

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF EL DORADO

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES July 11, 1966

The Board convened in regular meeting. Present: Supervisors R. G. Chism, Ray Lawyer, Joseph D. Ronzone, Hiram F. Walker and W. C. Worrell; & Delores L. Nichols, Acting Clerk to the Board. Chairman Lawyer presiding.

--//--

On motion of Supervisor Chism, seconded by Supervisor Worrell, and unani-
mously carried, the Agenda is adopted.

--//--

On motion of Supervisor Worrell, seconded by Supervisor Chism, and unani-
mously carried, the minutes of July 5, 1966, are approved as submitted.

--//--

GENERAL ORDERS

On motion of Supervisor Worrell, seconded by Supervisor Chism, and unani-
mously carried, mileage and expense is authorized for the following to
attend meetings:

- 57-1 1. Planning Director and Donald Urquhart to Tahoe Regional Planning
Commission at Incline Village, Nevada, on 7/13
- 124-2 2. Building Inspector, ratify trip to Gridley on 7/8 to meeting of
International Conference of Building Officials (mileage to Auburn only)
- 350-4 3. Data Processing Manager, to C.S.A.C. EDP Standardization meeting in
Sacramento on 7/14; Data Processing Manager and Dave Stancil to IBM
Test Center in San Francisco to test programs and familiarize them-
selves with the computer, on 7/18
- 32 30- 4. Health Officer, for John Thomson, Sanitarian, to State Department of
Water Resources re Lake Tahoe sanitation problems, in Sacramento on
7/12
- 249-1 5. Farm Advisor, ratify Betty Hewitt's trip to National Home Economics
Convention in San Francisco from 6/27 to 7/1/66.

--//--

On motion of Supervisor Walker, seconded by Supervisor Ronzone, and unani-
mously carried, the Chairman is authorized to sign the Release of Lien
securing reimbursement of public assistance rendered Mr. and Mrs. L. J.
Roberts, Agreement to Reimburse having been recorded in Book 761, Page 509,
Official Records of El Dorado County.

--//--

At the recommendation of the County Engineer, on motion of Supervisor
Walker, seconded by Supervisor Chism, and unanimously carried, Bond No.
8175325 in the amount of \$202,027.90 furnished by Thomas H. Porter and
Peggy A. Porter to secure Agreement to Make Subdivision Improvements in
Grizzly Park Subdivision, wherein Great American Insurance Company is

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF EL DORADO

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES July 11, 1966

Surety, is hereby ordered released.

--//--

200
On motion of Supervisor Worrell, seconded by Supervisor Chism, and unanimously carried, the Service Agreement with Xerox Company for a "Xerox 2400" machine to be installed in the Service Department, continued from July 5, 1966, is approved and the Chairman authorized to sign.

--//--

241-3F
On motion of Supervisor Chism, seconded by Supervisor Worrell, and unanimously carried, the Agreement for Architectural Services between El Dorado County and Dreyfuss & Blackford, Architects, for the proposed Government Center on the Hildman site, is approved and the Chairman authorized to sign.

--//--

241-1
On motion of Supervisor Ronzone, seconded by Supervisor Chism, and unanimously carried, the Lease between El Dorado County and Amador County for rental to Amador County of a 2-ton Ford Cabover truck for the purpose of inspecting and certifying weighing and measuring devices, for a 12-month period commencing July 1, 1966, at a cost of \$400.00, is approved and the Chairman authorized to sign.

--//--

HI
On motion of Supervisor Walker, seconded by Supervisor Chism, and unanimously carried, the Revised Service Contract with the California State Division of Highways for fiscal year 1966-67 for control and/or elimination of noxious weeds on State Highway Rights of Way in El Dorado County, at a cost not to exceed \$500.00, is approved and the Chairman authorized to sign.

--//--

241-1
On motion of Supervisor Walker, seconded by Supervisor Chism, and unanimously carried, the Agreement with the California State Department of Agriculture for fiscal year 1966-67 for eradication of skeleton weed in El Dorado County, at a cost of \$500.00, is approved and the Chairman authorized to sign.

--//--

250
On motion of Supervisor Chism, seconded by Supervisor Walker, and unanimously carried, the following resolutions are passed and adopted:

RESOLUTION NO. 249-66

AUTHORIZING AN ACTION FOR RECOVERY OF COUNTY HOSPITAL
AND COUNTY WELFARE FUNDS PAID OUT
(Richard and Janice Ahart)

(For contents, see original resolution)

* * *

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF EL DORADO

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES July 11, 1966

RESOLUTION NO. 250-66

AUTHORIZING AN ACTION FOR RECOVERY OF
COUNTY HOSPITAL AND COUNTY WELFARE FUNDS PAID OUT
(James and Shirley Darlene Clark)

(For contents, see original resolution)

--//--

Letter received from the County Counsel suggesting procedures to be followed for the renewal of contracts and leases. On motion of Supervisor Chism, seconded by Supervisor Worrell, and unanimously carried, the recommendation of the County Counsel is approved and the Board hereby establishes policy, from this date forward, as follows:

It will be the responsibility of each department head to see that leases and contracts that effect the department be renewed or extended prior to the expiration date. This should be done by a letter to the Board of Supervisors making the request for a renewal or extension and setting forth any suggested changes in the terms of the contract or lease. This letter should reach the Board of Supervisors thirty days prior to the expiration of the contract or lease. In those leases that contain an option to renew, there is usually a provision that the option must be exercised by mailing an intention to exercise the option at some date prior to the termination of the lease. In these cases, the letter of request to the Board of Supervisors should reach the Board of Supervisors in sufficient time to exercise the option according to the terms of the lease.

In those cases where the department head is requesting a new lease or contract, the request should be made to the Board of Supervisors outlining the suggested terms of the contract or lease along with other factual information that is desired to be incorporated in the document.

--//--

Letter received from the County Health Officer requesting two additional telephones for the Health Department for two employees being transferred from the Welfare Department. On motion of Supervisor Walker, seconded by Supervisor Ronzone, and unanimously carried, the request is referred to the Administrative Assistant to check with the Health and Welfare Departments regarding transfer of these telephones.

--//--

At the request of the Road Commissioner, on motion of Supervisor Worrell, seconded by Supervisor Chism, and unanimously carried, the Grant of Right to Take Borrow for County Road Purposes between Barton Dunlap, et al, and the County, for dredge tailings to be removed from the Barton Ranch for a period of 3 years, at a cost of 10¢ per cubic yard, is approved and the Chairman authorized to sign.

--//--

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF EL DORADO

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES July 11, 1966

118-1
Letter received from the County Clerk stating that, pursuant to Section 8408.5 of the Elections Code, if the number of candidates nominated for election to a county central committee from an assembly or supervisorial district does not exceed the number of candidates to be elected from that assembly or supervisorial district, the designation of the office and the names of the candidates shall not be printed on that party's ballot, etc. In lieu thereof, the board of supervisors shall declare elected the candidates who have been nominated.

On motion of Supervisor Ronzone, seconded by Supervisor Chism, and unanimously carried, the matter is continued to July 18, 1966.

--//--

On motion of Supervisor Worrell, seconded by Supervisor Chism, and unanimously carried, appointment of the following employees is confirmed:

Emergency Help

- 124-3
233-1
1. Michael L. Sullivan, Engineering Aide I, Range 21, Step A, Road, from 7/7 to 7/11
 2. William N. Gayaldo, Deputy Sheriff, Range 30, Step A, from 7/8 to 7/11

Extra Help

- 230-4
LHR/124-3
213
3. Beatrice B. Keep, Hospital Attendant, Range 21, Step A, County Hospital, from 7/12 to 12/31
 4. Beatrice B. Keep, Nurse's Aide, Range 9, Step A, County Hospital, from 7/12 to 12/31
 5. Michael L. Sullivan, Engineering Aide I, Range 21, Step A, Road, from 7/12 to 10/15
 6. Louis E. McGuffy, Maintenance Man II, Range 25, Step A, Fair, from 7/11 to 8/30

Reclassifications

- 151-7
7. Sylvia K. Towner, from Social Worker I, Range 24, Step B, to Social Worker II, Range 28, Step A, Welfare, from 7/11/66

--//--

23
Letter received from California State Lands Commission advising of Phil Seagrave's intention to construct a pier at Lake Tahoe near Bijou and inquiring what objections, if any, may be posed by El Dorado County. On motion of Supervisor Walker, seconded by Supervisor Worrell, and unanimously carried, the letter is referred to the City of South Lake Tahoe Planning Commission.

--//--

216
On motion of Supervisor Worrell, seconded by Supervisor Chism, and unanimously carried, the letter from the Superintendent of El Dorado Union High School District enclosing the latest revision to the schedule for public

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF EL DORADO

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES July 11, 1966

use of Ponderosa High School swimming pool, is placed on file.

--//--

25-442
On motion of Supervisor Chism, seconded by Supervisor Worrell, and unanimously carried, the letter from the State Division of Highways enclosing a certified copy of the California Highway Commission Vote of Relinquishment of State Highway 188 to the County of El Dorado, effective July 1, 1966, is referred to the Road Commissioner for comments.

--//--

Letter received from the Greater Petaluma Area Chamber of Commerce enclosing a proposed resolution in favor of a milk price raise for California milk producers, and requesting the Board adopt such a resolution and submit it to the State Agriculture Department. On motion of Supervisor Chism, seconded by Supervisor Worrell, and unanimously carried, the letter is placed on file.

--//--

Letter received from the Fish & Game Committee inquiring what action has been taken in regard to proposals to improve fishing at Sly Park. (Continued from July 5, 1966) On motion of Supervisor Chism, seconded by Supervisor Walker, and unanimously carried, the Fish and Game Committee is to be requested to send in their request and attend hearings of the California Fish and Game Commission requesting additional assistance from the State of California to enlarge fish plants in Sly Park.

--//--

ASSESSMENT DISTRICTS

PANORAMA HEIGHTS UNIT NO. 1

AD
Mr. Edwin N. Ness, Attorney for the District, was present. The Clerk stated that the following documents had been filed with her:

1. Initial deposit of \$150.00
2. Petition for acquisition and construction of improvements
3. Boundary map
4. Certificate of ownership, concerning existence of mortgages or deeds of trust against the property
5. Certificate of Engineer as to adequacy of the petition
6. Certificate of appraisal of value of property
7. Estimate of cost of acquisition, with total amount of bond issue and total amount to be assessed being \$237,600.00
8. Letter filed from Carl Hanauer & Company offering to purchase bonds to be issued in these proceedings.

At the recommendation of the Attorney, on motion of Supervisor Worrell,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF EL DORADO

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES July 11, 1966

seconded by Supervisor Chism, and unanimously carried, the following resolutions are passed and adopted:

RESOLUTION NO. 251-66

APPROVING BOUNDARY MAP

(For contents, see assessment district file)

* * *

RESOLUTION NO. 252-66

APPOINTING ENGINEER OF WORK AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AGREEMENT
(Morgan Engineering Co.)

(For contents, see assessment district file)

* * *

RESOLUTION NO. 253-66

APPOINTING SPECIAL BOND COUNSEL AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AGREEMENT
(Sturgis, Den-Dulk, Douglass & Anderson)

(For contents, see assessment district file)

* * *

RESOLUTION NO. 254-66

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION TO UNDERTAKE SPECIAL ASSESSMENT PROCEEDINGS FOR
CONSTRUCTION OF IMPROVEMENTS
AND ACQUISITION OF CERTAIN PROPERTY AND FACILITIES

(For contents, see assessment district file)

* * *

RESOLUTION NO. 255-66

RESOLUTION OF INTENTION IN THE MATTER OF CONSTRUCTION
OF IMPROVEMENTS AND ACQUISITION OF PROPERTY AND FACILITIES

(For contents, see assessment district file)

* * *

Engineer's Report, Assessment and Assessment Diagram filed.

* * *

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF EL DORADO

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES July 11, 1966

RESOLUTION NO. 256-66

ADOPTING SCALE OF PREVAILING WAGE RATES

(For contents, see assessment district file)

* * *

RESOLUTION NO. 257-66

PRELIMINARILY ADOPTING ENGINEER'S REPORT,
SETTING PUBLIC HEARING AND CALLING FOR BIDS
(Hearing: 8/22/66; Bid opening: 8/4/66)

(For contents, see assessment district file)

--//--

A statement by the Board of Supervisors concerning the proposed County Government Center was read. (For contents, see attached sheets.)

--//--

PLANNING COMMISSION MATTERS

Adjacent property owners having been notified, hearing held on appeal of Calnor Construction Co. for a Special Use Permit to permit development of a mobile home park in an Industrial Zone in the Diamond Springs area. (Denied by the Planning Commission on June 9, 1966.) Approximately 25 people were present. Mr. Lloyd Smith of Calnor Construction Co. stated his reasons for the appeal. Mr. Brandage, Mr. Smith's partner, and Mr. Lon Easterly of the Citizens Planning Advisory Committee were also heard in support of the appeal. Mr. Wm. Fleck, Mr. Bill Lawson and Mr. Bob Lawson, both of Diamond Springs Lime Co., and Mrs. T. L. Eriksson were heard in opposition. Three letters and a petition with 39 signatures opposing the mobile home park, and a letter from the South Area Boosters Club supporting it, were filed. The matter was discussed for 1½ hours.

On motion of Supervisor Worrell, seconded by Supervisor Ronzone, and carried by the following vote: Ayes: Supervisors Ronzone, Worrell and Lawyer; Noes: Supervisors Walker and Chism; the appeal of Calnor Construction Co. for a Special Use Permit to develop a mobile home park in an Industrial Zone is denied.

--//--

ASSESSMENT DISTRICTS

RIVER PARK ESTATES

At the request of Attorney R. F. Raymond, representing Kenneth I. Jones, Attorney for the District, on motion of Supervisor Walker, seconded by

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

EL DORADO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

RESPONSE ON COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER

7/11/66

The July 7th issue of the Placerville Mountain Democrat reports suggestions by a candidate for County Supervisor that the Board of Supervisors is "moving hastily and in the dark" on the proposed El Dorado County Government Center in Placerville. This allegation, and others reported in the story, require prompt and candid response.

The El Dorado County Board of Supervisors views its responsibilities on this project most seriously. The current effort to provide the people of our rapidly growing county with urgently needed local government facilities, involving the obligation of present and future taxpayers to long-term capital debt is not a program to be regarded lightly. The Board has, and will continue to encourage and invite thorough and open public discussion of its stewardship of the Government Center development, and it deplores the possibility that this long-delayed project may be exploited in terms of individual political campaigns.

The suggestion that the Board is moving "hastily and in the dark" is plainly absurd in view of the fact that new government facilities have been a major item of public study for more than a decade. The present Board, in its 18 months of office, has carefully reviewed the many past studies of this question and

has, in addition, conducted its own investigations and extensive public hearings of every major aspect of the proposed project. Regarding present steps to acquire the proposed site west of Placerville, the Board's action has been based on the following factors:

1. Careful review of all site alternatives
2. Exhaustive, extended, and well-attended public hearings during the course of which strong presentations were made in support of the adopted site by the Placerville City Council, the Placerville Planning Commission, and the El Dorado County Planning Commission. It should be emphasized that this is the first site for a County Government Center on which all concerned government agencies, political subdivisions, and all other civic groups have been in full accord. In light of this overwhelming support, the Board's determination was made to adopt and acquire this site.
3. The determination of the Board that, insofar as possible, the site question should be settled, laid to rest, and not mingled or confused with the demonstrated need for the new facilities.
4. The gift to the County of seven acres of property adjacent to the basic site, and independent and objective appraisals of the value of the land to be purchased.

5. A legally-required public hearing on the purchase of the proposed site, held at 2 p.m., June 27, 1966, which was duly noticed as required by law in a newspaper of general circulation within the County. Although this was the publicized appropriate time and place to appear and be heard on this important matter of public business, the Board hearing room on this occasion was empty, except for the Board, its staff, and the press.

The dissatisfaction expressed with the responses of the Board to the question of what might be done subsequent to the possible failure of the bond issue leaves the Board slightly incredulous; a sincere and earnest effort was made at the Board meeting of July 5th to answer this question. It was only after the meeting, in the press, that the Board found its responses to be "offhand" and to provide "no real answer" to the question. It is unfortunate that these judgments were not expressed in public and before the Board rather than in a prepared statement subsequently handed to the press.

Regarding speculation as to subsequent action in the event of bond issue failure, the Board wishes to state at the outset of this campaign that it is confident that the people of El Dorado County, after careful examination of the facts on the need for the new facilities and the savings through greater operational

efficiency and the elimination of \$64,000.00 in annual rent will approve the measure. On the contrary, what the Board might do in the event of bond issue failure is plainly impossible to answer at this time; determination of this question must await the vote of the people. Factors to be considered would be the closeness of the election, whether or not the issue receives a simple majority (a 2/3 vote is required), and the Board's clear-cut responsibility to provide facilities for its courts, jail, and general government. There are, obviously, other means of financing public buildings, all of which are used in county government: pay-as-you-go (involving use of current finances), lease-purchase, formation of public authorities and issuance of revenue bonds, and long-term loans (such as are available through the State Employees' Retirement System). None, however, provides cheaper money than is available through general obligation bond financing, and the Board joins Mr. Piazza in endorsing "a cautious approach to spending," through its submission of this question to a vote of the people in an effort to secure the least expensive financing.

By raising the imponderable question of what the Board might do in the event of bond issue failure, a subtle implication is made that the Board may in some way ignore the wishes of the people in the bond election. If this were to be the case, the Board is wasting a great deal of effort and exhausting the capacities of its limited staff in a meaningless bond election

campaign. The Board could have, at the outset, and in light of the failure of the previous issue, sought other financing, but it quite properly did not. The Board wants a popular vote of this question, and it does not intend to ignore the wishes of the people.

Several added specific questions were posed in the news story of July 7th which warrant response:

"We have a contract with a new firm of architects even before we own the site. We are spending money for surveys for land we don't yet own. How much more are we going to spend before the plans are ready? How can we have plans for property we don't own?"

The County does, indeed, have a contract with a new firm of architects. The firm of Dreyfuss and Blackford was selected after a searching investigation of the qualifications of several outstanding firms. The Board sought the services of a new architect because it felt a fresh new approach to design of the government center was warranted, and because the new site presents new design problems and opportunities different from those posed by the rejected Forni site. It is not necessary to have title to a site prior to designing buildings to be located upon it. It is necessary to expend funds for engineering, soils, and topographical information before resolving upon a basic design.

Regarding how much money the County is likely to spend before the plans are ready, no more is to be spent than is absolutely essential, within the next 60 days, to secure preliminary plans, specifications, and graphic renderings. Preliminary plans are necessary in order to determine the amount of the bond issue. This is a simple matter of fact, and there is no other way to determine the cost of the project. In contrast to the approach used on the Forni site, the Board has not authorized the preparation of detailed, final plans and specifications prior to bond issue. This major effort is costly, unessential to the task of deriving a bond issue estimate, and, would represent a waste of public funds in the event of inability to proceed with the project.

An understanding of the necessary steps to be followed in the financing and construction of public buildings would have forestalled the need to raise some of these questions. However, the Board of Supervisors is pleased with Mr. Piazza's indicated support for the Government Center Project, his recognition of the need for it, and his approval of the site. In the coming weeks, the full resources of the County Board of Supervisors and its staff are available for answers to any questions which may be raised by any county voter on this major public question. As of this point in the project, the Board has carefully followed a program of logical and legal steps necessary to prepare this

issue for popular vote, including public hearings on site selection, intention to purchase property, and selection of a contract with an architect. These are all matters of public record, are documented, and available as public information.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF EL DORADO

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES July 11, 1966

Supervisor Chism, and unanimously carried, the following resolution is passed and adopted:

RESOLUTION NO. 258-66

APPROVING MODIFIED FINAL ESTIMATE OF COST AND AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF OVERHEAD EXPENSE AND DISPOSING OF SURPLUS

(For contents, see assessment district file)

--//--

At this point Supervisor Walker departed.

--//--

TAHOE PARADISE UNIT NO. 51:

Attorney R. F. Raymond, representing Kenneth I. Jones, Attorney for the District, was present. On motion of Supervisor Chism, seconded by Supervisor Worrell, and carried, adoption of the resolution determining unpaid assessments and providing for issuance of bonds is continued until this afternoon.

--//--

The Board recessed until 2:00 P.M.

--//--

2:00 P.M.

Chairman Ray Lawyer was absent to attend a funeral. Vice Chairman H. F. Walker assumed the Chair.

--//--

Hearing held as duly advertised on Resolution of Intention to purchase real property from L. D. Forni, et ux, for the proposed Government Center. No protestants appearing, on motion of Supervisor Chism, seconded by Supervisor Ronzone, and carried, the following resolution is passed and adopted:

RESOLUTION NO. 259-66

EXERCISING OPTION ON FORNI PROPERTY AND DIRECTING COUNTY COUNSEL TO TAKE NECESSARY STEPS TO CONSUMMATE PURCHASE OF PROPERTY

(For contents, see original resolution)

--//--

TAHOE PARADISE UNIT NO. 51 ASSESSMENT DISTRICT

At the request of Mr. R. F. Raymond, Attorney, on motion of Supervisor

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF EL DORADO

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES July 11, 1966

Ronzone, seconded by Supervisor Chism, and carried, the following resolution is passed and adopted:

RESOLUTION NO. 260-66

DETERMINING UNPAID ASSESSMENTS AND PROVIDING FOR ISSUANCE OF BONDS

(For contents, see assessment district file)

--//--

Supervisor Chism departed.

--//--

On motion of Supervisor Worrell, seconded by Supervisor Ronzone, and carried, all Claims presented this day on the Warrant Register are approved and allowed for payment.

--//--

On motion of Supervisor Ronzone, seconded by Supervisor Worrell, and carried, Progress Payment Request #7 submitted by Cline, Zerkle & Agee, in the amount of \$1,398.11 for Briggs & Weston, Contractors, for the surgical and x-ray addition to the County Hospital, is approved for payment.

--//--

At this point, Supervisor Lawyer arrived and assumed the Chair.

--//--

On motion of Supervisor Ronzone, seconded by Supervisor Walker, and carried, the Addendum to Agreement between the County and Memory Chapel, Inc., McCormick Tahoe Mortuary, and Chapel of the Pines, regarding payment for services, is approved and the Chairman authorized to sign and the Board Clerk is instructed to send copies to the parties involved.

--//--

Supervisor Chism returned.

--//--

On motion of Supervisor Chism, seconded by Supervisor Worrell, and unanimously carried, the Road Commissioner is authorized to order one photocopy machine as listed in his budget.

--//--

On motion of Supervisor Ronzone, seconded by Supervisor Walker, and unanimously carried, Judge James Palmer is authorized one extra telephone line, on the same number, and pushbutton telephones.

--//--

-343-

dn

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF EL DORADO

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTES July 11, 1966

274
On motion of Supervisor Worrell, seconded by Supervisor Chism, and unani-
mously carried, the Board Clerk is requested to advise Dillingham Corp. of
California that their appeal for a Variance to locate a billboard near the
Lake Tahoe Airport arrived too late for consideration.

--//--

61
The County Engineer presented plans and specifications for construction of
drainage facilities for County Service Area #4 and advised that the bids
would be opened July 28, 1966. On motion of Supervisor Chism, seconded by
Supervisor Ronzone, and unanimously carried, the plans and specifications
are placed on file.

--//--

318
On motion of Supervisor Worrell, seconded by Supervisor Walker, and unani-
mously carried, travel expenses for Ken Stewart, Engineering Department,
for a trip to Sacramento on June 17, 1966, is ratified.

--//--

51
On motion of Supervisor Worrell, seconded by Supervisor Walker, and unani-
mously carried, the Board Clerk is requested to send a letter to the Plan-
ning Commission requesting that in the future they refrain from giving
opinions on proposed developments.

--//--

317
On motion of Supervisor Worrell, seconded by Supervisor Walker, and unani-
mously carried, the County Counsel is instructed to prepare a resolution
supporting the Los Rios Junior College site as selected by Mr. Walter
Coults, and Supervisor Worrell is authorized to deliver same.

--//--

At this point Supervisor Walker departed.

--//--

118
30
31-1
On motion of Supervisor Ronzone, seconded by Supervisor Worrell, and carried,
the Chairman is authorized to sign the necessary application for Federal
funds for Lake Tahoe and Placerville Airports.

--//--

1145
On motion of Supervisor Worrell, seconded by Supervisor Ronzone, and carried,
mileage and expenses are authorized for Matthew Rudy to attend a Civil
Defense Management Course at USC, Los Angeles, on July 25-29, 1966.

--//--

The Board adjourned to reconvene on Wednesday, July 13, 1966, at 9:00 A.M.

--//--

ATTEST:
RUTH LANG, County Clerk
By Stelarc S. Nichols
Deputy

APPROVED Ray Leung
Chairman