIC THE
WESTIOUND LETT LEFT TURN FASTPATH SPEED WAS USED DUE TO A LACK
OF CIRCULATING CONFLICT SPEED. of Circulating conflict speed.

| Approach | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Conflicting Speed } \\ (\mathrm{mph}) \end{array}$ | $\underset{\text { (feet) }}{\text { Sight Triangle Length }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northbound Route 50 |  |  |
| Entering Leg (D1, N/A) | N/A | N/A |
| Circulating Leg (D2, Northbound Route 50) | 15.0 | 110.2 |
| Southbound Route 50 |  |  |
| Entering Leg (D1, Pioneer Trail) | 24.3 | 178.7 |
| Circulating Leg (D2, N/A) | N/A | N/A |
| Notes: Intersection Sight Distance criteria obtained from NCHRP Report 672 with 5.0 second Critical Headway (tc) |  |  |



## INTERSECTION SIGHT DISTANCE (WB)




## INTERSECTION VIEW ANGLES
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Pioneer Trail/US50 Intersection Safety Improvement Project
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Appendix D. Cost Estimates and Life Cycle Costs

## Preliminary Cost Estimate

## US 50 at Pioneer Trail Intersection Safety Improvement Project



## I. ROADWAY ITEMS SUMMARY

| Section |  | Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Earthwork | \$ | 457,200 |
| 2 | Pavement Structural Section | \$ | 1,534,400 |
| 3 | Drainage | \$ | 255,100 |
| 4 | Specialty Items | \$ | 196,300 |
| 5 | Environmental | \$ | 315,300 |
| 6 | Traffic Items | \$ | 728,200 |
| 7 | Detours | \$ | 95,000 |
| 8 | Minor Items | \$ | 179,100 |
| 9 | Roadway Mobilization | \$ | 376,100 |
| 10 | Supplemental Work | \$ | 341,600 |
| 11 | State Furnished | \$ | 83,000 |
| 12 | Contingencies | \$ | 684,200 |
| 13 | Overhead | \$ | - |

## TOTAL ROADWAY ITEMS <br> \$ 5,245,500

Estimate Prepared By

| Ron Boyle P.E. | $1 / 14 / 2020$ | 9167828688 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name and Title | Date | Phone |

Estimate Reviewed By

|  | Name and Title | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

By signing this estimate you are attesting that you have discussed your project with all functional units and have incorporated all their comments or have discussed with them why they will not be incorporated.

## SECTION 1: EARTHWORK

| Item code |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 160101 | Clearing \& Grubbing |
| 170101 | Develop Water Supply |
| 190101 | Roadway Excavation |
| 190103 | Roadway Excavation (Type Y) ADL |
| 190105 | Roadway Excavation (Type Z-2) ADL |
| 192037 | Structure Excavation (Retaining Wall) |
| 193013 | Structure Backfill (Retaining Wall) |
| 193031 | Pervious Backfill Material (Retaining Wall) |
| 194001 | Ditch Excavation |
| 198001 | Impored Borrow |
| 198007 | Imported Material (Shoulder Backing) |


| Unit | Quantity | Unit Price (\$) |  |  |  | Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| LS | 1 | x | $50,000.00$ | $=$ | $\$$ | 50,000 |  |
| LS | 1 | x | $18,250.00$ | $=$ | $\$$ | 18,250 |  |
| CY | 7,928 | x | 41.00 | $=$ | $\$$ | 325,048 |  |
| CY |  | x |  | $=$ | $\$$ | - |  |
| CY |  | x |  | $=$ | $\$$ | - |  |
| CY |  | x |  | $=$ | $\$$ | - |  |
| CY |  | x |  | $=$ | $\$$ | - |  |
| CY |  | x |  | $=$ | $\$$ | - |  |
| CY |  | x |  | $=$ | $\$$ | - |  |
| CY | 426 | x | 150.00 | $=$ | $\$$ | 63,900 |  |
| TON |  | x |  | $=$ | $\$$ | - |  |

## SECTION 2: PAVEMENT STRUCTURAL SECTION

| Item code |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 150771 | Remove Asphalt Concrete Dike |
| 150860 | Remove Base and Surfacing |
| 153103 | Cold Plane Asphalt Concrete Pavement |
| 1532XX | Remove Concrete (type) |
| 250401 | Class 4 Aggregate Subbase |
| 260201 | Class 2 Aggregate Base |
| 290201 | Asphalt Treated Permeable Base |
| 365001 | Sand Cover |
| 374002 | Asphaltic Emulsion (Fog Seal Coat) |
| 374492 | Asphaltic Emulsion (Polymer Modified) |
| 3750XX | Screenings (Type XX) |
| 377501 | Slurry Seal |
| 390095 | Replace Asphalt Concrete Surfacing |
| 390132 | Hot Mix Asphalt (Type A) |
| 390136 | Minor Hot Mix Asphalt |
| 390137 | Rubberized Hot Mix Asphalt (Gap Graded) |
| 393003 | Geosynthetic Pavement Interlayer |
| 39405X | Shoulder Rumber Strip (HMA, Type XX Indentation) |
| 394071 | Place Hot Mix Asphalt Dike |
| 394090 | Place Hot Mix Asphalt (Misc. Area) |
| 397005 | Tack Coat |
| 401000 | Concrete Pavement (truck apron) |
| 401108 | Replace Concrete Pavement (Rapid Strength Concrete) |
| 404092 | Seal Pavement Joint |
| 404094 | Seal Longitudinal Isolation Joint |
| 413112A | Repair Spalled Joints (Polyester Grout) |
| 413115 | Seal Existing Concrete Pavement Joint |
| 420102 | Groove Existing Concrete Pavement |
| 420201 | Grind Existing Concrete Pavement |
| 731502 | Minor Concrete (Misc. Const) |
| 731530 | Minor Concrete (Textured Paving) |
| XXXXXX | Some Item |


| Unit | Quantity |  | Unit Price (\$) |  | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LF |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| CY |  | x | 68.00 | = \$ | - |
| SQYD | 2,023 | x | 10.00 | = \$ | 20,230 |
| CY |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| CY |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| CY | 6,072 | x | 81.00 | = \$ | 491,832 |
| CY |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| TON |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| TON |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| TON |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| TON |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| TON |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| CY |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| TON | 4,758 | x | 180.00 | = \$ | 856,440 |
| TON |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| TON |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| SQYD | 10,747 | x | 9.50 | = \$ | 102,097 |
| STA |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| SQYD |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| TON | 5 | x | 2,600.00 | = \$ | 13,000 |
| CY | 71 | x | 715.00 | = \$ | 50,765 |
| CY |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| SQYD |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| SQYD |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| SQYD |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| CY |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| SQFT |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
|  |  | x |  | = \$ | - |

## SECTION 3: DRAINAGE

Item code
150206 Abandon Culvert
150805 Remove Culvert
150820 Modify Inlet
152430 Adjust Inlet
155003 Cap Inlet
193114 Sand Backfill
510502 Minor Concrete (Minor Structure)
510512 Minor Concrete (Box Culvert)
62XXXX XXX" APC Pipe
64XXXX 18" Plastic Pipe
65XXXX XXX" RCP Pipe
66XXXX XXX" CSP Pipe
68XXXX Edge Drain
69XXXX XXX" Pipe Downdrain
70XXXX XXX" Pipe Inlet
70XXXX XXX" Pipe Riser
70XXXX XXX" Flared End Section
703233 Grated Line Drain
72XXXX Rock Slope Protection (Type and Method)
721420 Concrete (Ditch Lining)
721430 Concrete (Channel Lining)
729010 Rock Slope Protection Fabric
750001 Miscellaneous Iron and Steel
XXXXXX Additional Drainage - Water Quality
510094 Structural Concrete Drainage Inlet

| Unit | Quantity |  | Unit Price (\$) |  | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LF |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| LF |  | X |  | = \$ | - |
| EA |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| EA |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| CY |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| CY |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| CY |  | X |  | $=\$$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| LF | 800 | x | 75.00 | = \$ | 60,000 |
| LF |  | X |  | = \$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  |  | - |
| LF |  | X |  | = \$ |  |
| LF |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| EA | 3 | x | 1,200.00 |  | 3,600 |
| LF |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| CY | 15 | x | 100.00 | $=\$$ | 1,500 |
| CY |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| CY |  | x |  |  | - |
| SQYD |  | x |  |  | - |
| LB |  | x | 4.75 | $=\$$ | - |
| LS | 1 | x | 100,000.00 | $=\$$ | 100,000 |
| EA | 20 | x | 4,500.00 | $=\$$ | 90,000 |

TOTAL DRAINAGE ITEMS
\$

## SECTION 4: SPECIALTY ITEMS

Item code
070012 Progress Schedule (Critical Path Method)
150662 Remove Metal Beam Guard Railing
150668 Remove Terminal Systems
1532XX Remove Barrier (Insert Type)
153250 Remove Sound Wall
190110 Lead Compliance Plan
49XXXX CIDH Concrete Piling (Insert Diameter)
510060 Structural Concrete (Retaining Wall)
731504
73150r Concrete (curb and Gutter)
5110XX Architectural Treatment (Insert Type)
511048 Apply Anti-Graffiti Coating
5136XX Reinforced Concrete Crib Wall (Insert Type)
518002 Sound Wall (Masonry Block)
520103 Bar Reinf. Steel (Retaining Wall)
80XXXX Fence (Insert Type)
832001 Metal Beam Guard Railing
839310 Double Thrie Beam Barrier
839521 Cable Railing
83954X Transition Railing (Insert Type)
8395XX Terminal System (Type CAT)
8395XX Alternative Flared Terminal System
8395XX End Anchor Assembly (Insert Type)
839561 Rail Tensioning Assembly
839XXX Crash Cushion (Insert Type)
83XXXX Concrete Barrier (Insert Type)
730070 Dectectable Warning Surface

| Unit | Quantity | Unit Price (\$) |  | Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LS | 1 | x | 4,500.00 | $=$ | \$ | 4,500 |
| LF |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| EA |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| SQFT |  | X |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| LS | 1 | x | 3,000.00 | $=$ | \$ | 3,000 |
| LF |  | X |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| CY |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| CY | 94 | x | 853.00 | $=$ | \$ | 80,182 |
| CY | 84 | x | 933.00 | $=$ | \$ | 78,372 |
| SQFT |  | X |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| SQFT |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| SQFT |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| SQFT |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| LB |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| LF |  | X |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| LF |  | X |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| LF |  | X |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| EA |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| EA |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| EA |  | X |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| EA |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| EA |  | x |  |  | \$ | - |
| EA |  | x |  |  | \$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| SQFT | 630 | X | 48.00 | $=$ | \$ | 30,240 |

## SECTION 5: ENVIRONMENTAL

\section*{5A - ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION <br> | m code |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Biological Mitigation |
| 071325 | TEMPORARY REINFORCED SILT FENCE |
| 071325 | Temporary Fence (Type ESA) | <br> 071325 Temporary Fence (Type ESA)}


| Unit | Quantity | Unit Price (\$) |  |  | Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| LS |  | x |  | $=$ | $\$$ |  |
| LF | 1,200 | x | 5.00 | $=$ | $\$$ |  |
| LF | 1,900 | x | 8.00 | $=$ | $\$ 000$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ \$ $\qquad$
5B - LANDSCAPE AND IRRIGATION
m code
200001 Highway Planting
20XXXX XXX" (Insert Type) Conduit (Use for Irrigation x-overs)
20XXXX Extend XXX" (Insert Type) Conduit Use for Extension of Irrigation x-overs)
201700 Imported Topsoil
2030 XX Erosion Control (Type__)
203021 Fiber Rolls
203026 Move In/ Move Out (Erosion Control)
204099 Plant Establishment Work
205035 Wood Mulch
208000 Irrigation System
208304 Water Meter
209801 Maintenance Vehicle Pullout
036370 Unmortared Rock Blanket
036376 Boulder

| Unit | Quantity |  | Unit Price (\$) |  | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LS |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| LF |  | X |  | = \$ |  |
| CY |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| SQYD | 6,207 | x | 2.70 | $=\$$ | 16,759 |
| LF |  | x | 15.00 | = \$ | - |
| EA | 6 | x | 500.00 | = \$ | 3,000 |
| LS |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| CY | 228 | x | 120.00 | = \$ | 27,360 |
| LS |  | x |  | $=\$$ |  |
| EA |  | x |  | = \$ |  |
| EA |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| SF | 6,860 | x | 9.50 | = \$ | 65,170 |
| EA | 12 | x | 800.00 |  | 9,600 |
|  | Subtotal Landscape and Irrigation |  |  |  |  |

$\$ \quad 47,119$

| 5C - NPDES |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| m code |  |
| 074016 | Construction Site Management |
| 074017 | Prepare WPCP |
| 074019 | Prepare SWPPP |
| 130530 | Temporary Hydraulic Mulch |
| 130570 | Temporary Cover |
| 074028 | Temporary Fiber Roll |
| 074032 | Temporary Concrete Washout Facility |
| 074033 | Temporary Construction Entrance |
| 074035 | Temporary Check Dam |
| 074037 | Move In/ Move Out (Temporary Erosion Control) |
| 074038 | Temp. Drainage Inlet Protection |
| 074041 | Street Sweeping |
| 074042 | Temporary Concrete Washout (Portable) |
| 130310 | Rain Event Action Plan |


| Unit | Quantity | Unit Price (\$) |  | Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LS | 1 | x | 75,000.00 | $=\$$ | 75,000 |
| LS |  | X |  | = \$ |  |
| LS | 1 | X | 3,200.00 | = \$ | 3,200 |
| SQYD | 6,207 | X | 3.00 | = \$ | 18,621 |
| SQYD | 1,552 | X | 10.00 | = \$ | 15,520 |
| LF | 1,862 | x | 15.00 | = \$ | 27,930 |
| EA | 1 | X | 5,000.00 | = \$ | 5,000 |
| EA | 1 | x | 5,000.00 | = \$ | 5,000 |
| LF | 100 | X | 13.00 | = \$ | 1,300 |
| EA | 6 | X | 600.00 | = \$ | 3,600 |
| EA | 6 | X | 325.00 | $=\$$ | 1,950 |
| LS | 1 | x | 100,000.00 | $=\$$ | 100,000 |
| LS | 1 | x | 5,000.00 | $=\$$ | 5,000 |
| EA | 9 | x | 500.00 | = \$ | 4,500 |

## Supplemental Work for NPDES

(These costs are not accounted in total here but under Supplemental Work on sheet 7 of 11).
066595 Water Pollution Control Maintenance Sharing*
066596 Additional Water Pollution Control**
066597 Storm Water Sampling and Analysis***
XXXXXX Some Item

| LS |  | $x$ |  | $=$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| LS |  |  |  | - |  |
| LS | 1 | $x$ | $3,500.00$ | $=$ | $\$$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]
## SECTION 6: TRAFFIC ITEMS

## 6A - Traffic Electrical

| Item code | Unit | Quantity | Unit Price (\$) |  | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 150760 Remove Sign Structure | EA |  | $x$ | $=$ \$ | - |
| 151581 Reconstruct Sign Structure | EA |  | x | = \$ | - |
| 152641 Modify Sign Structure | EA |  | x | = \$ | - |
| 5602XX Furnish Sign Structure | LB |  | X | = \$ | - |
| 5602XX Install Sign Structure | LB |  | X | = \$ | - |
| 56XXXX XXX" CIDHC Pile (Sign Foundation) | LF |  | x | = \$ | - |
| 860090 Maintain Existing Traffic Management System Elements During Construction | LS | 1 | 5,000.00 | = \$ | 5,000 |
| 860810 Inductive Loop Detectors | EA |  | x | = \$ | - |
| 86055X Lighting \& Sign Illumination | LS | 1 | 100,000.00 | $=\$$ | 100,000 |
| 8607XX Interconnection Facilities | LS |  | x | = \$ | - |
| 8609XX Traffic Monitoring Stations | LS |  | x | = \$ | - |
| 860XXX Modify Existing Electrical/Remove Signal | LS | 1 | 75,000.00 | $=\$$ | 75,000 |
| 8611XX Ramp Metering System (Location X) | LS |  | x | = \$ | - |
| 8611XX Ramp Metering System (Location X) | LS |  | x | $=\$$ | - |
| 86XXXX Fiber Optic Conduit System | LS |  | X | = \$ | - |
| XXXXX Flashing Beacon System | LS | 1 | x 25,000.00 | $=\$$ | 25,000 |

## 6B - Traffic Signing and Striping

m code
120090 Construction Area Signs
150701 Remove Yellow Painted Traffic Stripe
150710 Remove Traffic Stripe
150713 Remove Pavement Marking
150742 Remove Roadside Sign
152320 Reset Roadside Sign
152390 Relocate Roadside Sign
566011 Roadside Sign (One Post)
566012 Roadside Sign (Two Post)
560XXX Furnish Sign Panels
560XXX Install Sign Panels
82010X Delineator (Class X)
84XXXX Permanent Pavement Delineation

| Unit | Quantity |  | Unit Price (\$) |  | Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LS | 1 | x | 7,000.00 | $=$ | \$ | 7,000 |
| LF |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| SQFT |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| EA |  | X |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| EA |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| EA |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| EA | 30 | x | 250.00 | $=$ | \$ | 7,500 |
| EA | 6 | x | 750.00 | $=$ | \$ | 4,500 |
| SQFT |  | x |  | = | \$ | - |
| SQFT |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| EA |  | X |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| LS | 1 | x | 60,000.00 | = | \$ | 60,000 |
| Subtotal Traffic Signing and Striping |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\$$
79,000

## 6C - Stage Construction and Traffic Handling

## m code

120100 Traffic Control System
120120 Type III Barricade
120143 Temporary Pavement Delineation
12016X Channelizer
128650 Portable Changeable Message Signs
129000 Temporary Railing (Type K)
129100 Temp. Crash Cushion Module
129099A Traffic Plastic Drum
839603A Temporary Crash Cushion (ADIEM)
XXXXXX Some Item

| Unit | Quantity | Unit Price (\$) |  |  |  | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| LS | 1 | x | $350,000.00$ | $=$ | $\$$ | 350,000 |
| EA | 6 | x | 200.00 | $=$ | $\$$ | 1,200 |
| LF | 10,000 | x | 1.00 | $=$ | $\$$ | 10,000 |
| EA |  | x |  | $=$ | $\$$ | - |
| EA | 3 | x | $7,500.00$ | $=$ | $\$$ | 22,500 |
| LF | 1,500 | x | 37.00 | $=$ | $\$$ | 55,500 |
| EA |  | x |  | $=$ | $\$$ | - |
| EA | 100 | x | 90.00 | $=$ | $\$$ | 9,000 |
| EA | 6 | x | $3,500.00$ | $=$ | $\$$ | 21,000 |

Subtotal Stage Construction and Traffic Handling
$\$ \quad 469,200$

TOTAL TRAFFIC ITEMS

```
Include constructing, maintaining, and removal
m code
0713XX Temporary Fence
07XXXX Temporary Drainage
120143 Temporary Pavement Delineation
1286XX Temporary Signals
129000 Temporary Railing (Type K)
190101 Roadway Excavation
198001 Imported Borrow
198050 Embankment
250401 Class 4 Aggregate Subbase
260201 Class 2 Aggregate Base
390132 Hot Mix Asphalt (Type A)
XXXXXX Signs
```

| Unit | Quantity | Unit Price (\$) |  |  | Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LF |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ |  |
| LS | 1 | x | 10,000.00 | $=$ | \$ | 10,000 |
| LF | 1 | X | 10,000.00 | $=$ | \$ | 10,000 |
| EA | 1 | X | 75,000.00 | $=$ | \$ | 75,000 |
| LF |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ |  |
| CY |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ |  |
| CY |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ |  |
| CY |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ |  |
| CY |  | x |  |  | \$ |  |
| CY |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ |  |
| TON |  | x |  |  | \$ |  |
| LS |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |


| TOTAL DETOURS | $\$ 15,000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

SUBTOTAL SECTIONS 1-7 \$ 3,581,500
SECTION 8: MINOR ITEMS

## 8A - Americans with Disabilities Act Items

ADA Items
$\mathbf{8 B}-$ Bike Path Items
Bike Path Items
8 C - Other Minor Items
Other Minor Items
Total of Section 1-7

## SECTIONS 9: MOBILIZATION

## 1 code

Total Section 1-8


## SECTION 10: SUPPLEMENTAL WORK

| m code |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 066015 | Federal Trainee Program |
| 066063 Traffic Management Plan - Public Information |  |
| 066090 | Maintain Traffic |
| 066094 | Value Analysis |
| 066204 | Remove Rock \& Debris |
| 066222 | Locate Existing Cross-Over |
| 066670 | Payment Adjustments For Price Index Fluctuations |
| 066700 | Partnering |
| 066866 | Operation of Existing Traffic Management System Elements During Construction |
| 066920 Dispute Review Board |  |
| XXXXXX Some Item |  |


| Unit | Quantity |  | Unit Price (\$) |  |  | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LS |  | x |  | = | \$ | - |
| LS | 1 | x | 50,000.00 | = | \$ | 50,000 |
| LS | 1 | x | 100,000.00 | = | \$ | 100,000 |
| LS |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| LS |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ |  |
| LS |  | x |  | = | \$ |  |
| LS |  | x |  | = | \$ |  |
| LS |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ |  |
| LS |  | x |  | = | \$ |  |
| LS |  | x |  |  | \$ |  |
|  |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ |  |

\$ 3,760,600
$5 \%=\$ \quad 188,030$

## SECTION 11: STATE FURNISHED MATERIALS AND EXPENSES

Item code
066063 Public Information
066105 RE Office
066803 Padlocks
066838 Reflective Numbers and Edge Sealer
066901 Water Expenses
066062A COZEEP Expenses
06684X Ramp Meter Controller Assembly
06684X TMS Controller Assembly
06684X Traffic Signal Controller Assembly
XXXXXX Some Item

| Unit | Quantity | Unit Price (\$) |  |  | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LS |  | x |  | $=$ | \$0 |
| LS | 1 | X | 35,000.00 | = | \$35,000 |
| LS |  | x |  | = | \$0 |
| LS |  | x |  | = | \$0 |
| LS |  | x |  | = | \$0 |
| LS | 1 | x | 48,000.00 | = | \$48,000 |
| LS |  | x |  | = | \$0 |
| LS |  | x |  | = | \$0 |
| LS | 0 | x | 35,000.00 | = | \$0 |

Total Section 1-8
$\$ 3,760,600$
$0 \%=\$$

TOTAL STATE FURNISHED

## SECTION 12: TIME-RELATED OVERHEAD

Estiamted Time-Releated Overhead (TRO) Percentage (0\% to 10\%) = $5 \%$

| Item code | Unit | Quantity | Unit Price (\$) |  |  | Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 070018 Time-Related Overhead | WD | 150 | X | 0 | = | \$0 |  |
|  | TOTAL TIME-RELATED OVERHEAD |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |

## SECTION 13: CONTINGENCY

(Pre-PSR 30\%-50\%, PSR 25\%, Draft PR 20\%, PR 15\%, after PR approval 10\%, Final PS\&E 5\%)

## II. STRUCTURE ITEMS

| DATE OF ESTIMATE | 00/00/00 | 00/00/00 | 00/00/00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx |
| Bridge Number | 57-XXX | 57-XXX | 57-XXX |
| Structure Type | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx |
| Width (Feet) [out to out] | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF |
| Total Length (Feet) | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF |
| Total Area (Square Feet) | 0 SQFT | 0 SQFT | 0 SQFT |
| Structure Depth (Feet) | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF |
| Footing Type (pile or spread) | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx |
| Cost Per Square Foot | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |


| COST OF EACH <br> STRUCTURE | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| DATE OF EStimate | 00/00/00 | 00/00/00 | 00/00/00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx |
| Bridge Number | 57-XXX | 57-XXX | 57-XXX |
| Structure Type | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx |
| Width (Feet) [out to out] | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF |
| Total Length (Feet) | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF |
| Total Area (Square Feet) | 0 SQFT | 0.00 SQFT | 0.0 SQFT |
| Structure Depth (Feet) | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF |
| Footing Type (pile or spread) | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx |
| Cost Per Square Foot | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |


| COST OF EACH <br> STRUCTURE | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| TOTAL COST OF BRIDGES | $\$ 0.00$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL COST OF BUILDINGS | $\$ 0.00$ |

## total cost of structures ${ }^{1}$

\$0.00
$\qquad$
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX ------- Division of Structures

## III. RIGHT OF WAY

Fill in all of the available information from the Right of Way data sheet.

(Excluding Item \#8 - Hazardous Waste)
M)
N)

Right of Way Support \$
0

Support Cost
Estimate Prepared By

| Utility Estimate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prepared By | Utiliy Coordinator $^{2}$ | Phone |
| R/W Acquistion |  |  |
| Estimate Prepared By | Right of Way Estimator ${ }^{3}$ | Phone |

[^2]
## Preliminary Cost Estimate

## US 50 at Pioneer Trail Intersection Safety Improvement Project

| Type of Estimate : | Planning Level (PSR) |
| :---: | :--- |
| Program Code : |  |
| Project Limits : | US 50 at Pioneer Trail Intersection |
| Description: | Enlarged Intersection with Traffic Signal |
| Scope : | Includes 3 " HMA overlay of existing pavement and $9 " / 24^{\prime \prime}$ in new pavement areas |

Alternative :

( $x x x$ ) $x x x-x x x x$
Project Manager Date Phone

## I. ROADWAY ITEMS SUMMARY

| Section |  | Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Earthwork | \$ | 282,500 |
| 2 | Pavement Structural Section | \$ | 1,527,600 |
| 3 | Drainage | \$ | 195,100 |
| 4 | Specialty Items | \$ | 94,000 |
| 5 | Environmental | \$ | 315,300 |
| 6 | Traffic Items | \$ | 898,700 |
| 7 | Detours | \$ | 20,000 |
| 8 | Minor Items | \$ | 166,700 |
| 9 | Roadway Mobilization | \$ | 350,000 |
| 10 | Supplemental Work | S | 328,500 |
| 11 | State Furnished | \$ | 118,000 |
| 12 | Contingencies | \$ | 644,500 |
| 13 | Overhead | \$ | - |

## TOTAL ROADWAY ITEMS <br> \$ 4,940,900

Estimate Prepared By

| Ron Boyle P.E. | 1/14/2020 | 9167828688 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name and Title | Date | Phone |

Estimate Reviewed By

| Name and Title | Date | Phone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

By signing this estimate you are attesting that you have discussed your project with all functional units and have incorporated all their comments or have discussed with them why they will not be incorporated.

## SECTION 1: EARTHWORK

Item code
160101 Clearing \& Grubbing
170101 Develop Water Supply
190101 Roadway Excavation
190103 Roadway Excavation (Type Y) ADL
190105 Roadway Excavation (Type Z-2) ADL
192037
193013
Structure Excavation (Retaining Wall)
193031 Pervious Backfill (Retaining Wall)
194001 Ditch Excavall Material (Retaining Wall)
198001 Impored Borrow
198007

| Unit | Quantity |  | Unit Price (\$) |  |  | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LS | 1 | X | 50,000.00 | $=$ | \$ | 50,000 |
| LS | 1 | X | 18,250.00 | $=$ | \$ | 18,250 |
| CY | 5,225 | X | 41.00 | $=$ | \$ | 214,225 |
| CY |  | X |  | = | \$ | - |
| CY |  | X |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| CY |  | X |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| CY |  | X |  | = | \$ | - |
| CY |  | X |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| CY |  | X |  | = | \$ | - |
| CY | 0 | X | 150.00 | $=$ | \$ | - |
| TON |  | X |  | $=$ | \$ | - |

## TOTAL EARTHWORK SECTION ITEMS \$ 282,500

## SECTION 2: PAVEMENT STRUCTURAL SECTION

| Item code |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 150771 | Remove Asphalt Concrete Dike |
| 150860 | Remove Base and Surfacing |
| 153103 | Cold Plane Asphalt Concrete Pavement |
| 1532XX | Remove Concrete (type) |
| 250401 | Class 4 Aggregate Subbase |
| 260201 | Class 2 Aggregate Base |
| 290201 | Asphalt Treated Permeable Base |
| 365001 | Sand Cover |
| 374002 | Asphaltic Emulsion (Fog Seal Coat) |
| 374492 | Asphaltic Emulsion (Polymer Modified) |
| 3750XX | Screenings (Type XX) |
| 377501 | Slurry Seal |
| 390095 | Replace Asphalt Concrete Surfacing |
| 390132 | Hot Mix Asphalt (Type A) |
| 390136 | Minor Hot Mix Asphalt |
| 390137 | Rubberized Hot Mix Asphalt (Gap Graded) |
| 393003 | Geosynthetic Pavement Interlayer |
| 39405X | Shoulder Rumber Strip (HMA, Type XX Indentation) |
| 394071 | Place Hot Mix Asphalt Dike |
| 394090 | Place Hot Mix Asphalt (Misc. Area) |
| 397005 | Tack Coat |
| 401000 | Concrete Pavement (truck apron) |
| 401108 | Replace Concrete Pavement (Rapid Strength Concrete) |
| 404092 | Seal Pavement Joint |
| 404094 | Seal Longitudinal Isolation Joint |
| 413112A | Repair Spalled Joints (Polyester Grout) |
| 413115 | Seal Existing Concrete Pavement Joint |
| 420102 | Groove Existing Concrete Pavement |
| 420201 | Grind Existing Concrete Pavement |
| 731502 | Minor Concrete (Misc. Const) |
| 731530 | Minor Concrete (Textured Paving) |
| XXXXXX | Bike Path |


| Unit | Quantity |  | Unit Price (\$) |  |  | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LF |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| CY |  | X | 68.00 | $=$ | \$ | - |
| SQYD | 8,983 | X | 10.00 | $=$ | \$ | 89,830 |
| CY |  | X |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| CY |  | X |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| CY | 4,450 | x | 81.00 | $=$ | \$ | 360,450 |
| CY |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| TON |  | X |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| TON |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| TON |  | X |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| TON |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| TON |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| CY |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| TON | 4,711 | x | 180.00 | $=$ | \$ | 847,980 |
| TON |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| TON |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| SQYD | 15,411 | x | 9.50 | $=$ | \$ | 146,405 |
| STA |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| LF |  | X |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| SQYD |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| TON | 5 | x | 2,600.00 | $=$ | \$ | 13,000 |
| CY | 0 | x | 715.00 | $=$ | \$ | - |
| CY |  | X |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| SQYD |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| SQYD |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| SQYD |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| CY |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| SQFT |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| SQFT | 3,492 | x | 20.00 | $=$ | \$ | 69,840 |

## SECTION 3: DRAINAGE

Item code
150206 Abandon Culvert
150805 Remove Culvert
150820 Modify Inlet
152430 Adjust Inlet
155003 Cap Inlet
19314 Sand Backfill
510502 Minor Concrete (Minor Structure)
510512 Minor Concrete (Box Culvert)
62XXXX XXX" APC Pipe
64XXXX 18" Plastic Pipe
65XXXX XXX" RCP Pipe
66XXXX XXX" CSP Pipe
68XXXX Edge Drain
69XXXX XXX" Pipe Downdrain
70XXXX XXX" Pipe Inlet
70XXXX XXX" Pipe Riser
70XXXX XXX" Flared End Section
703233 Grated Line Drain
72XXXX Rock Slope Protection (Type and Method)
721420 Concrete (Ditch Lining)
721430 Concrete (Channel Lining)
729010 Rock Slope Protection Fabric
750001 Miscellaneous Iron and Steel
XXXXXX Additional Drainage - Water Quality
510094 Structural Concrete Drainage Inlet

| Unit | Quantity |  | Unit Price (\$) |  | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LF |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| EA |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| LF |  | X |  | = \$ | - |
| EA |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| CY |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| CY |  | x |  | = \$ |  |
| CY |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| LF | 600 | x | 75.00 | = \$ | 45,000 |
| LF |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| EA | 3 | x | 1,200.00 | = \$ | 3,600 |
| LF |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| CY | 15 | x | 100.00 | = \$ | 1,500 |
| CY |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| CY |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| SQYD |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| LB |  | x | 4.75 | = \$ | - |
| LS | 1 | X | 100,000.00 | = \$ | 100,000 |
| EA | 10 | x | 4,500.00 | = \$ | 45,000 |

## SECTION 4: SPECIALTY ITEMS

Item code
070012 Progress Schedule (Critical Path Method)
150662 Remove Metal Beam Guard Railing
150668 Remove Terminal Systems
1532XX Remove Barrier (Insert Type)
153250 Remove Sound Wall
190110 Lead Compliance Plan
49XXXX CIDH Concrete Piling (Insert Diameter)
510060 Structural Concrete (Retaining Wall)
731504 Minor Concrete (curb and Gutter)
731511 Minor Concrete (Island Paving)
5110 XX Architectural Treatment (Insert Type)
511048 Apply Anti-Graffiti Coating
5136XX Reinforced Concrete Crib Wall (Insert Type)
518002 Sound Wall (Masonry Block)
520103 Bar Reinf. Steel (Retaining Wall)
80XXXX Fence (Insert Type)
832001 Metal Beam Guard Railing
839310 Double Thrie Beam Barrier
839521 Cable Railing
83954X Transition Railing (Insert Type)
8395XX Terminal System (Type CAT)
8395XX Alternative Flared Terminal System
8395XX End Anchor Assembly (Insert Type)
839561 Rail Tensioning Assembly
839XXX Crash Cushion (Insert Type)
83XXXX Concrete Barrier (Insert Type)
730070 Dectectable Warning Surface

| Unit | Quantity |  | Unit Price (\$) |  | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LS | 1 | x | 4,500.00 | $=\$$ | 4,500 |
| LF |  | X |  | $=$ \$ | - |
| EA |  | X |  | $=\$$ | - |
| LF |  | X |  | $=\$$ | - |
| SQFT |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| LS | 1 | x | 3,000.00 | $=\$$ | 3,000 |
| LF |  | X |  | $=\$$ | - |
| CY |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| CY | 61 | x | 853.00 | $=\$$ | 52,033 |
| CY | 23 | x | 933.00 | $=\$$ | 21,459 |
| SQFT |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| SQFT |  | X |  | $=\$$ | - |
| SQFT |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| SQFT |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| LB |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| EA |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| EA |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| EA |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| EA |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| EA |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| EA |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| SQFT | 270 | x | 48.00 | $=\$$ | 12,960 |

## SECTION 5: ENVIRONMENTAL

```
5A - ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Item code & \\
& Biological Mitigation \\
071325 & TEMPORARY REINFORCED SILT FENCE \\
071325 & Temporary Fence (Type ESA)
\end{tabular}
```

| Unit | Quantity | Unit Price (\$) |  |  | Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| LS |  | x |  | $=$ |  |  |
| LF | 1,200 | x | 5.00 | $=$ | $\$$ |  |

$\$$
$\$ \quad 6,000$

5B - LANDSCAPE AND IRRIGATION

| Item code |  | Unit | Quantity |  | Unit Price (\$) |  | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200001 | Highway Planting | LS |  | x |  | \$ |  |
| 20XXXX | XXX" (Insert Type ) Conduit (Use for Irrigation x-overs) | LF |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| 20XXXX | Extend XXX" (Insert Type) Conduit (Use for Extension of Irrigation x-overs) | LF |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| 201700 | Imported Topsoil | CY |  | x |  | \$ | - |
| 2030XX | Erosion Control (Type __) | SQYD | 6,207 | x | 2.70 | = \$ | 16,759 |
| 203021 | Fiber Rolls | LF |  | x |  | $=\$$ | - |
| 203026 | Move In/ Move Out (Erosion Control) | EA | 6 | X | 500.00 | = \$ | 3,000 |
| 204099 | Plant Establishment Work | LS |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| 205035 | Wood Mulch | CY | 228 | x | 120.00 | = \$ | 27,360 |
| 208000 | Irrigation System | LS |  | X |  | $=\$$ | - |
| 208304 | Water Meter | EA |  | X |  | = \$ | - |
| 209801 | Maintenance Vehicle Pullout | EA |  | X |  | = \$ | - |
| 036370 | Unmortared Rock Blanket | SF | 1,910 | X | 9.50 | = \$ | 18,145 |
| 036376 | Boulder | EA | 0 | x | 800.00 | = \$ | - |
|  |  | Subtotal Landscape and Irrigation |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\$ \quad 47,119
$$

## 5C - NPDES

| Item code |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| 074016 | Construction Site Management |
| 074017 | Prepare WPCP |
| 074019 | Prepare SWPPP |
| 130530 | Temporary Hydraulic Mulch |
| 130570 | Temporary Cover |
| 074028 | Temporary Fiber Roll |
| 074032 | Temporary Concrete Washout Facility |
| 074033 | Temporary Construction Entrance |
| 074035 | Temporary Check Dam |
| 074037 | Move In/ Move Out (Temporary Erosion Control) |
| 074038 | Temp. Drainage Inlet Protection |
| 074041 | Street Sweeping |
| 074042 | Temporary Concrete Washout (Portable) |
| 130310 | Rain Event Action Plan |


| Unit | Quantity | Unit Price (\$) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| LS | 1 | x | $75,000.00$ | $=$ | $\$$ | $\mathbf{7 5 , 0 0 0}$

## Supplemental Work for NPDES

(These costs are not accounted in total here but under Supplemental Work on sheet 7 of 11).

| 066595 | Water Pollution Control Maintenance Sharing* | LS |  | X |  | = | \$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 066596 | Additional Water Pollution Control** | LS |  | x |  | = | \$ | - |
| 066597 | Storm Water Sampling and Analysis*** | LS | 1 | x | 3,500.00 |  | \$ | 3,500 |

066597 Storm Water Sampling and Analysis***
XXXXXX Some Item

## SECTION 6: TRAFFIC ITEMS

## 6A - Traffic Electrical

| Item code | Unit | Quantity |  | Unit Price (\$) |  | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 150760 Remove Sign Structure | EA |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| 151581 Reconstruct Sign Structure | EA |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| 152641 Modify Sign Structure | EA |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| 5602XX Furnish Sign Structure | LB |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| 5602XX Install Sign Structure | LB |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| 56XXXX XXX" CIDHC Pile (Sign Foundation) | LF |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| 860090 Maintain Existing Traffic Management System Elements During Construction | LS | 1 | X | 5,000.00 | = \$ | 5,000 |
| 860810 Inductive Loop Detectors | EA |  | X |  | = \$ | - |
| 86055X Lighting \& Sign Illumination | LS | 1 | X | 50,000.00 | = \$ | 50,000 |
| 8607XX Interconnection Facilities | LS |  | x |  | = \$ | - |
| 8609XX Traffic Monitoring Stations | LS |  | X |  | $=\$$ | - |
| 860XXX Modify Existing Electrical/Modify Signal | LS | 1 | x | 450,000.00 | $=\$$ | 450,000 |
| 8611XX Ramp Metering System (Location X) | LS |  | X |  | = \$ | - |
| 8611XX Ramp Metering System (Location X) | LS |  | X |  | = \$ | - |
| 86XXXX Fiber Optic Conduit System | LS |  | X |  | = \$ | - |
| XXXXX Flashing Beacon System | LS | 1 | X | 25,000.00 | $=\$$ | 25,000 |

$\qquad$ $\$ \quad 505,000$

## 6B - Traffic Signing and Striping

## Item code

120090 Construction Area Signs
150701 Remove Yellow Painted Traffic Stripe
150710 Remove Traffic Stripe
150713 Remove Pavement Marking
150742 Remove Roadside Sign
152320 Reset Roadside Sign
152390 Relocate Roadside Sign
566011 Roadside Sign (One Post)
566012 Roadside Sign (Two Post)
560XXX Furnish Sign Panels
560XXX Install Sign Panels
82010X Delineator (Class X)
84XXXX Permanent Pavement Delineation

| Unit | Quantity | Unit Price (\$) |  | Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LS | 1 | x | 7,000.00 | $=$ | \$ | 7,000 |
| LF |  | X |  |  | \$ | - |
| LF |  | x |  | = | \$ | - |
| SQFT |  | x |  | = | \$ | - |
| EA |  | x |  | = | \$ | - |
| EA |  | X |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| EA |  | x |  | $=$ | \$ | - |
| EA | 12 | X | 250.00 | = | \$ | 3,000 |
| EA | 6 | x | 750.00 | $=$ | \$ | 4,500 |
| SQFT |  | x |  |  | \$ | - |
| SQFT |  | x |  | = | \$ | - |
| EA |  | x |  | = | \$ | - |
| LS | 1 | x | 60,000.00 | $=$ | \$ | 60,000 |

Subtotal Traffic Signing and Striping

$$
\$ \quad 74,500
$$

6C - Stage Construction and Traffic Handling
Item code
120100 Traffic Control System
120120 Type III Barricade
120143 Temporary Pavement Delineation
12016X Channelizer
128650 Portable Changeable Message Signs
129000 Temporary Railing (Type K)
129100 Temp. Crash Cushion Module
129099A Traffic Plastic Drum
839603A Temporary Crash Cushion (ADIEM)
XXXXXX Some Item

| Unit | Quantity | Unit Price (\$) |  |  |  | Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| LS | 1 | x | $200,000.00$ | $=$ | $\$$ | 200,000 |  |
| EA | 6 | x | 200.00 | $=$ | $\$$ | 1,200 |  |
| LF | 10,000 | x | 1.00 | $=$ | $\$$ | 10,000 |  |
| EA |  | x |  | $=$ | $\$$ | - |  |
| EA | 3 | x | $7,500.00$ | $=$ | $\$$ | 22,500 |  |
| LF | 1,500 | x | 37.00 | $=$ | $\$$ | 55,500 |  |
| EA |  | x |  | $=$ | $\$$ | - |  |
| EA | 100 | x | 90.00 | $=$ | 9 | 9,000 |  |
| EA | 6 | x | $3,500.00$ | $=$ | $\$$ | 21,000 |  |


| Subtotal Stage Construction and Traffic Handling |  | $\$$ | 319,200 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | TOTAL TRAFFIC ITEMS | $\$$ | $\mathbf{8 9 8 , 7 0 0}$ |

SECTION 7: DETOURS

Include constructing, maintaining, and remova
Item code
$0713 X X$ Temporary Fence
$07 X X X X$ Temporary Drainage
120143 Temporary Pavement Delineation
1286XX Temporary Signals
129000 Temporary Railing (Type K)
190101 Roadway Excavation
198001 Imported Borrow
198050 Embankment
250401 Class 4 Aggregate Subbase
260201 Class 2 Aggregate Base
390132 Hot Mix Asphalt (Type A)
XXXXXX Signs


## SECTION 8: MINOR ITEMS

| 8A - Americans with Disabilities Act Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADA Items |  |  | 1.0\% |  | \$ |  | 33,332 |  |  |
| 8B - Bike Path Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bike Path Items |  |  | 1.0\% |  |  | \$ | 33,332 |  |  |
| 8C - Other Minor Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Minor Items |  |  |  | 3.0\% |  |  | \$ | 99,996 |  |  |
| Total of Section 1-7 | \$ | 3,333,200 | x | 5.0\% | = | \$ | 166, |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | TOTA | NO | R | MS | \$ | 166,700 |

## SECTIONS 9: MOBILIZATION

Item
code
$999990 \quad$ Total Section 1-8
\$ $3,499,900 \times 10 \%=\$ 349,990$
TOTAL MOBILIZATION \$ 350,000
SECTION 10: SUPPLEMENTAL WORK

| Item code |  | Unit | Quantity |  | Unit Price (\$) |  |  | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 066015 | Federal Trainee Program | LS |  | x |  | = | \$ | - |
| 066063 | Traffic Management Plan - Public Information | LS | 1 | X | 50,000.00 | = | \$ | 50,000 |
| 066090 | Maintain Traffic | LS | 1 | x | 100,000.00 | = | \$ | 100,000 |
| 066094 | Value Analysis | LS |  | x |  | = | \$ | - |
| 066204 | Remove Rock \& Debris | LS |  | x |  | = | \$ |  |
| 066222 | Locate Existing Cross-Over | LS |  | x |  | = | \$ |  |
| 066670 | Payment Adjustments For Price Index Fluctuations | LS |  | x |  | = | \$ |  |
| 066700 | Partnering | LS |  | X |  | = | \$ |  |
| 066866 | Operation of Existing Traffic Management System Elements During Construction | LS |  | x |  | = | \$ |  |
| 066920 | Dispute Review Board | LS |  | x |  | = | \$ | - |
| XXXXXX | Some Item |  |  | X |  | = | \$ | - |
| Cost of NPDES Supplemental Work specified in Section 5C 三 \$ 3,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\$ $3,499,900 \quad 5 \%=\$ 174,995$

## SECTION 11: STATE FURNISHED MATERIALS AND EXPENSES

Item code
066063 Public Information
066105 RE Office
066803 Padlocks
066838 Reflective Numbers and Edge Sealer
066901 Water Expenses
066062A COZEEP Expenses
06684X Ramp Meter Controller Assembly
06684X TMS Controller Assembly
06684X Traffic Signal Controller Assembly
XXXXXX Some Item

| Unit | Quantity | Unit Price (\$) |  |  | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LS |  | x |  | $=$ | \$0 |
| LS | 1 | x | 35,000.00 | = | \$35,000 |
| LS |  | x |  | = | \$0 |
| LS |  | x |  | = | \$0 |
| LS |  | X |  | = | \$0 |
| LS | 1 | X | 48,000.00 | = | \$48,000 |
| LS |  | X |  | = | \$0 |
| LS |  | x |  | = | \$0 |
| LS | 1 | x | 35,000.00 | $=$ | \$35,000 |

Total Section 1-8
$\$ 3,499,900$
$0 \%=\$$

TOTAL STATE FURNISHED

## SECTION 12: TIME-RELATED OVERHEAD

Estiamted Time-Releated Overhead (TRO) Percentage (0\% to 10\%) = $5 \%$

| Item code | Unit | Quantity | Unit Price (\$) |  |  | Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 070018 Time-Related Overhead | WD | 125 | X | 0 | = | \$0 |  |
|  | TOTAL TIME-RELATED OVERHEAD |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |

## SECTION 13: CONTINGENCY

(Pre-PSR 30\%-50\%, PSR 25\%, Draft PR 20\%, PR 15\%, after PR approval 10\%, Final PS\&E 5\%)

## II. STRUCTURE ITEMS

| DATE OF ESTIMATE | 00/00/00 | 00/00/00 | 00/00/00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx |
| Bridge Number | 57-XXX | 57-XXX | 57-XXX |
| Structure Type | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx |
| Width (Feet) [out to out] | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF |
| Total Length (Feet) | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF |
| Total Area (Square Feet) | 0 SQFT | 0 SQFT | 0 SQFT |
| Structure Depth (Feet) | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF |
| Footing Type (pile or spread) | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx |
| Cost Per Square Foot | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |


| COST OF EACH <br> STRUCTURE | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| DATE OF EStimate | 00/00/00 | 00/00/00 | 00/00/00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx |
| Bridge Number | 57-XXX | 57-XXX | 57-XXX |
| Structure Type | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx |
| Width (Feet) [out to out] | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF |
| Total Length (Feet) | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF |
| Total Area (Square Feet) | 0 SQFT | 0.00 SQFT | 0.0 SQFT |
| Structure Depth (Feet) | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF | 0.00 LF |
| Footing Type (pile or spread) | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx |
| Cost Per Square Foot | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |


| COST OF EACH <br> STRUCTURE | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| TOTAL COST OF BRIDGES | $\$ 0.00$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL COST OF BUILDINGS $\$ 0.00$ |  |

## total cost of structures ${ }^{1}$

\$0.00

Estimate Prepared By:
$\overline{X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X ~------~ D i v i s i o n ~ o f ~ S t r u c t u r e s ~}$
Date
${ }^{1}$ Structure's Estimate includes Overhead and Mobilization.
Add more sheets if needed. Call them $9 a, 9 b, 9 c, \ldots$, etc

## III. RIGHT OF WAY

Fill in all of the available information from the Right of Way data sheet.

L)

TOTAL RIGHT OF WAY ESTIMATE
(Excluding Item \#8 - Hazardous Waste)
M)
N)
Right of Way Support $\$$


## US50/Pioneer Trail Intersection Improvement Project - Cost Benefit Analysis Summary

| Annual Costs | Modified Traffic Signal Alternative |  | No Build Traffic Signal Alternative |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Safety <br> Predicted Fatal/Injury Crashes Predicted PDO Crashes | Predicted Annual Crashes | Safety Cost | Predicted Annual Crashes | Safety Cost |
|  |  | - | Safety Data Omitted | 0 |
|  | Safety Data Omitted | 0 | Safety Data Omitted |  |
|  | Annual Costs of Predicted Crashes | 803,733 | Annual Costs of Predicted Crashes | \$ ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |
| Delay Average Annual Person (in Vehicle) Delay | Annual Intersection Delay (person-hrs) | Delay Cost | Annual Intersection Delay (person-hrs) | Delay Cost |
|  | 5912 | \$ 79,000 | 13919 | 184,000 |
| Average Annual Person (in Vehicle) Delay <br> Operation and Maintenance <br> Annualized Cost of Signal Retiming Annual Cost of Power for Signal Annual Cost of Illumination Annual Cost of Maintenance | Operation and Maintenance | O\&M Cost | Operation and Maintenance | O\&M Cost |
|  |  | \$ | Signal Retiming Every 3 Years | \$ $\quad 1,000$ |
|  |  | \$ | Power for Signal | 750 |
|  | Intersection Illumination | \$ $\quad 750$ | Intersection Illumination | 750 |
|  | Landscaping Costs | \$ 1 | Signal Maintenance Costs (power outage, detection, etc.) | 1,500 |
|  | Total Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs | \$ 2,250 | Total Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs | 4,000 |
| Initial Capital Costs | Total Capital Costs | Cost | Total Capital Costs | Cost |
| Preliminary Engineering |  | \$ |  | \$ - |
| Right-of-way and Utilities $\begin{array}{r}\text { Construction }\end{array}$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |
| Construction |  | \$ 4,950,000 |  |  |

*Delay cost is based upon an average of the AM and PM peak hours.


| Life Cycle Benefit/Cost Ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Modified Signal Alt vs.No Build Signal Alt |  |  |
| Safety Benefit | \$ | 3,641,000 |
| Delay Reduction Benefit | \$ | 2,210,000 |
| Fuel and GHG Benefit | \$ | 595,000 |
| Total Benefits | \$ | 6,446,000 |
| Added Operations\&Maintenance Costs | \$ |  |
| Added Capital Costs | \$ | 4,950,000 |
| Total Costs | \$ | 4,950,000 |
| Life Cycle Benefit/Cost Ratio |  | 1.3 |


| Annual Costs | Roundabout Alternative |  | No Build Signal Alternative |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Safety <br> Predicted Fatal/lnjury Crashes Predicted PDO Crashes | Predicted Annual Crashes | Safety Cost | Predicted Annual Crashes | Safety Cost |
|  | Safety Data Omitted | 0 | Safety Data Omitted | 0 |
|  | Safety Data Omitted | 0 | Safety Data Omitted | 0 |
|  | Annual Costs of Predicted Crashes | 268,721 | Annual Costs of Predicted Crashes | 1,071,645 |
| Delay | Annual Intersection Delay (person-hrs) | Delay Cost | Annual Intersection Delay (person-hrs) | Delay Cost |
| Average Annual Person (in Vehicle) Delay | 1529 | 21,000 | 13919 | 184,000 |
| Operation and Maintenance | Operation and Maintenance | O\&M Cost | Operation and Maintenance | O\&M Cost |
| Annualized Cost of Signal Retiming |  | \$ - | Signal Retiming Every 3 Years | 1,000 |
| Annual Cost of Power for Signal |  | \$ - | Power for Signal | 750 |
| Annual Cost of Illumination | Intersection Illumination | 750 | Intersection Illumination | 750 |
| Annual Cost of Maintenance | Landscaping Costs | 1,500 | Signal Maintenance Costs (power outage, detection, etc.) | 1,500 |
|  | Total Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs | 2,250 | Total Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs | 4,000 |
| Initial Capital Costs | Total Capital Costs | Cost | Total Capital Costs | Cost |
| Preliminary Engineering |  | \$ |  | \$ - |
| Right-of-way and Utilities |  | \$ |  | \$ - |
| Construction |  | \$ 5,250,000 |  | \$ |

*Delay cost is based upon an average of the AM and PM peak hours.


| Life Cycle Benefit/Cost Ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roundabout vs. No Build Signal Alternative |  |  |
| Safety Benefit | \$ | 10,912,000 |
| Delay Reduction Benefit | \$ | 3,420,000 |
| Fuel and GHG Benefit | \$ | 413,000 |
| Total Benefits | \$ | 14,745,000 |
| Added Operations\&Maintenance Costs | \$ | (23,000) |
| Added Capital Costs | \$ | 5,250,000 |
| Total Costs | \$ | 5,227,000 |
| Life Cycle Benefit/Cost Ratio |  | 2.8 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{3}$ Assessment of Roundabout Capacity Models for the Highway Capacity Manual: Volume 2 of Accelerating Roundabout Implementation in the United States (Report FHWA-SA-15-070)

[^1]:    Applies to all SWPPPs and those WPCPs with sediment control or soil stabilization BMPs
    **Applies to both SWPPPs and WPCP projects.
    *** Applies only to project with SWPPPs.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ When estimate has Support Costs only ${ }^{2}$ When estimate has Utility Relocation
    ${ }^{3}$ When R/W Acquisition is required

