

County of El Dorado

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

ROBERT E. DORR.....DISTRICT I
 VERNON F. GERWER.....DISTRICT II
 JAMES R. SWEENEY.....DISTRICT III
 WILLIAM N. CENTER.....DISTRICT IV
 JOHN E. UPTON.....DISTRICT V
 DIXIE L. FOOTE.....BOARD CLERK

330 Fair Lane • Placerville, CA 95667
 Telephone (916) 621-5390



C O N F O R M E D

A G E N D A

December 7, 1992

7:00 P.M.

Charles Brown School
 6520 Oak Dell Road
 Diamond Springs, California

December 9, 10, 16 & 17, 1992

7:00 P.M.

Main Exhibit Hall
 County Fairgrounds
 Placerville, California

VERNON F. GERWER, Chairman Dixie L. Foote, Board Clerk
 SUPERVISORS: DORR, GERWER, SWEENEY, CENTER AND UPTON
 All members present

Public comment on the Administrative Draft 2010 General Plan on December 7, 9 and 10; and Board deliberation on same on December 16 and 17, 1992.

BOARD ACTION - On December 10, 1992, the Board continued the public hearing on the Plan to Monday, December 14, 1992, at 7:00 p.m. in the Board of Supervisors Meeting Room, in Building A of the Government Center at 330 Fair Lane in Placerville, to allow those persons who had submitted speaker cards on December 10, 1992, but were not called upon to speak, an opportunity to do so. (No additional speaker cards will be accepted.) SCDGU

9399

On December 16, 1992, the Board began its deliberation with the focus of same being a nine-page document submitted by Supervisor Sweeney entitled: "General Plan Comments December 16, 1992, Supervisor Jack Sweeney". The Board took the following actions on said document:

1. Adopted recommendations A.1 through 3 as amended by the Board this date (refer Clerk's "working copy" on file). SUDGC
2. Adopted recommendations B.1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 with modifications to 4 and 9 (refer Clerk's "working copy" on file). SUDGC

On December 17, 1992, the Board continued its deliberation, continuing its focus on the document entitled: "General Plan Comments December 16, 1992 Supervisor Jack Sweeney", and acted as follows on same:

1. Adopted recommendations C.2 through 7 as amended by the Board this date (refer Clerk's "working copy" on file) and referred recommendation C.1 to County Counsel for review. SUDGC
2. Directed inclusion of reference to the Highway Scenic Corridor Ordinance in Section C. DUGSC

Referring to discussion on 12/16/92 on recommendations B.2 and 3, Supervisor Sweeney submitted a two-page document entitled "The Project and Two Alternatives versus Three Alternatives by Supervisor Jack Sweeney December 17, 1992" (refer Clerk's "working copy" on file) and the Board took the following action on same:

Directed staff to use the definition of the Project and the Alternatives shown on Supervisor Sweeney's aforementioned document as follows, with a disclaimer warning people that if their project is not compatible with the General Plan Definition of Project and the two Alternatives, that they may not receive any approvals until such time as the General Plan update is finally adopted:

Definition of Project - "Use the Sedway Cooke map with the modifications approved by this Board and those suggested by the PAC, and with specific inclusions of a variety of projects which may be approved by this Board."

Alternative One - "The Minimized Growth Alternative with some modification as to the economic stability of the County regarding service capability."

Alternative Two - "Use the area plan maps with modifications at their junctures and for any areas where there have been a lot of changes in the past or where public testimony in this process has indicated a need for internal change." SUDGC

9399

The Board reviewed the document entitled, "El Dorado County General Plan Workshop December 16 and 17, 1992 Board of Supervisors' Working Paper" submitted by Long Range Planning on 12/17/92, and adopted recommendations nos. 12 and 13 contained in same (refer Clerk's "working copy" on file) to be included in Section B of the document entitled "General Plan Comments December 16, 1992 Supervisor Jack Sweeney". UCDGS

The Board reviewed writings submitted by Supervisor Center on 12/17/92 "RE: Possible changes in Sedway-Cooke map to reflect a 'low growth alternative' from testimony and letters received during the public hearings"; and approved inclusion of same, as modified by the Board this date (refer Clerk's "working copy" on file), to serve as Alternative No. 1 -- the low-growth alternative. CSDGU

Board concurred that Alternative No. 2 will be the high-growth alternative.

At the request of Supervisor Dorr, the Board directed that a "cultural center" be shown on the maps for the Project description and two Alternatives. CDGSU

At the request of Supervisor Dorr, staff was directed to develop a policy that makes a strong statement encouraging development of a rural-oriented research (conference) center, and provide Supervisor Dorr a draft of said policy in one week. DCGU S(ab)

The Board began deliberation on the one-page document entitled, "Status of SDPs (Specific Development Plans) When Compared with Draft 2010 Plan" (refer Clerk's "working copy" on file), and took the following actions:

1. Directed that the area described on the Project map as "The Village" (which includes projects known as "Heritage Village" and "Costello Ranch or Big Sky") be described as "L" in the Project and Alternative 1, and described as 2 units per acre in Alternative 2. CUDGS
2. Directed that the densities described in the aforementioned document in the column entitled "2010 Gen. Plan Designation" be the Project definition for all other SDPs listed on said document. UCDGS
3. Directed staff to analyze the SDPs' requested densities under Alternative 2 (the high growth Alternative), and refund all SDP and Project fund balances, and treat them as inclusionary requests. CUDGS

9399

BOS MEETINGS
OF

DECEMBER 16 and 17,
1992

See Agenda For
December 7, 1992