BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES December 30 19 80

The Board convened in regular meeting. Present: Supervisors Arliene Todd, William V. D. Johnson, W. P. Walker, Joseph V. Flynn, and Thomas L. Stewart. Dixie L. Foote, Assistant Board of Supervisors Clerk, was also present. Chairman Todd presided.

--//--

The Invocation was offered by Reverend Don O. Herman, First Lutheran Church.

--//--

The Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag was led by Supervisor Arliene Todd.

--//--

Supervisor Todd read into the record, RESOLUTION NO. 355-80 expressing the Board's gratitude to Supervisor William V. D. Johnson for his contributions to the community and dedication to public service while a member of this Board; and a Resolution adopted by the Mono County Board of Supervisors in appreciation of Supervisor Johnson's services to the rural counties.

--//--

--//--

The Minutes of December 16, 1980, were approved as submitted, on motion of Supervisor Flynn, seconded by Supervisor Walker, and unanimously carried.

--//--

Pursuant to a memorandum from the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, dated December 19, 1980, requesting all permit-issuing authorities to withhold issuance of permits for residential or commercial construction in the Lake Tahoe Basin pursuant to the amended Tahoe Regional Planning Compact: the Board, on motion of Supervisor Stewart, seconded by Supervisor Flynn, and unanimously carried, directed the County's Chief Building Official, Mr. Tom Bullock, to cease issuing such building permits (keep processing, but not issuing) until the County has clearance from the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency to do so.

--//--

On motion of Supervisor Johnson, seconded by Supervisor Walker, and unanimously carried, the Consent Calendar matters were considered and acted upon as follows:

* * * *

50.1 The Community Action Council Claims were approved and allowed for payment.

December 30, 1980

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES December 30 19 80

Budget Transfer No. 43 was approved for the Road Department, transferring \$40,000.00 from Account No. 92-1100 (County's contribution to OASDI) to Account No. 92-1150 (Workmens Compensation) as a result of the County's withdrawal from Social Security.

Budget Transfer No. 48 was approved for the Senior Nutrition Program, transferring \$2,000.00 from Raw Food (92-2080) to Fixed Assets (92-3370) for the purchase of the following: Convection Oven (\$1,903.70); Public Address System (\$125.08); and File Cabinet (\$143.10).

Budget Transfer No. 45 was approved for the Department of Aging, transferring \$1,278.75 from Aid from Covernment Agencies (91-5500) to Transportation and Travel (92-2250), \$278.75, and Staff Development (92-2251), \$1,000.00.

* * * *

The Chairman was authorized to execute Releases of Lien, discharging all property encumbered by the Agreements to Reimburse the County for Public Assistance, as recorded in the Official Records of El Dorado County as follows:

Name	Volume and Page
Shirley Franceschi	985 623
Richard Wayne Laughridge	727 159 &
Contraction of the second s	158

* * * *

* * * *

At the recommendation of County Counsel, the Board denied a claim for personal injuries, in the amount of \$5,000.00, submitted by Attorney David W. Combellack on behalf of Gerald Eugene Young.

At the recommendation of County Counsel, Attorney Laurence O. Kaufman's Application for Leave to File Late Clim for personal injury, on behalf of Joseph F. Guary, in the amount of \$5,000,000.00, was rejected as a late claim.

At the recommendation of County Counsel, the claim submitted by Mr. Tony Todorovitch, on behalf of Jeffery Todorovitch, for property damage, in the amount of approximately \$735.00, was rejected as a late claim.

* * * *

* * * *

At the request of the Airports Department, the Chairman was authorized to sign five-year Land Use Leases with James M. Martino, Charles Williams, and Rick Atkins for portable hangars at the Placerville Airport.

RESOLUTION NO. 354-80 was adopted, at the recommendation of the Health Department, authorizing the Chairman to sign Amendment No. 1 to the Agreement with the State Department of Health Services for funds to provide family planning services, increasing said funds by \$13,860.00, for Fiscal Year 1980-81.

* * * *

* * * *

December 30, 1980

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES December 30 19 80

The Board set a public hearing for January 20, 1981, at 2:00 p.m., to consider the request of Mr. Willard Thomas Egloff to establish Agricultural Preserve No. 231 in the Latrobe area, consisting of 149.10 acres.

The Board set a public hearing for January 20, 1981, at 2:00 p.m., to consider the request of Mr. Willard Thomas Egloff and Ms. Lauretta Nadine Hannaford to establish Agricultural Preserve No. 232 in the Latrobe area, consisting of 273.13 acres.

At the recommendation of the Veterans Service Office, the Chairman was authorized to sign the annual Agreement with the California Department of Veterans Affairs for allocation of funds, in the amount of \$14,448.00, for the County Veterans Service Office for Fiscal Year 1980-81.

At the request of Prescott Engineering, the Board approved a reduction of the Subdivision Improvement Letter of Credit for Springfield Meadows Unit No. 1, in the amount of \$25,524.90, leaving a balance of \$34,032.70.

* * * *

At the request of the Public Works Department, and on motion of Supervisor Johnson, seconded by Supervisor Walker, and unanimously carried, the Chairman was authorized to sign Change Order No. 21 for the Pleasant Valley Road Project (SS-28), at no cost to the County.

--//--

At the recommendation of the Health Department, the Chairman was authorized to sign an Addendum to the Health Services Agreement with the Foundation for Comprehensive Health Services, extending the term of said Agreement from July 1, 1980, to July 31, 1981, on motion of Supervisor Flynn, seconded by Supervisor Walker, and carried by the following vote: Ayes: Supervisors Walker, Flynn, Stewart, and Todd; No: Supervisor Johnson.

On motion of Supervisor Flynn, seconded by Supervisor Stewart, and unanimously carried, Bid No. 170, steel library shelving, table and chairs for the Law Library at South Lake Tahoe, was awarded as follows: Library shelving awarded to the lowest bidder, The Darn Shop of South Lake Tahoe, in the amount of \$6,362.08; and the conference table and six chairs awarded to the lowest responsible bidder, the Darn Shop, in the amount of \$1,254.26.

--//--

--//--

The Board considered the requests of the Mosquito and Garden Valley Fire Protection Districts for termination of their Agreements with the County for reimbursement of County funds allocated to the California Department of Forestry (CDF) for provision of dispatching services to said Districts, as they will obtain all dispatching services from the El Dorado County Central Communications Center effective January 1, 1981. On motion of Supervisor Flynn, seconded by Supervisor Todd, and unanimously carried, the matter was referred to County Counsel for clarification of the terms of said Agreements, to be re-agendized January 6, 1981. (This matter was taken up again later in the day, and further Board action taken at that time.)

December 30, 1980

276-1

32-1

dlf

December 30 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES_

Motion of Supervisor Flynn, seconded by Supervisor Johnson, and unani-mously carried, the Board set a luncheon meeting with the South Lake Tahoe City Council for January 13, 1981, at 12 noon, in the Conference Room down-stairs in building "A" of the Government Center in Placerville, to discuss the building permit allocation system at South Lake Tahoe for 1003

* * * *

19 80

At the request of the Area Manager of the Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Department of the Interior, and on motion of Supervision Standard Department of the Interior, and on motion of Supervisor Stewart, seconded by Supervisor Walker, and unanimously carried, the Board set a Policy Review Session with the Bureau of Land Management for January 7, 1981, at 10:00 a.m., regarding the issuance of commercial rafting permits on the South Fork of the American River.

--//--

On motion of Supervisor Flynn, seconded by Supervisor Walker, and unani-mously carried, the Board denied the moust of Valker, and unanimously carried, the Board denied the request of the Health Department to increase the salaries of the Managing Entomologist for Service Area III and the Director of Public Health Nursing, as outlined in the Personnel Officer's letter of December 23, 1980.

Add gramable cash registers (one for each Court) to be all of the lake valley dicial District The Board considered the requests of the Lake Valley Judicial District gramable cash registers (one for each Court) to handle efficiently and accurately the increased volume of monies passing through the Courts. On motion of Supervisor Walker, seconded by Supervisor Johnson, and unani-mously carried, the Board approved the requests, with the fund. amount not to exceed \$11,000, to come from Revenue Sharing Funds, and the Auditor-Controller was authorized to make the necessary budget transfer for same.

--//--

--//--

The Board considered the request of the Public Works Director, that the Assistant Highway Superintendent and seven Highway Maintenance Worker IV's (Foremen) be allowed overnight retention of their vehicles until March 27, 1981. A motion of Supervisor Johnson, seconded by Supervisor Walker, to approve the request, did not carry by the following vote: Aye: Supervisor Johnson; Noes: Supervisors Walker, Flynn, Stewart, and Todd. In an informal action, the Board directed that a copy of the Policy now in force, pertaining to the temporary overnight assignment of County automobiles, be forwarded to the Director of Public Works, as said Policy gives him the authority to assign overnight retention of vehicles on a temporary basis as the need arises.

Representatives of the South Tahoe Public Utility District's Mitigation Task Force were present to review its proposed mitigation measures to minimize and offset the environmental impacts associated with the planned expansion and modification of the District's existing wastewater treatment plant; said proposal to be presented to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency for approval of grant funding for said expansion. On motion of Supervisor Flynn, seconded by Supervisor Johnson, and unanimously carried, the proposed mitigation measures were referred to the County Administrative Office with instructions they be taken to County Departments to determine how much has already been accomplished in this regard.

--//--

at.

12-14

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES December 30 19 80

 M^{0}

Hearing was held as duly advertised to consider abandonment of the public utility and drainage easement located on the south side of Lot 275, Cameron Park North Unit No. 7 Subdivision, as requested by Mr. Kenneth F. Dillard, and recommended by the Planning Commission.

There were no verbal protests, and the hearing was closed.

On motion of Supervisor Johnson, seconded by Supervisor Flynn, and unanimously carried, the requested abandonment was approved, and RESOLUTION NO. 356-80 was adopted accordingly.

--//--

On motion of Supervisor Johnson, seconded by Supervisor Todd, and unanimously carried, the Board approved the Community Programs "Program Account Budget", in the amount of \$160,000.00, and Long Range Plan for the opera-tion of Community Programs for the year ending February 28, 1982.

--//--

SPECIAL ORDERS

PLANNING MATTERS

Hearing was held as duly advertised to consider rezoning of lands in the Cameron Park area from Multi-Family Residential Zone to Planned Development Zone, consisting of 0.48 acre, petitioned by Eldo Properties, Inc., for Greenwood Townhouses Subdivision. The Planning Commission recommended approval, and the Planning Commissioner enumerated the following findings of the Commission:

- qel. The Planned Development Zone is consistent with the existing Land Use Designation, Goals and Policies of the 1969 General Plan; The project is designed to provide a desirable environment within the
 - 2. project boundaries;
- H33 3. 11 4. 5. The deviations from the traditional zoning requirements, parking ratio or size, are justified by the physical characteristics of the site; The site is physically suited for the project;
- Water and sewer are to be provided by E.I.D. and fire protection is to Just - je be provided by the Cameron Park Fire District; and, The project does not detract from the natural land and scenic values
 - 6. of the site.

Mr. Bill Furtwangler, engineer, was present and spoke on behalf of the applicant.

Mr. Sam Graham, resident of the area, was present and asked one question of the applicant regarding the project.

Also present to speak on behalf of Eldo Properties, Inc., was Mr. Ron Goff.

There were no written or verbal protests, and the hearing was closed.

On motion of Supervisor Johnson, seconded by Supervisor Flynn, and unanimously carried, the Board accepted the Negative Declaration; approved the rezoning to Planned Development Zone, and adopted ORDINANCE NO. 30 3088 amending the County Zoning Ordinance accordingly; and adopted the proposed Development Plan as the Official Development Plan, as presented with deviations, based on the findings of the Planning Commission.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES December 30

A23 1.0ª

72

The Law Property of the

19 80

The Board considered the Tentative Map for Greenwood Townhouses Subdivision in the Cameron Park area, consisting of 0.48 acre, comprising 8 condominium units; Subdivider: Eldo Properties, Inc. The Planning Commission recommended approval of the Tentative Map with the following modifications: (1) Public Works recommendation for parking modifications: (1) Public Works recommendation for parking spaces; (2) Removal of the requirement for the retaining wall; and (3) The area be landscaped as per Public Works recommendations; subject to 10 conditions presented; and based on the following findings:

- The project is in conformance with the existing Land Use Designation, 1. Goals and Policies, of the 1969 General Plan;
- The project is designed to provide a desirable environment within the 2. project boundaries;
- 3. The deviations to the standard zoning requirements are justified by the physical characteristics of the site;
- 4.
- The site is physically suited to the project; Water and sewer are to be provided by E.I.D. and fire protection is to 5. be provided by the Cameron Park Fire District;
- The project is designed to maintain existing trees, provide open space 6. areas and maintain scenic values of the area; and, The project complies with Section 66473.1 of the Subdivision Map Act
- 7. for providing solar opportunities.

On motion of Supervisor Johnson, seconded by Supervisor Flynn, and unanimously carried, the Board approved the Tentative Map for Greenwood Townhouses Subdivision, with the three recommended modifications, subject to the 10 conditions recommended by the Planning Commission, and based on the Planning Commission's findings.

--//--

Hearing was held as duly advertised to consider the Appeal of Mr. Dennis Brutsche on the Planning Commission's denial of a Variance to allow issuance of a building permit for a single-family dwelling on a parcel illegally created in an RE-5, Estate Residential Five-Acre Zone, consisting of 4 acres, in the Rescue area.

Mr. Brutsche was present and spoke on his own behalf, stating there are many other parcels in his area which are less than five acres in size, and he was not aware that his parcel was inconsistent with the zoning in the area when he purchased the property. He stated he could not afford to purchase additional property as was suggested by the Planning Commis-sion, and emphasized the fact that he meets the criteria for approval of a variance as outlined in Section 9446 of the County Ordinance Code.

There were no written or verbal protests, and the hearing was closed.

On motion of Supervisor Walker, seconded by Supervisor Johnson, and carried by the following vote: Ayes: Supervisors Johnson, Walker, Flynn, and Todd; Supervisor Stewart, the Board approved the request of the appellant No: and directed that a variance be granted to Mr. Brutsche, based on the following findings:

- The circumstances are unusual, in that Mr. Brutsche had nothing to do 1. with the creation of the parcel inconsistent with the zoning;
- It would benefit the County to make it a legal parcel and "get along 2. with it".

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES

December 30

19 80

Hearing was held as duly advertised to consider the request of Archie and Ethel Lawyer and Ethel Tidd for cancellation of a portion of Agricultural Preserve No. 190, consisting of 1,000 acres, in the Pilot Hill-Cool area. The Planning Commission recommended denial of the request, based on the finding that it is inconsistent with the Open Space Element of the General Plan. The Agricultural Commission recommended denial of the request, on the basis that this preserve and surrounding preserves are primarily devoted toward cattle grazing; the cancellation of the Williamson Act Contract on this preserve would rapidly increase the small parcelling of land in the area, creating an additional burden on the surrounding agricultural operations; and the Land Conservation Act has stabilized the agriculture industry of the County and to cancel the Williamson Act Contract would weaken the contract to where it would be ineffective.

Mr. Robert Laurie, Chief Assistant County Counsel, reviewed this matter for the Board, which was continued from the hearing of November 18, 1980. It was determined by Mr. Ken Milam, Planning Director, that an environmental assessment was not performed by Planning staff prior to the filing of the Negative Declaration on this project. Mr. Milam advised that an environmental assessment has now been performed by the Planning staff, and it/Nis recommendation, as well as Mr. Laurie's, that a new Negative Declaration be filed, and that the Board take no action on the cancellation request until said Negative Declaration has been on file the required thirty days. Mr. John Weidman, attorney, representing the applicants, was present and concurred in Mr. Milam's and Mr. Laurie's recommendation. Mr. Weidman requested the Board direct the Planning Department to file a new Negative Declaration based on testimony taken at previous hearings regarding this matter and the environmental assessment of the Planning staff.

Mrs. Ruth Loeffelbein, Chairman of Environmental Planning and Information Council, Inc., was present to again state said Council's concern regarding loss of agricultural lands in this County. Mrs. Loeffelbein stated there should be adequate "review time" so that any interested groups or individuals will have an opportunity to study this request for cancellation of an agricultural preserve.

There were no further comments from the audience, and the hearing was closed.

On motion of Supervisor Walker, seconded by Supervisor Stewart, and unanimously carried, the Board directed the Planning Department to file another Negative Declaration on this project, based upon the environmental assessment that has already been performed by the Planning Department, and set the matter back on the Board's agenda following the running of sufficient time.

--//--

Hearing was held as duly advertised to consider the request of Mr. Daniel H. Russell for cancellation of Agricultural Preserve No. 122, consisting of 883.08 acres in the El Dorado Hills area. The Board last considered this request on August 12, 1980, at which time it was continued off calendar.

The Agricultural Commission recommends denial of the cancellation, on the basis that it would definitely establish a precedent that would jeopardize the remaining "AE" zoned lands and cause a rapid conversion of these lands to high density uses.

Continued next page

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES December 30

19_80

The Planning Commission first heard Mr. Russell's request for cancellation of Agricultural Preserve No. 122 on June 26, 1980, and recommended denial of said request. The Planning Commission again heard Mr. Russell's request on December 18, 1980, and the Commission now recommends approval based on the following finding:

As per Section 15088 a. (3) of the California Environmental Quality Act, social and economic findings are provided to justify the cancellation of Agricultural Preserve No. 122:

- 1. The cancellation is in the public interest of the County;
- 2. The cancellation is not in conflict with the Williamson Act Contract;
- 3. Overriding considerations as per Section 15089 a., b., & c. of the California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines, the Planning Commission finds that because of subdivision activities and intensified residential occupancy of lands surrounding the agricultural preserve, operation of the preserve is hindered. In addition, the land appears to be uneconomical for the purpose of cattle raising and from documentation in the Environmental Impact Report, the lands cannot be utilized for any other compatible agricultural use.

Mr. Tom Van Horn, law clerk with the legal office of Mull & McCarthy, was present and spoke on behalf of Mr. Russell, stating Mr. Russell is requesting this cancellation due to it being impossible to pursue cattle raising on the property in question because of continuing urban encroachment. He stated that Mr. Russell would restrict the use of the land by any buyer, through deed restrictions, in order to protect his remaining Agricultural Preserve in Sacramento County where he intends to continue his ranching operation. Mr. Van Horn stated that this Agricultural Preserve (No. 122) represents less than 2% of El Dorado County's agricultural land, and is the only Agricultural Preserve so engulfed by urban development, and, further, there are no other agricultural preserves near this one to be affected by the cancellation. Mr. Van Horn feels the State and the County Agriculture Commission did not consider these facts when making their recommendations for denial of cancellation. Mr. Russell also spoke on his own behalf, emphasizing the inability to raise cattle profitably on the property in question.

Mrs. Ruth Loeffelbein, representing the Environmental Planning and Information Council, Inc., spoke in opposition to the cancellation, stating she feels the Russell Agricultural Preserve should be non-renewed and taken out through the ten-year roll-out, during which time the County can plan for future use of the land. Mrs. Loeffelbein also questioned the County allowing land surrounding this Agricultural Preserve to be zoned for high density use. Supervisor Johnson pointed out that the Land Use Plan for the El Dorado Hills area was adopted prior to Mr. Russell putting his land into the Agricultural Preserve, therefore, Mr. Russell was aware of the potential for high density use of the land surrounding his Preserve.

Mrs. Mary Jane Battaglia was present and spoke on behalf of the American Association of University Women to remind the Board that it represents the "people" of El Dorado County, and she is sorry such a thing as this "urban encroachment" on Agricultural Preserve No. 122 has occurred, and feels it is the County's responsibility to maintain a buffer zone around Agricultural Preserves. Mrs. Battaglia stated that the American Association of University Women's general position on growth in the community is that conservation, environment, pollution control, and wise utilization of resources, including land, water, and both have traditional and alternative energy sources, and long-range comprehensive planning are necessary with an emphasis on limiting growth.

There were no further comments from the audience, and the hearing was closed.

Continued next page

December 30, 1980

dlf

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES December 30 19 80

After a brief recess, Mr. Jake Raper of the County Planning Department responded to the testimony of Mrs. Ruth Loeffelbein and Mrs. Mary Jane Battaglia, this date, as follows:

Response to Mrs. Loeffelbein

- Mr. Raper stated that he presumes her concern for impact on other agricultural preserves is directed at the agricultural preserve to 1. the west of this one in Sacramento County. The Environmental Impact Report (E.I.R.) was prepared on cancellation of this Agricultural Preserve as it stands in El Dorado County. During that review, other agencies suggested that this Preserve not stand on its own as an economic unit; however, during the testimony and through the informa-tion submitted as part of the E.I.R., it indicates that the topography, fence line, and slope of this property make it uneconomical to manage because of the manpower to oversee the project. The applicant can protect the agricultural preserve in Sacramento County by establishing easements as part of the deed restrictions for purchase of this property, developing a 100-foot buffer strip between El Dorado County and the agricultural preserve in Sacramento County, Also, deed restrictions could limit the development of the parcels from five to ten acres, which complies with policies established by the Agricul-tural Commission. As far as what the County can do, the Planning Department can require additional environmental documentation if application is made to rezone the land from AE, 20 acre minimum, to RE-10, Estate Residential 10-acre Zone; and the County can reject the rezoning until such a time as specific projects come in and, in that way, can control the buffer between this agricultural preserve land and the one to the west in Sacramento County.
- 2. Speaking to her concern for preserving open space in the western portion of El Dorado County, El Dorado Hills has a Community Services District, and its main function is providing recreational facilities and open space for the District. After cancellation of Agricultural Preserve No. 122, and when development occurs, one of the following actions could be taken: (1) annexation into the existing Community Services District and, when subdivision activity occurs, either fees must be paid or land dedicated for recreational facilities; or (2) creation of a new Community Services District which will be responsible for providing recreational facilities. In urbanized areas, open space and recreational facilities go hand-in-hand.
- 3. Reference her concern that County will lose control of the land if cancellation is granted, Mr, Raper stated the County does have control, as each and every discretionary act presented by this owner or future owners requires environmental documentation so that cumulative impact can be addressed in more detail when further or subsequent applications are presented to the County for its action.

Continued next page

December 30, 1980

- 547 -

dlf

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES.

December 30

19_80

Response to Mrs. Battaglia

Regarding her concerns, on behalf of the American Association of University Women, regarding growth limitation, Mr. Raper stated it is staff's position that the property is within an established land use designation, 10 - 160 acre parcels, as part of the El Dorado Hills Area Land Use Plan which was adopted by the Board of Supervisors on July 23, 1980, and the property in question is restricted to those parcel sizes. The County continually reviews availability of services and access to a project site, and the Board has recently reaffirmed the Policy that, if an applicant does not have a "will-serve" letter from the El Dorado Irrigation District, or the property does not have public water and public sewer for a subdivision, that subdivision will not be approved by the Board. Other policies established in the County's Parcel Map Ordinance indicate that they are restricted to a five-acre parcel -- if it goes below 4.5 acres, they must have either public water or public sewer. So the County has adopted safe-guards to prevent uncontrolled development without consideration of public services.

Mr. Robert Laurie, Chief Assistant County Counsel, stated that the applicants believe there should not be a cumulative impact associated with this project, in that this project is unique, based upon its physical charac-teristics. Mr. Laurie asked Mr. Raper if he agreed that such a finding could be made.

Mr. Raper stated he does not feel the Board can make such a finding, due to comments from numerous State agencies that/cancellation of Agricultural Preserve No. 122 may have a cumulative impact on other agricultural preserves in El Dorado County.

The Board accepted the Environmental Impact Report and determined that it is in fact consistent with the California Environmental Auality Act (CEOA), the State Guidelines, and the local rules implementing CEOA, on motion of Supervisor Flynn, seconded by Supervisor Stewart, and carried by the follow-ing vote: Ayes: Supervisors Flynn, Stewart, and Todd; Noes: Supervisors Johnson and Walker.

On motion of Supervisor Flynn, seconded by Supervisor Johnson, and carried by the following vote: Ayes: Supervisors Johnson, Walker, Flynn, and Stewart; No: Supervisor Todd, the Board denied the request of Mr. Daniel H. Russell for cancellation of Agricultural Preserve No. 122, based on the following findings:

- The need to preserve agricultural lands; and 1.
- 2. The potential cumulative impact and potential cumulative effect on future requests for cancellation of agricultural preserves.

--//--

visor Johnson, seconded by Supervisor Flynn, and unanimously carried, the Board appoved extensions of the Tentative Maps for the fail At the recommendation of the Planning Commission, and on motion of Super-(in In sions:

- Hul + 8a. Swansboro Country Unit No. 8 in the Finnon area, consisting of 54 acres, Junto a. comprising 25 lots; Subdivider: Richard Dyer; Swansboro Country Unit No. 9 in the Finnon area, consisting of 26 acres,
 - comprising 11 lots; Subdivider: Richard Dyer.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES

December 30

19_80

Mr. Richard Coombs, representing Stanford Building Group (Don Dappen) had requested an opportunity to present to the Board a revised Proposed Development Plan for Mother Lode Apartments in the Diamond Springs/ El Dorado area, and to request the Board's reconsideration of its previous action on same. Supervisor Walker advised the Board that Mr. Coombs was not able to attend the meeting this date, but requested that any reconsideration be scheduled for late January or early February. On motion of Supervisor Flynn, seconded by Supervisor Johnson, and unanimously carried, the Board continued the matter to January 27, 1981, when the applicants will be available to discuss same.

Mr. Jim Pomares requested clarification of the General Plan designation of Parcel 9 on the Parcel Map entitled "Portion of Sections 29, 30, 31, and 32" in the Shingle Springs area, pursuant to the Shingle Springs Area Plan Map adopted by the Board in 1977.

--//--

On motion of Supervisor Walker, seconded by Supervisor Stewart, and carried by the following vote: Ayes: Supervisors Johnson, Walker, Stewart, and Todd; Abstain: Supervisor Flynn, due to the fact that the adoption of the map of the Shingle Springs Area Plan does not coincide with the transcript pertaining to certain parts of the Plan, the Board directed the Planning Director to change the map to reflect Commercial designation of Assessors Parcels Numbers 219:21:18, 319:23:49, and 319:23:48, totaling 42+ acres.

In response to a request for clarification of the motion made at the Board meeting of June 24, 1980, regarding specific time when Gold Oak Union School District is no longer impacted, the Board, on motion of Supervisor Walker, seconded by Supervisor Johnson, and unanimously carried, declared its intent was that, when the first of the Leroy Green Phase 3 Funds is acquired by, in hand, the school district. (See Minutes: MB21:273)

--//--

GENERAL ORDERS

On motion of Supervisor Walker, seconded by Supervisor Flynn, and unanimously carried, the Board continued to January 6, 1981, the Probation Department's request that the Chairman be authorized to sign the following Budget Transfers:

- a. No. 46, transferring \$6,543.00 of 1979-80 AB 90 Funds from Aid for Justice (91-5252) to Transportation and Travel (92-2250);
- b. No. 47, transferring \$1,000.00 from the Contingency Fund to the Probation Department's Maintenance of Equipment Account (92-2120) for repair and repainting of two automobiles acquired from Surplus Property.

--//--

Pursuant to an Order of the Superior Court, submitted by County Counsel, and on motion of Supervisor Flynn, seconded by Supervisor Stewart, and unanimously carried, the Board set aside its action of August 8, 1978, wherein it upheld the action of the Planning Commission in granting Special Use Permit No. 75-100 for a real estate office ("A" Frame) in Cameron Park and denied the Appeal of same filed by Jacquelyn Marliave, and approved reconsideration of Mrs. Marliave's Appeal.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES December 30

19 80

The County Administrative Office advised that the Welfare Department at South Lake Tahoe is in need of additional office space; and recommended that a portion of the present conference room in the branch Government Center be temporarily converted to office space and assigned to said Department, with the understanding said space be returned if different space arrangements are made.

Supervisor Johnson noted that the Welfare Department has outgrown its office space in the branch Government Center, and continues to grow, and the Health Department is outgrowing its space also. Supervisor Johnson noted that the County is reimbursed by the State only 2% of the value of the space occupied by the Welfare Department: however, if they find other quarters to rent for the purpose of housing the Welfare Department, the State will reimburse the County 100% of the cost; and the Health Department could then have the space now occupied by the Welfare Department in the branch Government Center.

On motion of Supervisor Walker, seconded by Supervisor Todd, and unanimously carried, the Board assigned Mr. Jene Leslie, Acting County Chief. Administrative Officer, to work with the Welfare Director in trying to come up with an alternate solution.

--//--

On motion of Supervisor Flynn, seconded by Supervisor Stewart, and unanimously carried, the Board denied the request of the Area Agency on Aging for waiver of formal bidding procedure and authorization for the Chairman to sign an Agreement with Condor Lodge for 100 meals per day, Monday through Friday, at \$3.95 per meal, as an interim measure for sixty days, with an option for thirty days with an option for thirty days, in accordance with the requirements of Title III-C of the Older Americans Act and the El Dorado County Senior Nutrition Program, until such time as the City Recreation Center is ready for operation. The consensus of the Board is that they would prefer to see an acceleration of the completion of the work being done to the City Recreation Center so the Senior Nutrition Program can begin operating at that location as soon as possible.

--//--

El Dorado County and County Counsel submitted a five-year Agreement between/the El Dorado County Fair Association, and Loren D. and Dennis Forni, dba Forni Read-Mix, for the lease of a portion of the Forni property adjacent to the livestock area of the Fairgrounds to be used for additional parking spaces, at a yearly cost of \$1.00. Mrs. Ester Armstrong, Fair Secretary/Manager advised the Board that Mr. Loren Forni has requested the following changes in the Agreement:

- 1. Term of the Agreement to be 2 years rather than 5 years;
- 2. Property to be used only at Fair time each year;
- 3. Fair to provide lighting and chain-link fencing;
- 4. Agreement to become void upon change of Fair Manager.

On motion of Supervisor Walker, seconded by Supervisor Flynn, and unanimously carried, the Board approved the Agreement with those four revisions, and authorized the Chairman to sign.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES

(104"

330

December 30

19 80

At the request of Mr. Vern Peterson, Deputy Director of the Office of Emergency Services, and Mr. Minor Miller of the Sheriff's Department, the Board again considered the requests of the Mosquito and Garden Valley Fire Protection Districts for termination of their Agreements with the County for reimburgements of County for reimbursement of County funds allocated to the California Deaprtment of Forestry (CDF) for provision of dispatching services to said Districts, as they will obtain all dispatching services from the El Dorado County Central Communications Center officative Territors from the El Dorado County Central Communications Center effective January 1, 1981. Mr. Miller and Mr. Peterson advised the Board that arrangements have been made for the County Central Communications Center to take on said Districts' dispatching services effective at midnight December 31, 1980, which is only one day away, and therefore requested the Board take action on the request this date.

After discussing the terms of the Agreements between the County and the individual fire districts receiving dispatching services from CDF, and the effect this action will have on the remaining three districts receiving same from CDF, the Board took the following action:

On motion of Supervisor Flynn, seconded by Supervisor Walker, and unanimously carried, the Board rescinded its previous action this date (see Page 541 of the Minutes of this meeting), and approved the requests of the Mosquito and Garden Valley Fire Protection Districts, with the direction that Mr. Vern Peterson send letters to the three fire districts which will continue to obtain dispatching services from CDF (Latrobe Hills Volunteer Fire Department, Rescue Fire Protection District, and Pioneer Volunteer Fire Protection District) notifying said Districts of their obligation to absorb the cost of reimbursement to the County for money allocated to CDF which was previously paid by the Mosquito and Garden Valley Fire Protection Districts, with a copy of said letters forwarded to CDF.

--//--

At the request of the County Energy Coordinator, and on motion of Supervisor Walker, seconded by Supervisor Flynn, and unanimously carried, the Chairman was authorized to sign a letter to the Western Area Power Adminis-(12 Tration (WAPA) requesting allocation of hydroelectric power from the Cen-tral Valley Project for El Dorado County Government buildings; and establishing this County's interest in obtaining power, which could be available by 1990 at the earliest, from the proposed Auburn Dam.

Also at the request of the County Energy Coordinator, and on motion of Supervisor Stewart, seconded by Supervisor Johnson, and unanimously carried, the Board approved the following projects, with money for same to come from Miscellaneous Capital Outlay Funda-Miscellaneous Capital Outlay Funds:

Conversion of heating at the South Lake Tahoe Library from liquid a. propane gas to natural gas, at an estimated cost of \$525.00; and Installation of automatic thermostat control, at a cost of \$208.00. b.

18/16/

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES December 30 19 80

Nº 11

At the request of the Public Works Department, and on motion of Supervisor Walker, seconded by Supervisor Johnson, and unanimously carried, an Ordinance amending Chapter 5, "Load Limits" of Part II of the County Ordinance Code, to reflect changes on various County roads and bridges relating to weight limit restrictions, was introduced, the reading thereof waived, and it was continued to January 6, 1981, for adoption.

On motion of Supervisor Flynn, seconded by Supervisor Johnson, and unanimously carried, the Board accepted Supervisor Thomas L. Stewart's resignation as El Dorado County's representative to the California Tahoe Regional Planning Agency and the Tahoe Paradise Resort Improvement District.

--//--

--//--

The appointment to the Tahoe Basin Transportation District, pursuant to the amended bistate Tahoe Regional Planning Agency Compact, was continued to January 6, 1981, on motion of Supervisor Flynn, seconded by Supervisor Walker, and unanimously carried.

--//--

The motion of Supervisor Walker, seconded by Supervisor Stewart, to continue the appointment of El Dorado County's representative to the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, did not carry by the following vote: Ayes: Supervisor Johnson; Noes: Supervisors Walker, Flynn, and Stewart: Abstain: Supervisor Todd.

Supervisor Thomas L. Stewart was appointed as El Dorado County's representative to the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, on motion of Supervisor Walker, seconded by SupervisorFlynn, and unanimously carried.

Mr. W. Frost submitted a petition containing 646 signatures requesting the County provide the following:

--//--

a. Reasonably priced transportation to Tahoe Paradise; b. Route on upper Truckee to Highway 50 and a transfer point at the "v".

On motion of Supervisor Stewart, seconded by Supervisor Flynn, and unanimously carried, the Board directed that Mr. Frost be advised that his correspondence and petitions are appreciated, that the matter is under study at this time within the Transportation Agency and, hopefully, the Board will have a recommendation it can agree with, and ultimately, have a service available to those people in the petitioned area.

--//--

Supervisor Stewart reported on the public hearing held at South Lake Tahoe on December 19, 1980, on the request of the South Tahoe Refuse Company, Inc., for an increase in rates for collection and disposal of refuse in the Lake Valley portion of the El Dorado County franchise area; and stated a recommendation to the Board is forthcoming and the matter will be placed on the Board's agenda at a later date. The Board took no action on this matter.

--//--

December 30, 1980

61

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES December 30 19 80

The Board of Supervisors adjourned and convened as the Board of Directors of County Service Area No. 2.

At the request of County Service Area No. 2, Hidden Lakes Estates Area, and on motion of Director Flynn, seconded by Director Johnson, and unanimously carried, the Board authorized Rumsey Trenching in Shingle Springs (low bidder) to perform the following work: provide rock for road, at a cost of \$1,400.00, and installation of culvert, at a cost of \$275.00.

The Board of Directors of County Service Area No. 2 adjourned.

--//--

The Board of Supervisors reconvened, and Chairman Todd passed the gavel to First Vice Chairman Flynn who will preside over the Board of Supervisors meeting on Tuesday, January 6, 1981.

--//--

There being no further business to come before the Board this date, the meeting was adjourned to January 6, 1981.

--//--

APPROVED:

od TODD, ARLIENE Chairman

ATTEST: DOLORES BREDESON, County Clerk and ex officio Clerk of the Board

61/2

Le By Clerk Deputy