STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF EL DORADO

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES August 12, 1976

The Board convened in special meeting as the Board of Directors of County Service Area No. 5, at 10:00 a.m., in the South Lake Tahoe Government Center Conference Room. Present: Supervisors Franklin K. Lane, William V. D. Johnson, W. P. Walker, and Thomas L. Stewart. Also present: Noble Sprunger, County Counsel, and Sally A. Traub, Deputy Clerk.

--//--

Hearing was held as duly advertised and noticed on the proposed Storm Drainage and Erosion Control Project for County Service Area No. 5, in the Tahoe Cedars - Tahoma area, as presented by William F. Pillsbury, Inc., Consulting Civil Engineers, as contained in said firm's Storm Drainage and Erosion Control Master Plan dated January, 1976.

165 letters were received from property owners in opposition to the Project. Two letters were received in favor of the Project.

Mr. Robert Hyerle submitted a petition containing 293 signatures in opposition to the Project (3 additional people signed the petition after the Hearing was closed).

The following persons were present and spoke in opposition to the Project: Barbara Talmadge, Secretary of Tahoe Cedars Homeowners Association, Edward Read, Earl Meyer, Tom Courtly, Dorothy Cowen, Syd Bartlett, Lorin Folger, B. M. and Marion Foeman, John Everett, James Spencer, Mr. Gambera, Ed Jafill, Albert Anaclerio, President of Tahoe Cedars Homeowners Association, Everett Emerson, Mr. Nelson, Mr. Rose, Ed Mecky, and Janet Turner. The following reasons were given by the people opposing the Project: they felt it was too expensive; unnecessary; unsightly; impractical; and did not want curbs and gutters.

No further protests were presented, and the Hearing was closed.

Supervisor Stewart moved that this Board, seated as the Board of Directors of County Service Area No. 5, not proceed with the formation of an assessment, or with any form of construction phase at this time; but, rather, because of comments made in letters on the Project presented by Mr. Pillsbury, that said Project be submitted to the following agencies for their review and suggestions for alternatives to the engineering scheme: California Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, and Tahoe Regional Planning Agency; and further that the Board shall solicit from these Agencies ideas that might reduce the scope of the Project in a very constructive way, and after their review, that the Board request their assistance in obtaining monies to go forth with this Project, if it is to be developed at all. This motion did not receive a second.

Supervisor Stewart then moved that the Board not proceed with this Project as presented, seconded by Supervisor Johnson, and unanimously carried by those present.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF EL DORADO

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES August 12, 19 76

After discussion, Supervisor Stewart moved that the project be referred to the California Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, and the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency for review and comment. This motion did not receive a second.

After further discussion, Supervisor Lane moved to refer the Project to the elected representatives of the Tahoe Cedars Homeowners Association to work with the Public Works Department and Mr. William Pillsbury (if there are sufficient funds available in the County Service Area No. 5 budget to retain his services), to select needed items from the Master Plan that the property owners will support. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Johnson, and carried by the following vote: Ayes: Supervisors Lane, Johnson, and Walker; Noes: Supervisor Stewart; Absent: Supervisor Kutter.

There being no further business, the Board adjourned to Tuesday, August 17, 1976, at 9:30 a.m.

APPROVED:

Chairman

ATTEST: Carl A. Kelly,

County Clerk and ex officio Clerk

of the Board

Deputy.

- 410 -