

Table of Contents

	Page
Table of Contents.....	i
List of Tables.....	iii
Chapter 1 Introduction.....	1-1
1.1 Organization of the Report	1-1
1.2 Selected Project Alternative.....	1-2
1.3 Public Review Process.....	1-3
1.4 Summary of Coordination and Consultation with other Agencies	1-3
Chapter 2 Comments and Responses to Comments	2-1
2.1 Introduction	2-1
2.2 Master Responses	2-2
Master Response A Related to Implementing Caltrans Deputy Directive 64: Accommodating Nonmotorized Travel	2-2
Master Response B Related to Providing an East-West Bicycle and Pedestrian Facility on the Weber Creek Bridges.....	2-4
Master Response C Related to Providing a North-South Bicycle and Pedestrian Facility over U.S. 50.....	2-5
Master Response D Related to the Project’s Compliance with Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	2-6
2.3 Individual Responses.....	2-10
Responses to Oral Comments Received at the January 15, 2004 Draft EA/EIR Public Hearing.....	2-14
Response to Comment by Jason Crow, Sacramento Area Council of Governments (January 2, 2004)	2-17
Response to Comment by Bruce A. Wray (January 15, 2004).....	2-19
Responses to Comments by Eileen Crim (January 16, 2004)	2-30
Responses to Comments by Melba J. Leal, California Division of the National Pony Express Association (January 19, 2004).....	2-32
Response to Comment by W. Chris Word, El Dorado Irrigation District (January 26, 2004).....	2-34
Responses to Comments by Elna Norman (January 26, 2004)	2-36
Responses to Comments by Robert A. Smart (January 27, 2004)	2-39
Responses to Comments by Karen Aguilar (January 30, 2004).....	2-41
Responses to Comments by Alice Q. Howard, Maidu Group, Mother Lode Chapter, Sierra Club (January 31, 2004).....	2-50
Responses to Comments by Mark A. Pellberger, HalBear Enterprises, Real Estate Consulting (February 2, 2004).....	2-64
Responses to Comments by Ray Griffiths (February 3, 2004).....	2-68
Responses to Comments by Marcella McTaggart, El Dorado County Air Quality Management District (February 4, 2004).....	2-74
Response to Comment by Terry Roberts, State Clearinghouse (February 6, 2004).....	2-77
Responses to Comments by Jerry Ledbetter, El Dorado County Trails Advisory Committee (no date)	2-80
Chapter 3 Errata.....	3-1
Summary.....	3-1
Chapter 1. Project Objectives/Purpose and Need and Description of the Proposed Project/Action	3-1
Chapter 3. Affected Environment, Environmental Consequences, and Mitigation—NEPA Evaluation	3-2
Section 3.1 Land Use, Planning, and Growth	3-2
Section 3.7 Hydrology, Water Quality, and Floodplains	3-11
Section 3.8 Wildlife and Botanical Resources, Threatened and Endangered Species, and Wetlands and Waters of the U.S.....	3-12
Section 3.9 Historic and Archeological Preservation.....	3-12
Section 3.14 Traffic and Transportation/Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities.....	3-12
Section 3.12 Utilities/Emergency Services	3-13

Chapter 5. California Environmental Quality Act Evaluation	3-13
Section 5.1 Land Use, Planning, and Growth	3-13
Section 5.8 Wildlife and Botanical Resources, Threatened and Endangered Species, and Wetlands and Waters of the U.S.....	3-14
Section 5.9 Historic and Archeological Resources	3-14
Section 5.12 Utilities/Emergency Services	3-14
Chapter 4 References Cited	4-1
4.1 Printed References	4-1
4.2 Personal Communications	4-1
Appendix A Section 7 Biological Opinion for the U.S. 50/Missouri Flat Road Interchange Project	
Appendix B Caltrans Deputy Directive 64: Accommodating Nonmotorized Travel	

List of Tables

	Page
Table 1-1 Impacts and Mitigation Measures Associated with the Selected Project Alternative: 4-Lane Tight Diamond Interchange.....	follows 1-4
Table 2-1 List of Comments Received on the December 2003 Draft Joint Document for the U.S. 50/Missouri Flat Road Interchange Project.....	2-1
Table 2-2 Hourly Temperature Profile.....	2-9
Table 2-3 U.S. 50/Missouri Flat Road Interchange Phase 1 Ozone Precursor Emissions Comparison	2-9