ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION

COUNTY OF EL DORADO

Independent Auditors' Reports on Supplemental Information, Compliance, and Internal Controls (OMB Circular A-128)

> For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1995

COUNTY OF EL DORADO FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1995

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Independent Auditors' Report on Schedules of Federal Financial Assistance, Office of Criminal Justice Planning Grants.	age
and Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO) Contracts	1
Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance.	2-11
Notes to Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance	12
Schedule of Office of Criminal Justice Planning Grants	13
State Department of Economic Opportunity Contracts	1-22
ndependent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Structure Based on an Audit of General-Purpose Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	3-25
ndependent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Structure Used in Administering Federal Financial Assistance Programs	6-28
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance Based on an Audit of General-Purpose Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	29
ndependent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Specific Requirements Applicable to Major Federal Financial Assistance Programs	0-32
ndependent Auditors' Report on Compliance with the General Requirements Applicable to Federal Financial Assistance Programs	3-34
ndependent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Specific Requirements Applicable to Nonmajor Federal Financial Assistance Program Transactions	35
Management Comments	5-38
Status of Prior Year Management Comments	9-46
Schedule of Single Audit Findings and Recommendations4	7-55
Status of Prior Year Schedule of Single Audit Findings and Recommendations	6-60

Board of Supervisors County of El Dorado Placerville, California

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON SCHEDULES OF FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE, OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING GRANTS, AND DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY (DEO) CONTRACTS

We have audited the general-purpose financial statements of County of El Dorado, California (County) as of and for the year ended June 30, 1995, and have issued our report thereon dated December 15, 1995. These general-purpose financial statements are the responsibility of County management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these general-purpose financial statements based on our audit. In our report, our opinion was qualified because we were unable to satisfy ourselves as to the cost of amounts recorded as fixed assets.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the provisions of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-128, "Audits of State and Local Governments." Those standards and OMB Circular A-128 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the general-purpose financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the general-purpose financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the general-purpose financial statements of the County taken as a whole. The accompanying Schedules of Federal Financial Assistance, Office of Criminal Justice Planning Grants, and Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO) Contracts are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the general-purpose financial statements. The information in these schedules has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the general-purpose financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly presented in all material respects in relation to the general-purpose financial statements taken as a whole.

Smith, Maloney & Killes
Certified Public Accountants

Placerville, California March 8, 1996

Federal Grantor/Pass Through <u>Grantor/Program Title</u>	Federal <u>CFDA No</u>	Pass Through <u>Number</u>	Grant <u>Amount</u>	Grant Term	Revenues Recognized	<u>Expenditures</u>
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE A. Passed Through State Department of Aging:						
1a. Elderly Nutrition C-11b. Elderly Nutrition C-2Total Elderly Nutrition		29-9495 29-9495	-	07/01/94-06/30/95 07/01/94-06/30/95	41,419 <u>27,996</u> 69,415	41,419 <u>27,996</u> 69,415
Passed Through State Department of Health Services: 1a. Special Supplemental Food						
Programs-WIC 1b. Special Supplemental Food	10.557	94-19672	242,016	10/01/94-09/30/95	161,609	161,609
Programs-WIC Vouchers 1c. Special Supplemental Food	10.557	94-19672	n/a	10/01/94-09/30/95	410,528	410,528
Programs-WIC 1d. Special Supplemental Food	10.557	93-17685	254,162	10/01/93-09/30/94	85,067	85,067
Programs-WIC Vouchers Total Special Supplemental Food Programs-WIC*	10.557	93-17685	n/a	10/01/93-09/30/94	1,269,600 1,926,804	1,269,600 1,926,804
C. Passed Through State Department of Social Services:						
1. Food Stamps*	10.551	n/a	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	5,982,784	5,982,784
State Administration Matching Food Stamps Food Stamps Employment	10.561	n/a	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	847,349	847,349
and Training Total State Administration	10.561	n/a	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	<u>100,627</u>	100,627
Matching Food Stamps	10.561				947,976	947,976
3a. Temporary Emergency Food Assistance Program 3b. Temporary Emergency Food	10.568	MOU-C64011	23,200	10/01/94-09/30/95	13,859	13,859
Assistance Program Total Temporary Emergency		MOU-B63010	15,000	10/01/93-09/30/94	<u>2,385</u>	2,385
Food Assistance Program	10.568		V.		16,244	16,244
4a. Temporary Emergency Food Assistance (Commodities) 4b. Temporary Emergency Food	10.569	MOU-C64011	n/a	10/01/94-09/30/95	31,424	31,424
Assistance (Commodities) Total Temporary Emergency	10.569	MOU-63010	n/a	10/01/93-09/30/94	<u>15,190</u>	<u>15,190</u>
Food Assistance(Commodities)	10.569				46,614	46,614

		antor/Pass Through	Federal	Pass Through	Grant		Revenues	
		Program Title	CFDA No	<u>Number</u>	Amount	Grant Term	Recognized	Expenditures
D.		ssed Through State Department of Edi	ıcation					
	1.	National School Breakfast		09-10090-				
		Program	10.553		n/a	07/01/90-INDEFINITE	13,089	13,089
	2.	National School Lunch		09-10090-				
		Program	10.555	-0930016-01	n/a	07/01/90-INDEFINITE	20,672	20,672
E.	Pas	ssed Through State Controller's Office	l					
	1.	Schools and Roads-State*	10.665	n/a	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	2,724,950	2,724,950
F.	Dire	ect-U.S.F.S.						
	Pro	gram: Burton-Santini Erosion Control						
	1a	Meyer Bike I (95116)	95.586	05-88-E02	49,138			414
	1b	Meyer Bike II (95116)	95.586	05-91RO-06	169,254			
	1c	N Upper Truckee (95120)	95.586	05-91R004 Am #2	275,000			
	1d	Mandan Apache (95126)	95.586	05-91RO-05 Am #4	513,463		310,366	310,366
	1e	Black Bart (95125)	95.586	05-89-04 Am #2	140,000		6,648	6,648
	1f	Mountain Drive (95128)	95.586	05-91-R0-05 Am #2	50,000		26,143	26,143
	1g.	Mountain Drive (95128)	95.586	Tr from 05-88-E03	95,866		83,517	83,517
	1h.	Victoria Drive (95129)	95.586	Tr from 05-88-E03	34,134			
	1i.	Golden Bear (95130)	95.586	19-94-02	5,000			
	1j.	Angora Creek (95133)	95.586	05-92R0-04 Am #2	112,541		26,594	26,594
	1k.	Tamarack/Woodland (95134)	95.586	05-92RO-03	10,000		•	
	1m.	. Valley View (95135)	95.586	05-92RO-02 Am #1	30,000		1,284	1,284
		Tahoe Basin Reveg (95136)		05-92RO-05	20,000	•	10,255	10,255
		WQ Monitoring (95137)		05-92-R0-06 Am #1	15,000		499	499
		Misc ECP/Phase I		19-93-04 Am#2	52,000		4,656	4,656
		Misc ECP/Phase I		Tr from 05-91RO-05	61,172		.,,	.,000
	1q.	Pioneer Trail III(95139)	95.586	19-94-01	10,000		<u>4,250</u>	4,250
		Total Burton-Santini			.,			
		Erosion Control	95.586		1,642,568		474,212	474,212
	2.	Operating & Financial Plan						
		For Controlled Substances	unknown				15,680	15,680
TOTAI	L U.S	3. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE					12,238,440	12,238,440
							***************************************	***************************************
2. U.S	S. EN	VVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGEN	CY					
A.	Pas	sed Through State Water Resources						
	Con	ntrol Board:						
	1a.	Water Quality Management Planning	66.454	2-049-250-2	69,935	11/01/92-08/31/96	6,812	7,568
	1b.	Nonpoint Source 201(G)(1)(B)	66.460	NPS1-123-256-0	50,950	05/01/92-10/30/94	27,595	25,095
								•
TOTAL	. U.S	S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AG	ENCY				34,407	32,663
							****************	***************************************

Federal Grantor/Pass Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA No	Pass Through Number	Grant Amount	Grant Term	Revenues Recognized	<u>Exp</u> enditures
		<u></u>		Grant Tollin	·	<u>LAPORUITUIES</u>
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION A. Passed Through State Department of Rehabilitation						
1a. Rehabilitation Services- Title I Part B Sec 110	84.126	18388	62,298	09/01/94-08/30/95	44,812	44,812
1b. Rehabilitation Services- Title I Part B Sec 110	84.126	17425	58,620	08/31/93-08/30/94	<u>8,750</u>	<u>8,750</u>
Total Rehabilitation Services Title I Part B Sec 110	84.126				53,562	53,562
B. Passed Through California State Library			·			
1. Library Services & Construction	84.154	L-577	525,154	6/26/89-12/31/94	131,288	131,288
C. Passed Through State Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs:						
1. Drug Free Schools & Communities	84.186	n/a	26,000	07/01/95-06/30/95	26,000	26,000
D. Direct						
1a. Library Literacy Program	84.167	R167A40336	26,009	10/1/94-9/30/95	17,505	21,507
1b. Library Literacy Program	84.167	R167A30412	21,700	10/1/93-9/30/94	5,446	5,425
1b. Library Literacy Program (correction to 9394)	84.167	R167A30412	21,700	10/1/93-9/30/94	<u>16,254</u>	<u>16,275</u>
Total Library Literacy	84.167				39,205	43,207
E. Passed Through Department of Education						
1. NET- Child Care DOE		n/a		07/01/94-06/30/95	7,669	7,669
TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION					257,724	261,726
4. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY A. Passed Through State Department of Economic Opportunity 1a. Weatherization Program for Low-						
Income Persons 1b. Weatherization Program for Low-	81.042	95C-8015	40,000	04/01/95-03/31/96	0	. 0
Income Persons Total Weatherization Program	81.042	94C-7015	41,000	04/01/94-03/31/95	41,000	41,000
for Low-Income Persons	81.042				41,000	41,000
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY					41,000	41,000

Federal Grantor/Pass Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA No	Pass Through <u>Number</u>	Grant Amount	Grant Term	Revenues Recognized	<u>Expenditures</u>
5. FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGE A. Passed Through State Office of	NCY					
Emergency Services						
1a. Emergency Management Assistance	83.503	n/a	n/a	10/01/93-09/30/94	2,066	2,066
1b. Emergency Management Assistance	83.503	EMF 95 K0557	31,009	10/01/94-09/30/95	31,000	<u>27,056</u>
Total Emergency Management						
Assistance	83.503				33,066	29,122
2a. Disaster Assistance		FEMA 1044DR, PA#	01791003			
	83.516	SUPPL 0	9,391	1/3/95- 8/5,12/3/95	0	0
	83.516	SUPPL 1		1/3/95- 2/27/96		0
	83.516	SUPPL 2		1/3/95- 2/27/96		1,700
	83.516	SUPPL 3		1/3/95- 2/27/96		2,512
	83.516	SUPPL 4	4,543	1/3/95- 11/7/95,3/6/96		3,454
	83.516	SUPPL 5		1/3/95- 3/25/96		0
	83.516	SUPPL 6		1/3/95- 11/7/95		2,461
	83.516	SUPPL 7		1/3/95- 12/9/95,4/7/96		5,972
	83.516	SUPPL 8		1/3/95-1/16/95, 5/15/96		1,402
	83.516	SUPPL 9		1/3/95-		1,724
2b. Disaster Assistance		FEMA-1046 DR, PA#	01791003			
	83,516	SUPPL 0	1,111	2/13/95-2/24/96	0	0
		SUPPL 1	•	2/13/95-2/24/96	0	539
	,	SUPPL 2		2/13/95-2/27/96	0	0
		SUPPL 3		2/13/95-3/24/96	0	165,898
		SUPPL 4		2/13/95-3/26/96	0	1,147
		SUPPL 5		2/13/95-3/09/96	0	0
		SUPPL 6		2/13/95-3/30/96	Ö	2,955
		SUPPL 7		2/13/95-12/3/95,4/01/96	0	11,241
		SUPPL 8		2/13/95-	0	7,296
		SUPPL 9	-	2/13/95-	0	17,501
		SUPPL 10		2/13/95-	0	1,280
		SUPPL 11		2/13/95-	0	2,251
Total Disaster Assistance	83.516				0	229,333
TOTAL FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT A	AGENCY				33,066	258,455
					***************************************	***************************************
6. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN A. Direct:	N SERVICES	}				
1a. Community Partnership	93.194	C-H6SP03257A	1,655,861	09/30/90-12/31/95	319,982	319,982
Program Income		EIN 1946000511A1			<u>134</u>	134
Total Community Ptnrship	93.194				320,116	320,116
2. Rural Health Outreach	93.912	3-CSD000238A	220,558	9/1/94-9/1/97	135,693	135,693

Federal Grantor/Pass Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal <u>CFDA No</u>	Pass Through <u>Number</u>	Grant <u>Amount</u>	Grant Term	Revenues Recognized	<u>Expenditures</u>
R. Passad Through State Benertment of		,				
B. Passed Through State Department of						
Economic Opportunity: 1a. L.I.H.E.A.PECIP	00 500	0400 00475	450.040	0410410440104104		
1b. L.I.H.E.A.PECIP		94BD-6017E	152,012		54,902	54,902
1c. L.I.H.E.A.PECIP		94BD-6016E	96,647		51,051	51,051
1d. L.I.H.E.A.PECIP		95BD-7017E 95BD-7016E	140,863		99,058	99,058
1e. L.I.H.E.A.PWX			85,312		22,725	22,725
Program/interest income		94BD-6017W	146,665		59,746	59,746
1f. L.I.H.E.A.PWX		94BD-6017W	01.045	01/01/94-12/31/94	70 500	26,064
1g. L.I.H.E.A.PWX		94BD-6016W 95BD-7017W	81,645		79,502	79,502
1h. L.I.H.E.A.PWX		95BD-7017W		01/01/95-12/31/95	69,154	69,154
Total L.I.H.E.A.P.	93.568	3350-70 10W	65,169	01/01/95-12/31/95	1,431 437,569	1,431 463,633
2a. Community Services Block						
Grant	03 560	94F-1109	160,000	01/01/94-12/31/94	103,879	102 700
Program/Interest Income		94F-1109	100,000	01/01/94-12/31/94	103,075	103,789 590
2b. Community Services Block	00.000	041-1100		01101134-12131134		580
Grant	93 569	95F-1209	160,000	01/01/95-12/31/95	<u>56,209</u>	56,209
Total Community Services	00.000	001 1200	100,000	01/01/03-12/01/03	30,203	36,203
Block Grant	93.569				160,088	160,588
3a. Emergency Community						
Services Homeless Grant	93.572	94J-9811	4,058	07/01/94-06/30/95	4,058	4,058
Total Emergency Community						
Services Homeless Grant	93.572				4,058	4,058
C. Passed Through State Department of Ag	ing					
1. Title III, Part G Elder						
Abuse Prevention	93.041	29-9495	3,133	07/01/94-06/30/95	3,133	3,133
2. Title III, Part A-Long Term Care						
Ombudsman Services	93.042	29-9495	1,827	07/01/94-06/30/95	1,827	1,827
3. Title III, Part F Preventive						
Health Services	93.043	29-9495	18,511	07/01/94-06/30/95	18,511	18,511
4. Title III, Part B-Supportive						
Services and Senior Centers	93.044	29-9495	186,821	07/01/94-06/30/95	186,821	186,821
5a. Title III, Part C-Nutrition						•
Services (C1)	93.045	29-9495	230,263	07/01/94-06/30/95	230,263	230,263
5b. Title III, Part C-Nutrition						
Services (C2)	93.045	29-9495	57,911	07/01/94-06/30/95	<u>57,911</u>	<u>57,911</u>
Total Title III, Part C						
Nutrition Services	93.045				288,174	288,174
6. Title III, Part D-In Home						
Services for Frail Older						
Individuals	93.046	29-9495 6	5,278	07/01/94-06/30/95	5,278	5,278

	antor/Pass Through Program Title	Federal CFDA No	Pass Through <u>Number</u>	Grant <u>Amount</u>	Grant Term	Revenues Recognized	<u>Expenditures</u>
	ssed Through State Department of cial Services						
1a	CWS IVB FPSP	93.556	n/a	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	39,054	39,054
1b.	Assistance Payments						
10	Maintenance Assistance Assistance Payments-	93.560	n/a	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	5,596,147	5,596,147
16.	Maintenance Assistance (Admin)	93.560	n/a	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	1,499,153	1,499,153
1d.	Assistance Payments	22.722					
1e.	Maintenance Assistance (Fraud) Assistance Payments	93.560	nia	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	82,283	82,283
	IVA Child Care	93.560	n/a	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	63,738	<u>63,738</u>
	Total Assistance Payments- Maintenance Assistance*	93.560				7 044 004	7.044.004
	Mantenance Assistance	33.500				7,241,321	7,241,321
2.	IVF JOBS	93.561	n/a	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	352,231	352,231
	JOBS Wagner Peyser	•	n/a	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	7,669	<u>7,669</u>
	Total JOBS	93.561				359,900	359,900
3a.	Child Support Enforcement						
	(Incentives)	93.563	n/a	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	429,332	429,332
3b.	Child Support Enforcement-Admin						
•	(Supplemental FY 92/93)	93.563		n/a	07/01/92-06/30/93	68,993	68,993
	Child Support Enforcement-Admin	93.563		n/a	07/01/93-06/30/94	(413)	(413)
Ju.	Child Support Enforcement-Admin Total Child Support Enforce-	93.563	n/a	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	<u>2,537,974</u>	<u>2,537,974</u>
	ment*	93.563				3,035,886	3,035,886
4.	State Legalization Impact						
	Assistance Grants (SLIAG)	93.565	n/a	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	0	0
5.	Child Welfare Services IVB	93.645	n/a	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	100,623	100,623
6a.	Refugee Assistance	93.566	n/a	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	0	0
6b.	Refugee Assistance (Adm)	93.566	n/a	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	<u>2,630</u>	<u>2,630</u>
	Total Refugee Assistance	93.566				2,630	2,630
7.	Factor Core Title N. F	00.000					
	Foster Care-Title IV-E	93.658		n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	1,078,668	1,078,668
	Foster Care-Title IV-E (Admin) Foster Care-Title IV-E Trng	93.658		n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	423,130	423,130
/u.	Total Foster Care Title IVE*	93.658 93.658	IIId	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	<u>32,467</u> 1,534,265	<u>32,467</u> 1,534,265
8a	Adoption Assistance	93.659	nla	n/a	07/01/04 06/20/05		
	Adoption Assistance (Admin)	93.659		n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95 07/01/94-06/30/95	104,068 48,440	104,068
	Adoption Assistance Trng	93.659		n/a n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	40,440 <u>577</u>	48,440 577
	Total Adoption Assistance	93.659	7	11,4	0.101104 00100100	153,085	<u>577</u> 153,085
			•			100,000	133,000

	antor/Pass Through Program Title	Federal CFDA No	Pass Through <u>Number</u>	Grant Amount	Grant Term	Revenues Recognized	Expenditure
	Child Abuse Challenge Grant Total Child Abuse Challenge	93.672			10/01/93-09/30/95	14,148	14,14
	Grant	93.672			•	14,148	14,14
10.	Independent Living Skills	93.674	n/a	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	9,495	9,49
Pass	sed Through State Department of						
	Ith Services						
1.	AIDS Activity (STD)	93.118	94-20005	10,000	07/01/94-06/30/95	10,000	10,00
2a.	Medical Assistance Program						
2h	(CHDP) Medical Assistance Program	93.778	n/a	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	43,143	43,14
20.	(EPSDT)	93.778	nia	m.l.n	07/01/04 00/00/05	10.005	40.00
20	Medical Assistance Program -CCS)	93.778	•	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	13,005	13,00
	Medical Assistance Program		92-16141	n/a 47.050	07/01/94-06/30/95	70,983	70,98
	Medical Assistance Program SB910		92-16055	47,850	03/01/93-06/30/95	40,944	6,27
2f.	Medical Assistance Program SB910		92-16055	200,000	07/01/92-06/30/93	(179,057)	(99,42
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		94-20502	500,000 660,000	07/01/93-06/30/94 07/01/94-06/30/95	(75,032) 0	(141,85 64,18
2h	MAC -Social Services	93.778	nla	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	0	86,95
2i.	Medical Assistance Program DSS	93.778	•	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	735,749	
2j.	Medical Assistance Program	00.770	11/4	IIJa	07101194-00130193	730,748	735,74
j.	(Medi-Cal Welfare)	93.778	nia	n/a	07/01/94-06/30/95	150.001	150.00
	Total Medical Assistance	00.770	nia	11/4	07101194-00130193	<u>150,961</u>	<u>150,96</u>
	Program*	93.778				800,696	929,97
3.	HIV CARE/HOPWA	93.917	94-19874	206,306	07/01/94-06/30/95	199,033	199,03
4a.	Preventive Health Services						
	Block Grant	93.991	n/a	7,414	10/01/94-9/30/95	5,562	5,55
4b.	Preventive Health Services			•		•	-,
	Block Grant Total Preventive Health	93.991	n/a	7,397	10/01/93-9/30/94	<u>1,850</u>	<u>1,85</u>
	Services Block Grant	93.991				7,412	7,40
5a.	Maternal & Child Health					•	
	Block Grant	93.994	94-19550	81,339	07/01/94-06/30/95	79,454	79,45
5b.	CP0	93.994			07/01/94-06/30/95	78,009	78,00
	Total Maternal & Child Health			,		<u></u>	,00
	Block Grant	93.994				157,463	157,46

	al Grantor/Pass Through	Federal	Pass Through	Grant		Revenues	
	ntor/Program Title	CFDA No	<u>Number</u>	_Amount	Grant Term	Recognized	Expenditures
F.	Passed Through State Department of Mental Health						
	1. McKinney Projects for						
	Assistance in Transition						
	from Homelessness	93.150	n/a	12,134	07/01/94-06/30/95	12,134	12,134
	2. Substance Abuse & Mental	*				,	12,101
	Health Services Admin.	93.958	n/a	59,860	07/01/94-06/30/95	59,860	43,410
G.	Passed Through State Department of						
	Alcohol and Drug Abuse Programs:						
	1a. Medical Assistance Program	93.778	DMC 09-94	216,880	07/01/94-06/30/95	223,777	223,777
	1b. Medical Assistance Program	93.778	DMC09-94	58,249	07/01/94-06/30/95	<u>46,875</u>	46,875
	Total Medical Assistance*	93.778				270,652	270,652
	2a. Substance Abuse Prevention and						
	Treatment Block Grant	93.959	n/a	601,707	7/01/94-06/30/95	601,707	601,707
TOTAL	. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND H	UMAN SERV	ICES			16,455,432	16,594,825
						***************************************	***************************************
	S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URB	AN DEVELOR	PMENT				
A.	Passed Through State Department of						
	Housing and Community Development:						
	1a. Lower Income Housing Assistance		SF 1903	549,048	7/1/94-6/30/95		
	(Sec 8) Certificates-Admin		CA30-E151-002-009			69,797	67,114
	(Sec 8) Certificates-Project Total Section 8 Rental	14.857	CA30-E151-002-009			<u>489,520</u>	<u>440,600</u>
	Certificate Program	14.857				559,317	507,714
	1b. Lower Income Housing Assistance		SF 1903	647,527	7/1/94-6/30/95		
	(Sec 8) Vouchers Admin		CA30-V151-001-006			51,550	49,862
	(Sec 8) Vouchers Project Total Section 8 Rental	14.855	CA30-V151-001-006			<u>601,618</u>	<u>351,461</u>
	Voucher Program	14.855				653,168	401,323
	2a. Community Development		*				
	Block Grant/State's Program		93-EDBG-217	483,750	02/17/93-09/30/95	28,818	0
	Interest on Advance and Program In	come			07/01/94-06/30/95	265	0
	2b. Community Development Block						
	Grant/State's Program		89-EDBG-082	324,500	05/15/89-06/30/91	•	•
	Program Income	14.228	89-EDBG-082		07/01/95-06/30/95	89,559	÷
	2c. Community Development						
	Block Grant/State's Program	14.228	93-STBG-740	14,000	12/01/93-4/30/95	2,300	2,300
	2d. Community Development						-
	Block Grant (P&T)	14.228	94-STBG-845	21,000	12/01/94-12/31/95	13,200	13,200

Federal Grantor/Pass Through <u>Grantor/Program Title</u>	Federal <u>CFDA No</u>	Pass Through <u>Number</u>	Grant Amount	Grant Term	Revenues Recognized	Expenditures
2e. Community Development Block Grant Rehab Total Community Development	14.228	94-STBG-792	500,000	10/01/94-04/30/97	<u>3,500</u>	<u>3,500</u>
Block Grant/State's Program	14.228				137,642	19,000
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND L	JRBAN DEV	ELOPMENT			1,350,127	928,037
8. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR						***************************************
A. Direct-Bureau of Land Management	*					
1. Payment In-Lieu of Taxes	n/a	n/a		07/01/94-06/30/95	39,729	39,729
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR					39,729	39,729
9. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE					,	·
A. Passed Through State Office of Criminal Justice Planning (See accompanying schedule of OCJP gra 1. Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (Teen Court)		JV94010090	100,000	10/1/94-9/30/95	72,188	72,188
2. Victim Witness Assistance						
Program	16.575	VW94150090	102,263	07/01/94-06/30/95	102,262	102,262
3a. Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988 3b. Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988 3c. Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988		DC94020090 DC94A20090		07/01/94-06/30/95 07/01/94-06/30/95	63,706 100,105	63,706 100,105
(Marijuana Suppression) Program Income/Personnel Total Anti-Drug Abuse Act	16.579	MS94020090 MS94020090		07/01/94-06/30/95 07/01/94-06/30/95	211,250 <u>5,391</u>	211,250 <u>5,391</u>
of 1988	16.579				380,452	380,452
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE					554,902	554,902
10 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION A. Direct:						
1a. Airport Improvement Program	20.106	3-06-0188-06	1,250,365	6/94-	107,820	107,820

Federal Grantor/Pass Through	Federal	Pass Through	Grant		Revenues	,
Grantor/Program Title	CFDA No	Number	_Amount	Grant Term	Recognized	Expenditures
B. Passed Through State Department of					•	
Transportation 1a. HES-Hazard Elimination	00.005	OTRI HO FOOTIOOTI	070.000	40/00		
1b. HES-Hazard Elimination		STPLHG-5925(005)		12/93-no time limit	181,568	181,568
1c. HES-Hazard Elimination		STPLHG-5925(006)	•	4/94-no time limit	32,139	32,139
1d. HBRR		STPLHG-5925(007)		11/94-no time limit	61,592	61,592
		BRLO 5925(015)	•	5/95-no time limit	97,205	97,205
16. ISTEA		STPL-5925(002)	- •	11/88-no time limit	52,025	52,025
1f. TEA Transportation Enhancement Activities Total Highway Planning/Con-	20.205	STPLE-5925(004)	57,400		<u>47,525</u>	<u>47,525</u>
struction Program	20.205				472,054	472,054
C. Passed through State Office of Traffic Safety						
1a. State and Comm. Highway Safety	20.600	OP9406			29,805	29,805
1b. State and Comm. Highway Safety	20.600	AL9421	25,836	2/01/94-9/30/94		
(correct FY 9394)					16,446	16,446
1b. State and Comm. Highway Safety	20.600	AL9421	25,836	2/01/94-9/30/94	9,390	9,390
1b. State and Comm. Highway Safety	20.600	AL9421	40,836	10/01/94-9/30/95	16,724	16,724
Total State and					72,365	72,365
Comm Highway Safety	20.600					•
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTAT	ION				652,239	652,239
11 CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMM A. Passed Through California Conservation		VICE				
1. Americorps-Grant	-	95-5310-179	595,210	10/15/94-10/14/95	384,904	384,904
Program Income	94.006		45,258		37,883	37,883
Total Americorps	94.006				422,787	422,787
TOTAL CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND CO	OMMUNITY :	SERVICE			422,787	422,787
TOTAL FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE					32,079,852	32,024,803
* Represents major Federal Financial Assistance	Program					

NOTE A: SCHEDULE OF FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

General

The accompanying Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance presents the activity of all federal financial assistance programs of the County. Federal financial assistance received directly from federal agencies, as well as federal financial assistance passed through other government agencies, is included on the schedule.

Basis of Accounting

The accompanying Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance has been prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting.

NOTE B: CDBG DIRECT LOANS

A loan funded through the Community Development Block Grant program, for which the federal government is at risk, carried a balance of \$91,337 as of June 30, 1995.

COUNTY OF EL DORADO, CALIFORNIA SCHEDULE OF OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING GRANTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1995

Program/Grant Number/Grant Period	Costs Claimed	Costs Accepted	Costs <u>Questioned</u>
West Slope Narcotic Task Force			
Grant #DC94020090			
(07/01/94-06/30/95 through request #4)			
(01101194-00130193 tillough request #4)			
Personnel services	58,115	58,115	•
Operating expenses	_5,591		
operating expenses	<u> </u>	<u>5,591</u>	
Total expenditures	63,706	<u>63,706</u>	
Tahoe Basin Narcotic Task Force			
Grant #DC94A20090			
(07/01/94-06/30/95)			
Degrammed complete			
Personnel services	41,751	41,751	
Operating expenses	<u>58,354</u>	<u>58,354</u>	***************************************
Total expenditures	100,105	100,105	
Marijuana Suppression Program			
Grant #MS94020090			
(07/01/94-06/30/95)			
(07/01/24-00/30/23)			
Personnel services	193,773	193,773	
Operating expenses	<u>17,477</u>	<u>17,477</u>	
		<u> </u>	
Total expenditures	211,250	211,250	· ·
•			
Program Income/Personnel	_5,391	<u>5,391</u>	
Teen Court Project			
Grant #JV94010090			
(10/01/94-09/30/95 through 06/30/95)			
Personnel services	58,230	58,230	4
Operating expenses	<u>13,958</u>	<u>13,958</u>	· .
Total expenditures	<u>72,188</u>	<u>72,188</u>	
Victim/Witness Assistance Program			
Grant VW94150090			
(07/01/94-06/30/95)			
Personnel Services	100,284	100,284	
Operating Services	1.978	<u>1,978</u>	
matal and the	400-00-		
Total expenditures	102,262	<u>102,262</u>	
Tradel all annuals	A	****	
Total, all grants	<u>\$554,902</u>	<u>\$554,902</u>	

County of El Dorado, California Supplemental Statement of Revenues and Expenditures DEO Contract No. 94F-1109 (CSBG) For The Period Jan. 1, 1994 through Dec. 31, 1994

Description	1/1/94 Through 6/30/94	7/1/94 Through 12/31/94	Total Reported Expenditures
Revenues			
Grant Revenue	56,211	103,789	160,000
Interest/Program Income		590	590
Total Revenues	56,211	104,379	160,590
Expenditures			
Personnel Costs:			
Salaries & Wages	41,650	72,446	114,096
Fringe Benefits/Payroll Taxes	11,366	21,910	33,275
Sub-Total Personnel Costs	53,016	94,356	147,372
Non-Personnel Costs:			
Travel	833	747	1,580
Space Cost Rental	0	0	0
Consumable Supplies	0	3,892	3,892
Lease/Purch Equip	405	76	481
Consultant Services	0	0	0
Other Costs	1,957	5,309	7,266
Sub-Total Non-Personnel Costs	3,195	10,024	13,219
Total Costs =	56,211	104,380	160,590
Revenues over (under) costs		•	0

County of El Dorado, California Supplemental Statement of Revenues and Expenditures DEO Contract No. 94J-9811 (EHP) For The Period July 1, 1994 through June 30, 1995

Description	1/1/94 Through 6/30/94	7/1/94 Through 6/30/95	Total Reported Expenditures
Revenues			
Grant Revenue	0	4,058	4,058
Interest/Program Income		0	0
Total Revenues	0	4,058	4,058
Expenditures			
Personnel Costs:			
Salaries & Wages	0	2,463	2,463
Fringe Benefits/Payroll Taxes	0	657	657
Sub-Total Personnel Costs	0	3,120	3,120
Non-Personnel Costs:			
Travel	. 0	12	12
Space Cost Rental	0	. 0	0
Consumable Supplies	0	713	713
Lease/Purch Equip	0	0	0
Consultant Services	0	0	0
Other Costs	0	213	213
Sub-Total Non-Personnel Costs	0	938	938
Total Costs	0	4,058	4,058
Revenues over (under) costs			0

County of El Dorado, California Supplemental Statement of Revenues and Expenditures DEO Contract No. 948D-6016 (LIHEAP - ECIP) For The Period Jan. 1, 1994 through Dec. 31, 1994

Description	1/1/94 Through 6/30/94	7/1/94 Through 12/31/94	Total Reported Expenditures
Revenues			·
Grant Revenue	25,559	51,051	76,610
Interest/Program Income	0	0	0
Total Revenues	25,559	51,051	76,610
Expenditures			
Administration:			
Salaries & Wages	543	907	1,450
Fringe Benefits	165	181	346
Facilities	0	0	0
Utilities	75	78	153
Equipment	0	380	380
Telephone - Communications	63	37	100
Travel	30	17	47
Accounting	0	0	0
Audit Costs	0	0	0
Insurance - Bonding	144	322	466
Office Supplies	101	112	213
Miscellaneous	0	0	0
- Printing	0	0	0
- Postage	90	148	238
Total Administration Costs	1,211	2,182	3,393
Program:			
Outreach	381	259	640
Intake	4,433	2,847	7,280
Client Education & Counseling	3,273	2,229	5,502
Miscellaneous	0	0	0
Client Assistance	16,210	43,585	59,795
Total Program Costs	24,297	48,920	73,217
Total Costs	25,508	51,102	76,610
Revenues over (under) costs			0

County of Ei Dorado, California Supplemental Statement of Revenues and Expenditures DEO Contract No. 94BD-6016 (LIHEAP - WX) For The Period Jan. 1, 1994 through Dec. 31, 1994

Description	1/1/94 Through 6/30/94	7/1/94 Through 12/31/94	Total Reported Expenditures
Revenues			
Grant Revenue	2,143	79,502	81,645
Interest/Program Income	0	0	0
Total Revenues	2,143	79,502	81,645
Expenditures			
Administration:			
Salaries & Wages	279	533	812
Fringe Benefits	61	130	191
Facilities	0	0	0
Utilities	28	128	156
Equipment	. 0	0	0
Telephone - Communications	0	114	114
Travel	0	20	20
Accounting	0	0	0
Audit Costs	0	0	0
Insurance - Bonding	117	271	388
Office Supplies	111	25	136
Miscellaneous	. 0	0	0
- Printing	. 0	24	24
- Postage	1	2	3
Total Administration Costs	597	1,247	1,844
Program:			
Outreach	35	1,319	1,354
Intake	47	1,794	1,841
Client Education/Counseling	35	1,319	1,354
Assessment	116	4,397	4,513
Equipment - Small Tools	131	508	639
WX Materials	416	24,844	25,260
Labor	930	35,216	36,146
Travel/Transportation	0	322	322
Maintenance & Repair	123	125	248
Total Program Costs	1,833	69,844	71,677
Total Costs =	2,430	71,091	73,521
Revenues over (under) costs		•	8,124

County of Ei Dorado, California Supplemental Summary of Measure Credit Values installed DEO Contract No. 948D-8016 (WX Alpine County) For The Period Jan. 1, 1994 through Dec. 31, 1994

# Description	1/1/9 #D	4 Through UM	6/30/94 R	7/1/9 #D	4 through 1 UM	2/31/94 R	Rate	1/1/94 thru 6/30/94	1/1/94 thru 6/30/94	Total Amount
1. Outreach	2			76			25	50	1900	1,950.00
2. Intake	2			76			25	50	1900	
3. Non-Blower Door Assessment (with attic)	2			31			50	100	1550	1,950.00
4. Non-Blower Door Assessment (w/o attic)	ō			36			35	. 100	1260	1,650.00 1,260.00
5. Client Education (Unweatherized)	2			76			40	. 80	3040	3,120.00
6. Client Education (Previously weatherized)	ō			0			25	0	3040	0.00
7. Safety Check of Combustion Appliances	Ŏ			7			45	. 0	315	315.00
8. Blower Door Test	0			9			60	ő	540	540.00
9. Duct Leakage Test	0			7			35	Ö	245	245.00
MANDATORY MEASURES		62-0					-	·	240	245.00
1. Comb App Safety Hazard Repair/Replace										
2. Glass Replacement	0	0	0	18	1365	1210.97		0	2575.97	2,575.97
3. Duct and Register Repair/Replacement	0	ŏ	ŏ	8	542.5	99.4		0	641.9	641.90
4. Minor Envelope Repair	2	280	15.45	76	11025	6026.62		295.45	17051.62	17,347.07
5. Evap Cooler/A/C Vent Cover, Per Cover	0	0		7	7	0020.02	53	293.49	371	371.00
6. Attic Venting	ō	Ŏ	0	2	175	29.8		0	204.8	204.80
7. a. Ceiling Insulation R11	o	Ô		1	1250	23.0	0.32	0	400	400.00
b. Kneewall insulation R11	ő	Ö	1		420		0.42	0	176.4	176.40
c. Ceiling Insulation R19	0	Ö		3	3728		0.42	0		
d. Kneewall Insulation R19	ŏ	ŏ		0	0		0.42	0	1565.76	1,565.76
e. Ceiling Insulation R30	ő	ō		1	460		0.48	0	0 220.8	0.00
f. Ceiling Insulation R38	0	o		Ö	0		0.46	0		220.80
8. Low-Flow Showerhead, Per Showerhead	2	2		64	79		21	42	0	0.00
9. Hot Water Faucet Restrictor, Per Device	2	5		71	153		6.4	32	1659	1,701.00
10. Door Weath/Strip, Per Hinged Ent Door	2	4		66	133		32		979.2	1,011.20
11. Water Heater Blanket, Per Blanket	2	2		27	27		27	128	4256	4,384.00
12. Water Heater Pipe Wrap	2	20		51	346			54	729	783.00
13. Duct Wrap	0	0		1	19		1.6	32	553.6	585.60
14. Switch/Outlet Gaskets, Per Dwelling	2	1	43.5	76	19		2.15	0	40.85	40.85
15. Caulking, Per Dwelling	2	70	12.4	76	2415	704.5	26.5	53	2014	2,067.00
16. Nondoor Weatherstripping	0	0	12.4	13	190	704.5	1.6	82.4	3119.5	3,201.90
OPTIONAL MEASURES	1.0	30000		13	190		1.6	0	304	304.00
Ceiling Fans, Per Dwelling	2	140	144.4		4000	F000 4				
Evaporative Cooler Repair	0	0	0	69 11	4830 385	5206.4		284.4	10036.4	10,320.80
Filter Rep for A/C or Furn, Filters Only	. 0	ESSES STORY	1 03904	0	300	236	40	0	621	621.00
4. Filter Rep for A/C or Furn, Filters + Rep Sig	:			2			16	0	0	0.00
Floor Foundation Venting	0	0	0	21	200		21	42	441	483.00
6. Floor insulation (+36*) Clearance	. 0	0	;	0	0	.0		_		0.00
7. Floor Insulation (-36*) Clearance	0	0		, -	•		0.64	0	0	0.00
8. Electric Water Heater Timer, Per Timer	0	-	1	0	0		0.74	0	0	0.00
Setback Thermostat, Per Dwelling	0	0		28	28		90	0	2520	2,520.00
Setoack memostat, Fer Dweiling Shadescreen	2	004		0 40	00.40		127	0	0	0.00
11. Shutters	•	234			2243		2.65	620.1	5943.95	6,564.05
11. Shutters 12. a. Storm Window Operable (Vinyl)	0	0		0	0		4.8	0	0	0.00
	: -	-		30	1830		4.8	0	8784	8,784.00
b. " Operable (Polycarb) c. " Operable (Glass)	0	0		0	0		5.25	0	0	0.00
		_		0	- ·		6.9	0	0	0.00
d. " Fixed	0	0	100	18	849		2.4	0	2037.6	2,037.60
13. Tinted Film	0	0	1 350	0	0		2.65	0	0	0.00
14. Wall Insulation	0	0	1 (1000)	3	304	2.5	0.85	0	258.4	258.40
15. Wood Fueled Space Heater, Per Dwelling	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0.00
16. Vented Heating Source Replacement	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0.00
17. Heating Source Repair, Per Dwelling	0	0	0	0	0	0		. 0	0	0.00
18. Air Conditioning Unit Repair, Per Dwelling	. 0	0	. 0	0	0	0		0	0	0.00
Mileage		372			2805		0.53	197.16	1486.65	1,683.81
Homes	0			76						
Materials	0		t.	19500.87		1				81,884.91

County of El Dorado, California Supplemental Statement of Revenues and Expenditures DEO Contract No. 948D-6017 (LIHEAP - ECIP) For The Period Jan. 1, 1994 through Dec. 31, 1994

Description	1/1/94 Through 6/30/94	7/1/94 Through 12/31/94	Total Reported Expenditures
Revenues			
Grant Revenue	97,110	54,902	152,012
Interest/Program Income	0	0	0
Total Revenues	97,110	54,902	152,012
Expenditures			
Administration:			
Salaries & Wages	772	1,392	2,164
Fringe Benefits	212	289	501
Facilities	0	0	0
Utilities	227	213	440
Equipment	744	-200	544
Telephone - Communications	188	135	323
Travel	1	36	37
Accounting	0	0	0
Audit Costs	0	0	0
Insurance - Bonding	232	504	736
Office Supplies	259	208	467
Miscellaneous	0	0	0
- Printing	0	4	4
- Postage	86	62	148
Total Administration Costs	2,721	2,643	5,364
Program:			
Outreach	532	503	1,035
Intake ~	6,010	6,305	12,315
Client Education & Counseling	4,465	4,226	8,691
Miscellaneous	0	0	0
Client Assistance	85,524	39,083	124,607
Total Program Costs	96,531	50,117	146,648
Total Costs	99,252	52,760	152,012
Revenues over (under) costs			0
Hevendes over (diluer) costs		:	V

County of El Dorado, California Supplemental Statement of Revenues and Expenditures DEO Contract No. 94BD-6017 (LIHEAP - WX) For The Period Jan. 1, 1994 through Dec. 31, 1994

Description	1/1/94 Through 6/30/94	7/1/94 Through 12/31/94	Total Reported Expenditures
Revenues			
Grant Revenue	85,905	60,760	146,665
Interest/Program Income	0	0	0
Total Revenues	85,905	60,760	146,665
Expenditures			
Administration:			
Salaries & Wages	867	1,152	2,019
Fringe Benefits	215	295	510
Facilities	0	0	0
Utilities	419	298	717
Equipment	0	Ó	0
Telephone - Communications	225	168	393
Travel	134	187	321
Accounting	0	0	0
Audit Costs	0	0	0
Insurance - Bonding	184	486	670
Office Supplies	324	125	449
Miscellaneous	. 0	0	0
- Printing	0	114	114
- Postage	161	8	169
Total Administration Costs	2,529	2,833	5,362
Program:			
Outreach	1,248	832	2,080
Intake	1,793	1,319	3,112
Client Education/Counseling	1,248	832	2,080
Assessment	4,161	2,772	6,933
Equipment - Small Tools	849	1,880	2,729
WX Materials	24,171	14,955	39,126
Labor	33,441	22,173	55,614
Travel/Transportation	797	292	1,089
Maintenance & Repair	320	570	890
Total Program Costs	68,028	45,625	113,653
Total Costs	70,557	48,458	119,015
Revenues over (under) costs			27,650

County of El Dorado, Celifornia Supplemental Summary of Measure Credit Values installed DEO Contract No. 948D-8017 (WX El Dorado County) For The Period Jan. 1, 1994 through Dec. 31, 1994

# Description 1. Outreach 2. Intake	#0	UM	R	#D	through 12	•		1/1/94 thru	1/1/94 thru	
	:			***	UM	R	Rate	6/30/94	8/30/94	Amount
			18.00							
2. HILANO	79			55			25	1975	1375	3,350.00
2 Non Disusar Dana Assessment (c.1)	79			55			25	1975	1375	3,350.00
3. Non-Blower Door Assessment (with		199		19			50	1550	950	2,500.00
4. Non-Blower Door Assessment (w/o				30			35	1400	1050	2,450.00
5. Client Education (Unweatherized)	79			55			40	3160	2200	5,360.00
6. Client Education (Previously weath				0		100	25	0	0	0.00
7. Safety Check of Combustion Applie	•			5			45	270	225	495.00
8. Blower Door Test	8			6			60	480	360	840.00
9. Duct Leakage Test	7			5			35	245	175	420.00
MANDATORY MEASURES										
Comb App Safety Hazard Repair/F	2.70000000									
2. Glass Replacement	24	2800	1855.78	17	1347.5	1103.17		4655.78	2450.67	7,106.45
Duct and Register Repair/Replacer		1067.5	102.7	7	385	73.1		1170.2	458.1	1,628.30
4. Minor Envelope Repair	79	13545	5425.84	54	6845	3952.08		18970.84	10797.08	29,767.92
Evap Cooler/A/C Vent Cover, Per 0	Cover 6	6	2.72.3	9	9		53	318	477	795.00
6. Attic Venting	2	105	32.26	0	0	0		137.26	0	137.26
7. a. Ceiling Insulation R11	. 0	0		0	0		0.32	0	0	0.00
b. Kneewall Insulation R11	0	0		1	50		0.42	0	21	21.00
c. Ceiling Insulation R19	. 5	3885		1	608		0.42	1631.7	255.36	1,887.06
d. Kneewall Insulation R19	0	0		0	0		0.48	0	0	0.00
e. Ceiling Insulation R30	1	1200		2	2694		0.48	576	1293.12	1.869.12
f. Ceiling Insulation R38	0	0		1	983		0.55	0.0	540.65	540.65
8. Low-Flow Showerhead, Per Showe	rhead 66	76		50	62		21	1596	1302	2,898.00
9. Hot Water Faucet Restrictor, Per D		142		50	104		6.4	908.8	665.6	1,574.40
10. Door Weath/Strip, Per Hinged Ent		130		51	93		32	4160	2976	7,136.00
11. Water Heater Blanket, Per Blanket	19	19		21	21		27	513	567	
12. Water Heater Pipe Wrap	44	320		34	281		1.6	512		1,080.00
13. Duct Wrap		0		1	230		2.15		449.6	961.60
14. Switch/Outlet Gaskets, Per Dwellin		HOW S		54	230			0	494.5	494.50
15. Caulking, Per Dwelling	79	2520	687.26	55	4707 F	414	26.5	2093.5	1431	3,524.50
16. Nondoor Weatherstripping	6		007.20		1737.5	414		3207.26	2151.5	5,358.76
OPTIONAL MEASURES	•	52		11	122		1.6	83.2	195.2	278.40
	107	7400	240044	er Carren						
Ceiling Fans, Per Dwelling Suppossitive Cooley Repoils	107	7490	8136.14	49	2817.5	3517.9		15826.14	6335.4	21,961.54
2. Evaporative Cooler Repair	16	542.5	231.7	12	455	148.6		774.2	603.6	1,377.80
3. Filter Rep for A/C or Furn, Filters O				0			16	16	0	16.00
4. Filter Rep for A/C or Furn, Filters +		Last	1	11		1000	21	294	231	525.00
5. Floor Foundation Venting	0	0	. 0	0	0	0 ;				0.00
6. Floor Insulation (+36*) Clearance	1	1100		1	800		0.64	704	512	1,216.00
7. Floor Insulation (-36*) Clearance	0	0		0	0		0.74	0	. 0	0.00
8. Electric Water Heater Timer, Per Ti	:	29		17	17		90	2610	1530	4,140.00
9. Setback Thermostat, Per Dwelling	0			0	ar in the		127	0	0	0.00
10. Shadescreen	20	1167		17	1279		2.65	3092.55	3389.35	6,481.90
11. Shutters	0	0		0	0		4.8	0	0	0.00
12. a. Storm Window Operable (Vinyl)	29	1565.5		17	1042		4.8	7514.4	5001.6	12,516.00
b. " Operable (Polyo	arb) 0	0		0	0		5.25	0	0	0.00
c. " Operable (Glass) 0	0		0	0		6.9	0	0	0.00
d. "Fixed	25	1369		17	1397	727	2.4	3285.6	3352.8	6,638.40
13. Tinted Film	0	0		0	0		2.65	0	0	0.00
14. Wall Insulation	1	358		0	ō		0.85	304.3	Ö	304.30
15. Wood Fueled Space Heater, Per D	welling 0	0	0	1	70	856.75	2.00	0	926.75	926.75
16. Vented Heating Source Replaceme		Ŏ	ŏ	3	840	1430.13		0	2270.13	2,270.13
17. Heating Source Repair, Per Dwellir		402.5	224.56	3	192.5	341.22		627.06	533.72	1,160.78
18. Air Conditioning Unit Repair, Per D	- !	0	0	0	0	0		027.00	333.72	-
Mileage		910		J	1733		0.53	482.3	-	0.00
Homes	115	310		56	1133		0.53	462.3	918.49	1,400.79
011100	24171.3		1	56 14954.73		:				146,759.31

County of El Dorado, California Supplemental Statement of Revenues and Expenditures DEO Contract No. 94C-7015 (DOE - WX) For The Period April 1, 1994 through March 31, 1995

Description	4/1/94 Through 6/30/94	7/1/94 Through 3/31/95	Total Reported Expenditures
Revenues			
Grant Revenue	0	41,000	41,000
Interest/Program Income	0	0	. 0
Total Revenues	0	41,000	41,000
Expenditures			
Administration:			
Salaries & Wages	0	671	671
Fringe Benefits	0	215	215
Facilities	0	0	0
Utilities	0	185	185
Equipment	0	0	0
Telephone - Communications	0	51	51
Travel	0	46	46
Accounting	0	0	0
Audit Costs	0	0	0
Insurance - Bonding	• 0	57	57
Office Supplies	0	20	20
Miscellaneous	0	0	0
- Printing	0	0	0
- Postage	0	3	3
Total Administration Costs	0	1,248	1,248
Program:			
Public Liability Insurance	0	57	57
Weatherization Materials	0	12,926	12,926
Labor	0	20,842	20,842
Outreach	. 0	770	770
Intake	0	990	990
Client Education/Counseling	0	770	770
Assessment	0	2,530	2,530
Equipment - Small Tools	0	187	187
Travel/Transportation	0	606	606
Maintenance & Repair	0	76	76
Total Program Costs	0	39,754	39,754
Total Costs	. 0	41,002	41,002
Revenues over (under) costs			-2

Board of Supervisors County of El Dorado Placerville, California

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL STRUCTURE BASED ON AN AUDIT OF GENERAL-PURPOSE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

We have audited the general-purpose financial statements of County of El Dorado, California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 1995, and have issued our report thereon dated December 15, 1995. In our report, our opinion was qualified because we were unable to satisfy ourselves as to the cost of amounts recorded as fixed assets.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the provisions of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-128. "Audits of State and Local Governments". Those standards and OMB Circular A-128 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the general-purpose financial statements are free of material misstatement.

In planning and performing our audit of the general-purpose financial statements of County of El Dorado for the year ended June 30, 1995, we considered its internal control structure in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the general-purpose financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control structure.

The management of County of El Dorado is responsible for establishing and maintaining an internal control structure. In fulfilling this responsibility, estimates and judgments by management are required to assess the expected benefits and related costs of internal control structure policies and procedures. The objectives of an internal control structure are to provide management with reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition, and that transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization and recorded properly to permit the preparation of the general-purpose financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Because of inherent limitations in any internal control structure, errors or irregularities may nevertheless occur and not be detected. Also, projection of any evaluation of the structure to future periods is subject to the risk that procedures may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the effectiveness of the design and operation of policies and procedures may deteriorate.

For the purpose of this report, we have classified the significant internal control structure policies and procedures in the following categories:

Accounting Application

Cash and investments
 Revenue, receivables, and receipts -- government funds
 Expenditures for goods and services and accounts payable
 Payroll and related liabilities
 Self-insurance
 Grant and similar programs

General Requirements

Political activity
Davis-Bacon Act
Civil rights
Cash management
Relocation assistance and real property acquisition
Federal financial reports
Allowable costs/cost principles
Drug-free Workplace Act
Administrative Requirements

Specific Requirements

Types of services allowed or unallowed Eligibility
 Matching, level of effort, or earmarking Reporting
 Special tests and provisions
 Monitoring subrecipients
 Cost allocation
 Claims for advances and reimbursements
 Amounts claimed or used for matching

For all of the internal control structure categories listed above, we obtained an understanding of the design of relevant policies and procedures and whether they have been placed in operation, and we assessed control risk.

Our consideration of the internal control structure would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control structure that might be material weaknesses under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control structure elements does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that errors or irregularities in amounts that would be material in relation to the general-purpose financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control structure and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses as defined above.

However, we noted certain matters involving the internal control structure and its operation that we have reported to the management of El Dorado County, California, on pages 36 through 38.

This report is intended for the information of management and the State Controller's Office of the State of California. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Certified Public Accountants

Smith, malmey+ Geles

Placerville, California March 8, 1996 ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION

Board of Supervisors County of El Dorado Placerville, California

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL STRUCTURE USED IN ADMINISTERING FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

We have audited the general-purpose financial statements of County of El Dorado, California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 1995, and have issued our report thereon dated December 15, 1995. In our report, our opinion was qualified because we were unable to satisfy ourselves as to the cost of amounts recorded as fixed assets. We have also audited the compliance of County of El Dorado, California with requirements applicable to major federal financial assistance programs and have issued our report thereon dated March 8, 1996.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the provisions of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-128. "Audits of State and Local Governments". Those standards and OMB Circular A-128 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the general-purpose financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether County of El Dorado, California complied with laws and regulations, noncompliance with which would be material to a major federal financial assistance program.

In planning and performing our audit of the general-purpose financial statements of County of El Dorado for the year ended June 30, 1995, we considered its internal control structure in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the general-purpose financial statements of County of El Dorado, California, and on its compliance with requirements applicable to major programs and to report on the internal control structure in accordance with OMB Circular A-128. This report addresses our consideration of internal control structure policies and procedures relevant to compliance with requirements applicable to federal financial assistance programs. We have addressed internal control structure policies and procedures relevant to our audit of the general-purpose financial statements in a separate report dated March 8, 1996.

The management of County of El Dorado is responsible for establishing and maintaining an internal control structure. In fulfilling this responsibility, estimates and judgments by management are required to assess the expected benefits and related costs of internal control structure policies and procedures. The objectives of an internal control structure are to provide management with reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition, that transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization and recorded properly to permit the preparation of the general-purpose financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that federal financial assistance programs are managed in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. Because of inherent limitations in any internal control structure, errors or irregularities may nevertheless occur and not be detected. Also, projection of any evaluation of the structure to future periods is subject to the risk that procedures may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the effectiveness of the design and operation of policies and procedures may deteriorate.

For the purpose of this report, we have classified the significant internal control structure policies and procedures in the following categories:

Accounting Application

- Cash and investments
- Revenue, receivables, and receipts -- government funds
- Expenditures for goods and services and accounts payable
- Payroll and related liabilities
- Grant and similar programs

General Requirements

- Political activity
- Davis-Bacon Act
- Civil rights
- Cash management
- Relocation assistance and real property acquisition
- Federal financial reports
- Allowable costs/cost principles
- Drug-free Workplace Act
- Administrative Requirements

Specific Requirements

- Types of services allowed or unallowed
- Eligibility
- Matching, level of effort, or earmarking
- Reporting
- Special tests and provisions
- Monitoring subrecipients
- Cost allocation
- Claims for advances and reimbursements
- Amounts claimed or used for matching

For all of the internal control structure categories listed above, we obtained an understanding of the design of relevant policies and procedures and whether they have been placed in operation, and we assessed control risk.

During the year ended June 30, 1995, County of El Dorado expended 74 percent of its total federal financial assistance under major federal financial assistance programs.

We performed tests of controls, as required by OMB Circular A-128, to evaluate the effectiveness of the design and operation of internal control structure policies and procedures that we considered relevant to preventing or detecting material noncompliance with specific requirements, general requirements, and requirements governing claims for advances and reimbursements and amounts claimed or used for matching that are applicable to each of the County of El Dorado, California's major federal financial assistance programs, which are identified in the accompanying Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance. Our procedures were less in scope than would be necessary to render an opinion on theses internal control structure policies and procedures. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Our consideration of the internal control structure would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control structure that might be material weaknesses under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control structure elements does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with laws and regulations that would be material to a federal financial assistance program may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control structure and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses as defined above.

However, we noted certain matters involving the internal control structure and its operation that we have reported to the management of El Dorado County, California, on pages 36 through 38.

This report is intended for the information of management and the State Controller's Office of the State of California. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Certified Public Accountants

Smite, mainey + Hilles

Placerville, California March 8, 1996 Board of Supervisors County of El Dorado Placerville, California

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE BASED ON AN AUDIT OF GENERAL-PURPOSE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

We have audited the general-purpose financial statements of County of El Dorado, California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 1995, and have issued our report thereon dated December 15, 1995. In our report, our opinion was qualified because we were unable to satisfy ourselves as to the cost of amounts recorded as fixed assets.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the provisions of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-128, "Audits of State and Local Governments." Those standards and OMB Circular A-128 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the general-purpose financial statements are free of material misstatement.

Compliance with laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to County of El Dorado, California, is the responsibility of County of El Dorado, California's management. As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the general-purpose financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of County of El Dorado, California's compliance with certain laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. However, the objective of our audit of the general-purpose financial statements was not to provide an opinion on overall compliance with such provisions. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

The results of our tests of compliance indicate that, with respect to the items tested, County of El Dorado, California, complied, in all material respects, with the provisions referred to in the third paragraph of this report; and with respect to items not tested, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that County of El Dorado, California, had not complied, in all material respects, with those provisions.

This report is intended for the information of management and the State Controller's Office of the State of California. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Smith, Malney + Hells
Certified Public Accountants

March 8, 1996

Board of Supervisors County of El Dorado Placerville. California

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO MAJOR FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

We have audited the general-purpose financial statements of County of El Dorado, California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 1995, and have issued our report thereon dated December 15, 1995. In our report, our opinion was qualified because we were unable to satisfy ourselves as to the cost of amounts recorded as fixed assets.

We have also audited the County of El Dorado, California's compliance with the requirements governing types of service allowed or not allowed; eligibility; matching, level of effort, or earmarking; reporting; claims for advances and reimbursements; cost allocation; special tests and provisions, if any; monitoring subrecipients; and amounts claimed or used for matching that are applicable to each of its major federal financial assistance programs, which are identified in the accompanying Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance, for the year ended June 30, 1995. The management of the County of El Dorado is responsible for the County of El Dorado's compliance with those requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance with those requirements based on our audit. Special tests and procedures for each major program include the following:

Child Support Enforcement Grant:

- 1. Determined that the County has attempted to establish the paternity of any child for whom there is an assignment of rights under Title IV-A or IV-E or for whom there is an application for services.
- 2. Determined that the County has attempted to locate absent parents through the establishment and utilization of locator services, provided it has established measures to safeguard information transmitted and received.
- 3. Determined that application has been made to the Courts to establish obligations for any child for whom there is an assignment of rights or for whom an application for services has been made.
- 4. Determined that the County has attempted to enforce support obligations by identifying and contacting obligors and enforcing delinquent obligations.
- 5. Determined that the County has distributed child support collections as prescribed.
- 6. Determined that the County has procedures in effect for the separation of cash handling and accounting functions.

Medical Assistance Program:

- 1. Reviewed the funding arrangements between the State and County to determine whether Federal fiscal sanctions and disallowances have been imposed and the extent to which they have been passed on to the County.
- 2. Determined that medicaid eligibility for recipients is done as prescribed.

Special Supplemental Food Programs:

- 1. Reviewed procedures for determining participant eligibility and examined records for selected participants and determined the prescribed procedures are being followed.
- 2. Ascertained nutritional risk determinations are made only by persons meeting the definition of "Competent Professional Authority".
- 3. Reviewed County's procedures for preparing and submitting its monthly claim and verified entries on selected claims to the supporting documentation.

Foster Care:

- 1. Reviewed and tested expenditures on the third quarter Administrative Expense Claim for fiscal year ended June 30, 1995, to ensure that expenditures are made for allowable purposes.
- 2. Reviewed the control system and tested case files to ensure that payments are made on behalf of eligible clients.

Assistance Payments:

- 1. Reviewed and tested expenditures on the third quarter Administrative Expense Claim for fiscal year ended June 30, 1995, to ensure that expenditures are made for allowable purposes.
- 2. Reviewed the control system and tested case files to ensure that payments are made on behalf of eligible clients.

We conducted our audit of compliance with those requirements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards; Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-128, "Audits of State and Local Governments". Those standards and OMB Circular A-128 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the requirements referred to above occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about County of El Dorado, California's compliance with those requirements. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The results of our audit procedures disclosed immaterial instances of noncompliance with the requirements referred to above, which are described on pages 46 through 54. We considered these instances of noncompliance in forming our opinion on compliance, which is expressed in the following paragraph.

In our opinion, County of El Dorado, California, complied in all material respects, with the requirements governing types of services allowed or unallowed; eligibility; matching, level of effort, or earmarking; reporting; claims for advances and reimbursements; special tests and provisions, and amounts claimed or used for matching that are applicable to each of its major federal financial assistance programs for the year ended June 30, 1995.

This report is intended for the information of management and the State Controller's Office of the State of California. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Smith, Malore, + Geles Certified Public Accountants

Placerville, California March 8, 1996 Board of Supervisors County of El Dorado Placerville, California

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH THE GENERAL REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

We have audited the general-purpose financial statements of County of El Dorado, California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 1995, and have issued our report thereon dated December 15, 1995. In our report, our opinion was qualified because we were unable to satisfy ourselves as to the cost of amounts recorded as fixed assets.

We have applied procedures to test County of El Dorado, California's compliance with the following requirements applicable to each of its federal financial assistance programs, which are identified in the Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance, for the year ended June 30, 1995.

•	Political activity
•	Davis-Bacon Act
•	Civil rights
•	Cash management
•	Relocation assistance and real property acquisition
•	Federal financial reports
•	Allowable costs/cost principles
• ,	Drug-free Workplace Act
. •	Administrative Requirements

Our procedures were limited to the applicable procedures described in the Office of Management and Budget's "Compliance Supplement for Single Audits of State and Local Governments". Our procedures were substantially less in scope than an audit, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion on County of El Dorado, California's compliance with the requirements listed in the preceding paragraph. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

With respect to the items tested, the results of those procedures disclosed no material instances of noncompliance with the requirements listed in the second paragraph of this report. With respect to items not tested, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that County of El Dorado, California had not complied, in all material respects, with those requirements. However, the results of our audit procedures disclosed immaterial instances of noncompliance with the requirements referred to above, which are described on pages 47 through 55.

This report is intended for the information of management and the State Controller's Office of the State of California. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Snite, Malney + Gills
Certified Public Accountants

Placerville, California March 8, 1996 Board of Supervisors County of El Dorado Placerville, California

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO NONMAJOR FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM TRANSACTIONS

We have audited the general-purpose financial statements of County of El Dorado, California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 1995, and have issued our report thereon dated December 15, 1995. In our report, our opinion was qualified because we were unable to satisfy ourselves as to the cost of amounts recorded as fixed assets.

In connection with our audits of the general-purpose financial statements of County of El Dorado, California, and with our consideration of the County of El Dorado, California's internal control structure used to administer federal financial assistance programs, as required by Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-128, "Audits of State and Local Governments", we selected certain transactions applicable to certain nonmajor federal financial assistance programs for the year ended June 30, 1995.

As required by OMB Circular A-128, we have performed auditing procedures to test compliance with the requirements governing types of services allowed or unallowed and eligibility that are applicable to those transactions. Our procedures were substantially less in scope than an audit, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion on the County of El Dorado, California's compliance with these requirements. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

With respect to the items tested, the results of those procedures disclosed no material instances of noncompliance with the requirements listed in the preceding paragraph. With respect to items not tested, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that County of El Dorado, California had not complied, in all material respects, with those requirements. However, the results of our audit procedures disclosed immaterial instances of noncompliance with the requirements referred to above, which are described on pages 47 through 55.

This report is intended for the information of management and the State Controller's Office of the State of California. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Certified Public Accountants

Smith, malmey + Giles

Placerville, California March 8, 1996

COUNTY OF EL DORADO MANAGEMENT COMMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1995

ACCOUNTING PROCEDURES/INTERNAL CONTROL

Finding:

There is no current accounting procedures manual.

During our review of trust accounts we noted several trust funds in which the only transactions posted during the current year were interest allocations. Trust funds should be reviewed periodically to see if still needed or can they be absorbed by the County or turned over to the state.

Salaries and wages in the general ledger are not periodically reconciled to the payroll tax reports to ensure accurate posting.

Warrants issued for CSA #7 are prepared through an interface process. There is no audit procedure as all underlying data is maintained at each respective fire station. Since the El Dorado County Board of Supervisors is the governing body for the service areas, normal dispersing procedures should be utilized.

The signature plate for checks is in the safe at the auditor/controller's office, but the safe is left open all day. This could lead to unauthorized use of the signature plate.

Recommendation:

Accounting procedures delineating internal control is the cornerstone for efficient operations minimizing opportunities for defalcation and errors. The following are suggestions to improve the existing system.

- 1. Update existing accounting manual to accommodate current FAMIS system.
- 2. Ensure that all warrants are subject to some review process, preferably at the auditor's office.
- 3. Reconcile salaries and wages in the general ledger to the payroll reports quarterly.
- 4. Evaluate trust accounts annually to determine the necessity of keeping them open.
- 5. Establish further safeguards for the signature plate.

Management Response:

1. The County agrees with this finding. We will begin the task of preparing a procedure manual for accounts payable processing, payroll processing and property tax accounting.

COUNTY OF EL DORADO MANAGEMENT COMMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1995

ACCOUNTING PROCEDURES/INTERNAL CONTROL (Continued)

- 2. The County does not agree in total with the finding. The claims submitted for CSA #7 have been reviewed and authorized by the Board of Directors of each fire district providing the medic services. However, we will institute a policy, whereby the Emergency Medical Services Director will be required to review and authorize the CSA #7 expenditures prior to processing by the Auditor-Controller's office.
- 3. The County agrees with the finding. We will immediately implement a quarterly reconciliation process between the payroll tax reports and the general ledger postings for salaries and wages.
- 4. The County agrees with the finding. We have been actively engaged in a review process for several years. During fiscal year ended June 30, 1994, a total of 32 trust funds were closed. During fiscal year ended June 30, 1995, a total of 19 trust funds were closed. So far during fiscal year ended June 30, 1996, a total of 25 trust funds have been closed. Staff will continue to review inactive trust funds and take the appropriate measures necessary for disposition of the funds. However, in our estimation, this task cannot be completed with the next fiscal year. It will take a significant time commitment to complete a review of all trust funds.
- 5. The County agrees with the finding. A separate locking compartment or lock box will be installed in the safe. The keys to the lock box will be kept by the Auditor-Controller and Assistant Auditor-Controller.

COMPUTER SOFTWARE

Finding:

The accounting software does not account properly for dates after the year 2000. There have also been improvements to the software in regards to speed of processing and flexibility of the system with expanded organizational levels. The annual maintenance fee includes the cost of upgrade. However, a new organizational structure will have to be designed.

Recommendation:

Implement plans to upgrade to FAMIS 4.2.

Management Response:

The County agrees with the proposed recommendation and plans to upgrade to FAMIS 4.2.

COUNTY OF EL DORADO MANAGEMENT COMMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1995

RISK MANAGEMENT

Finding:

Occasionally, expenses that are not traditionally charged to self-insurance programs are charged to the Risk Management Department's Liability Program. The Liability Program is currently being operated without a coverage document. A coverage document would provide a definition of the types of expenses that are charged to the Liability Program.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the Risk Management Department prepare a proposed coverage document and that the document be presented to the Board of Supervisors for adoption.

Management Response:

We agree with the recommendation.

UNDERSTATEMENT OF INTEREST REVENUE

Finding:

The County investment portfolio includes bonds and other investments purchased at a market discount or premium from the investment's face value. Current accounting procedures do not provide for amortization of discount or premium over the life of the investment. At June 30, 1994, the County had not allocated a portion of the \$1,279,092 net investment discount to fiscal year 1993/94 as interest income, thereby understating interest income. In addition, the County had not accrued interest earned but not received on treasury investments, further understating fiscal year interest income.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the County quantify and accrue the interest income in the year earned rather than when received. Such accrual will result in a one-time increase in recorded revenues.

Management Response:

The County agrees with the proposed recommendation to evaluate the outstanding discount/premium annually. However, as in fiscal year 93/94, we expect the County's share of the related interest income to be immaterial and therefore, we do not plan to record this income.

Status:

Not implemented.

RISK MANAGEMENT: SUBSTANTIATION AND RECONCILIATION OF THIRD PARTY ADMINISTRATION DISBURSEMENTS

Finding:

During the year ended June 30, 1994, control procedures were not in place to sufficiently monitor costs disbursed by third party administrators (TPAs) on behalf of the County's risk management program. This program includes liability, worker's compensation, and health benefits insurance. With over \$11 million in annual costs, these programs represent a significant transaction cycle of the County. Stronger control procedures in this area would improve safekeeping of County assets and provide for timely identification of errors and irregularities.

• The two bank accounts maintained by the TPAs were not reconciled to the County's general ledger on a monthly basis. Further, disbursements were recorded during the period the TPA imprest accounts were reimbursed by the County pool, rather than during the period of disbursement by the TPA. The County's cost records were therefore understated for those items not yet reimbursed by the County.

RISK MANAGEMENT: SUBSTANTIATION AND RECONCILIATION OF THIRD PARTY ADMINISTRATOR DISBURSEMENTS (Continued)

Finding (Continued):

- Responsibility for authorization of liability expense claims, such as for legal or litigation support services, was shifted during the year between Risk Management and the County Counsel. A procedure was not in place for Risk Management to be aware of which disbursements recorded by the TPA had County Counsel approval. Further, the County does not retain copies of invoices supporting these costs.
- In the case of Health Benefits, the TPA was reimbursed based upon TPA phone requests before the County received supporting written documentation of costs to be reimbursed.

Recommendation:

We recommend implementation of the following procedures:

- 1. Monthly reconciliation of TPA imprest bank accounts to the County's general ledger.
- 2. Recording of TPA disbursements in the general ledger based upon TPA disbursement records, in the period of disbursements, rather than upon County pool reimbursement of TPA imprest accounts.
- 3. Verification of County authorization for those invoices that exceed the TPA disbursement authority.
- 4. Reimbursement of TPA accounts after receipt of supporting disbursement documentation.

Management Response:

- 1. The County agrees with the proposed recommendation. Effective June 30, 1995, the TPA imprest bank account will be reconciled to the County's general ledger on a monthly basis.
- 2. The County agrees with the proposed recommendation. TPA disbursements for the last month of each fiscal year will be recorded in the period of disbursement by the TPA.
- 3. The County agrees with the proposed recommendation. All disbursements will require the approval of the Risk Manager.
- 4. The County disagrees with the proposed recommendation. The Risk Management staff compares TPA payment records to the imprest checking accounts on a monthly basis along with other pertinent controls. Irregularities in claims administration by the TPA would be detected at this point. As such, we intend to continue reimbursing the TPA accounts prior to receipt of supporting disbursement documentation.

RISK MANAGEMENT: SUBSTANTIATION AND RECONCILIATION OF THIRD PARTY ADMINISTRATOR DISBURSEMENTS (Continued)

Status:

Implemented.

REVIEW OF EDP INTERNAL CONTROLS

A review of EDP general controls in effect at the County of El Dorado, Information Services & Support (ISS) EDP Facility was conducted during the period October 11 through 14, 1994. The review also included a review of the EDP related application controls in effect over the FAMIS financial accounting system. The purpose of the review was to determine if the EDP general control practices provided reasonable, but not absolute, assurance of the accuracy of information in the applications processed by the facility. During our review, we found no indication of material weakness in EDP internal control. However, we did find existing situations in which overall internal control could be improved. A description of the areas in which EDP control could be improved and our recommendations to improve control follow below.

GENERAL DIRECTION OF INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Information systems are the principle means to improve productivity of employees involved with large scale, repetitive record keeping tasks typical of government agencies. Because of this fact, information systems personnel create a positive impact on the productivity of the entire organization by the applications they produce and maintain. In order to effectively use scarce information systems resources, well developed plans are needed to ensure resources, particularly human resources, are effectively deployed. Sufficient personnel resources are needed to maintain applications in a state that is current with the needs of the principal users of the application. When user requirements are not met due to the inability of the information system application to process changed or new requirements, users typically resort to extraordinary, nonautomated means to augment their automated processes. More often than not, these extraordinary processes are hastily implemented and become processes that are cumbersome and inefficient to use. Excessively cumbersome manual processes very often become processes that are error prone as well as inefficient.

Finding:

The County's Information Systems plan is out-of-date and is in need of revision. Most recently, substantial personnel cut-backs were made in ISS which strain the ability of ISS to serve its users. During our review, we were told that the persons most knowledgeable of the County's financial accounting applications would be leaving, thereby adversely impacting ISS' ability to maintain the FAMIS system. We are concerned that the needs of the accounting personnel for on-going support of the FAMIS application will be not be met, thereby requiring them to take steps outside the system in order to get their work done. Should this be the case, overall control of the system may be compromised.

GENERAL DIRECTION OF INFORMATION (Continued)

Recommendation:

We believe the County should place priority on updating the Information Systems Plan to ensure that a well thought out means to meet the ISS mission with reduced personnel is in place. An updated plan is needed to ensure that the County's scarce information systems resources are being applied to meet the high priority business needs of the County. It would be an invaluable tool to ascertain where to effectively apply information systems resources in times of budgetary constraint. We therefore strongly recommend the County update its Information Systems Plan to provide a way to meet the users needs and communicate its reduced response capability to the user community.

Management Response:

The County agrees with the proposed recommendation. A Data Processing Steering Committee is in the process of being reactivated. One of the first planned projects for this committee is to oversee the development of a new Information Systems Plan.

Status:

Implemented.

CONTINUITY OF ISS OPERATIONS

A disaster recovery plan is needed to guide an organization in the recovery of operations after either partial or complete loss of its information systems capability. Experience has shown that a well thought out disaster recovery plan has greatly aided in recovery efforts and has been instrumental in shortening the time of recovery when compared to the recovery of similar size installations which did not have a plan. It is also highly beneficial in determining what components of the operations need to be backed up off-site or otherwise safeguarded to ensure restart after a loss.

Finding:

ISS had begun the development of a Disaster Recovery Plan to resume ISS operation in the event of power outage. However, the project to develop the Disaster Recovery Plan was stopped and the plan was not completed. Nonetheless, a Disaster Recovery Plan is needed to guide the County in the recovery of operations after either partial or complete loss of its information systems capability.

Recommendation:

Because of the importance of information systems to the operation of the County, the ISS computer facility is an Achilles' Heel of some significance. The County should review the circumstances surrounding its cessation of the project to develop a disaster recovery plan. ISS should then complete, implement and test its Disaster Recovery Plan. One is needed to ensure the County's ability to continue operations.

CONTINUITY OF ISS OPERATIONS (Continued)

Management Response:

The County agrees with the proposed recommendation. The Data Processing Steering Committee will continue the development of a disaster recovery plan.

Status:

Will be implemented prior to June 30, 1996.

SECURITY

Sound security provisions are an important element to good management control and accounting internal control. Good information systems security lessens the likelihood of intentional as well as unintentional system abuse and protects against the misappropriation of funds in financial systems.

Finding:

As a general practice, the standard log-on is used for accessing the computer system. After log-on, passwords are used to protect selected applications if RACF has been incorporated into the application. A thirty day mandatory password change cycle is employed for these applications. Other applications which do not use RACF have varying password provisions or none at all.

Recommendation:

A common, mandatory password change policy should be established for all users of the ISS computer facility. The password change policy should be implemented in a way which disallows use of the application if the password is not changed.

Management Response:

The County disagrees with the proposed recommendation. Both accounting related packages (FAMIS and MSA Payroll) require RACF security. Access will be denied to RACF if passwords are not changed every six months.

Status:

Implemented.

Finding:

Failed password use attempts are recorded by the system. However, the failed attempts log is not reviewed by anyone as a matter of routine.

Recommendation:

The Internal Auditor or the Password Administrator should review and investigate repeated failed password attempts and initiate appropriate corrective action.

SECURITY (Continued)

Management Response:

The County agrees with the proposed recommendation. A policy has been instituted in which the Password Administrator reviews and investigates repeated failed password attempts and initiates appropriate corrective action.

Status:

Implemented.

Finding:

Application passwords used by employees which have been terminated and employees who have received termination notices are not promptly deleted upon notice of termination. Consequently, former employees or employees who have been notified of termination, and who may be disgruntled, have routine access to the applications and are in a position to alter or misuse stored data.

Recommendation:

A procedure should be implemented which ensures that all employee termination's are made known on the date of termination, as a matter of routine practice, to the responsible password administrators. The password administrator then should delete all passwords of the terminated employee.

Management Response:

The County agrees with the proposed recommendation. A procedure is being implemented whereby the Department of Human Resources will notify the Password Administrator of all employees who have been terminated or transferred to another department. The Administrator will then terminate the related passwords.

Status:

Implemented.

JOB ROTATION

It is a sound information systems practice to rotate critical operations and maintenance jobs to ensure continuity of available personnel with the requisite knowledge of the information systems in use. Job rotation also enhances internal control and complements segregation of duties. Likewise, regular vacations should be encouraged to further enhance job rotation and segregation of duties.

Finding:

Segregation of duties appears to be reasonable. However, jobs are not routinely rotated and we were told a number of employees have amassed a considerable amount of vacation time.

SECURITY (Continued)

Recommendation:

Job rotation procedures should be implemented that require periodic rotation of duties. Likewise, regular vacations should be strongly encouraged for all ISS employees.

Management Response:

The County agrees with the proposed recommendation. Due to recent budget reductions, job rotation has been severely restricted. Cross-training and back-up assignments will be utilized to the greatest extent possible. Management will encourage CIS employees to take regular vacations.

Status:

Implemented except for job rotation.

SYSTEM BACK-UP

System back-up in the form of magnetic media copies of all ISS compute applications and records is necessary for the ability to promptly restore operations in the event of a disaster. Back-up copies of all operational procedures should be likewise maintained as should a sufficient supply of critical forms be backed-up.

Finding:

ISS applications are backed-up weekly and the back-up files are stored off site in the adjacent building. One generation of the back-up files is maintained at this location. Operating procedures and critical forms are not similarly backed-up.

Recommendation:

At least two generations of software and data should be backed-up off-site to ensure the ability of one useable back-up set. The back-up site should be moved to a location that is sufficiently removed from the primary site so that it is not vulnerable to the same disaster that impacts the primary site. Operating procedures and a sufficient supply of critical forms should be maintained at the back-up site.

Management Response:

The County agrees with the proposed recommendation. CIS is in the process of locating a back-up site for storage of two generations of software, data, and operating procedures.

Status:

Implemented.

DOCUMENTATION

Good documentation is necessary to provide a reference to users, programmers and others who have a need to use or maintain an information system. It provides an audit trail of changes to the application and is an invaluable tool in the restarting of failed or destroyed components of applications.

Finding:

The County has not implemented a standard to ensure its applications are adequately supported by system and program support documentation.

Recommendation:

The County should implement a documentation standard to support its information systems. The standard should require, at minimum, the following components:

- Narrative description and diagram of each information system
- List of programs in the system
- Description of the records used by the system and each data element. The description should include a cross reference of files/records to programs
- Description of each report and screen prepared by the system which includes the source of each item included in the report/screen
- Program description of each program that describes the inputs, processes performed, and outputs of the program. A chronological list of all changes made to the program should be included.

Management Response:

The County agrees with the proposed recommendation. Documentation standards to support the information systems are in the process of being published.

Status: Implemented.

CIVIL RIGHTS - SOCIAL SERVICES - CFDA No.'s 10.561, 93.556, 93.560, 93.561, 93.645, 93.566, 93.568, 93.569, 93.672, 93.674, 93.778

Finding:

The Department of Social Services had a Civil Rights Compliance Review in June 1995. The following deficiencies were noted:

- The name, address and phone number of the Civil Rights Coordinator were not on the California Department of Social Services' civil rights posters (PUB 86).
- "Your Rights" pamphlet (PUB 13, 10/94) was not available to the public in Spanish.
- Primary language and ethnic origin of applicants/recipients are not coded properly.
- No consistent procedure was used to document an applicant's/recipient's acceptance or refusal of interpreters and forms when their primary language is other than English.
- No procedure was used to document in the case record the method used to provide effective bilingual services when non-bilingual staff communicate with their non-English speaking clients.
- Forms, notices and other written materials are not provided to all applicants/recipients in their primary language.
- Insufficient accessibility for individuals with disabilities including insufficient number of disabled parking spaces including van accessible parking space which has the required dimensions and signage; insufficient space in front of and to each side of the public telephone to allow approach by a person in a wheelchair; inadequate height accessibility for towel dispensers, toilet seat cover dispensers, and mirrors; no raised letters and braille identification markers on public restroom walls next to latch side of door; and interior and exterior door pressure that exceeds 5 lbs.

Recommendation:

- Update civil rights posters (PUB 86) to reflect the new Civil Rights Coordinator information.
- Ordered "Your Rights" pamphlet (PUB 13, 10/94) in Spanish.
- Train staff to review cases when CA 7's are filed to ensure primary language and ethnic origin is coded properly.

CIVIL RIGHT - SOCIAL SERVICES - CFDA No.'s 10.561, 93.566, 93.560, 93.561, 93.645, 93.656, 93.658, 93.659, 93.672, 93.674, 93.778 (Continued)

Recommendation: (Continued)

- Develop procedure to document applicant's/recipient's acceptance or refusal of interpreters and forms when their primary language is other than English.
- Develop procedure to document in case record the method used to provide effective bilingual services when non-bilingual staff communicate with their non-English speaking clients.
- Develop procedure to ensure that forms, notices and other written material are provided to applicants/recipients in their primary language to ensure effective bilingual services.
- Prepare work orders to correct deficiencies at each physical location.

Management Response:

We agree with the finding and recommendation.

Corrective Action Plan:

- All civil rights posters (PUB 86) have been updated to reflect the name, address, and telephone number of the Civil Rights Coordinator. The civil rights posters will be updated to reflect the new Civil Rights Coordinator information following relocation to new Placerville offices in early January 1996.
- Department of Social Services has ordered the "Your Rights" pamphlet (PUB 13, 10/94) in Spanish, from the Department of Social Services warehouse. The order was placed on December 13, 1995. Effective January 16, 1996, all Placerville offices will be relocated to 3057 Briw Road, Placerville, CA 95667, and all required pamphlets will be made available for the taking by the public at the main reception area.
- At an eligibility supervisors meeting held on November 20, 1995, supervisors were instructed to train staff at individual unit meetings to review their cases when CA 7's are filed to ensure primary language and ethnic origin is coded properly.
- Procedures were developed and distributed to all Eligibility Supervisors on December 13, 1995 in a document entitled "Primary language/ethnic origin documentation" to document an applicant's/recipient's acceptance or refusal of interpreters and forms when their primary language is other than English and document in the case record the method used to provide effective bilingual services when non-bilingual staff communicate with their non-English speaking clients.

CIVIL RIGHTS - SOCIAL SERVICES - CFDA No.'s 10.561, 93.566, 93.560, 93.561, 93.645, 93.566, 93.658, 93.659, 93.672, 93.674, 93.778 (Continued)

Corrective Action Plan: (Continued)

- El Dorado County negotiated new lease facilities which complied with all handicapped recommendations. The Board of Supervisors approved a new facility for the consolidation of all Placerville Department of Social Services. The move commenced January 6, 1996.
- Work orders have been prepared to correct the handicap deficiencies for South Lake Tahoe. Work orders were submitted November 3, 1995.

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES: ADMINISTRATIVE AND ASSISTANCE EXPENSE CLAIM - FOR THE QUARTER ENDED MARCH, 1994 - CFDA No.'s 10.561, 93.556, 93.560, 93.561, 93.645, 93.656, 93.658, 93.659, 93.672, 93.674, 93.778

Finding:

The California Department of Social Services (CDSS) Fiscal Monitoring Unit (FMU) conducted fiscal monitoring in El Dorado County on July 18-28, 1994. The scope of the monitoring was primarily the validation of costs reported on the administrative and assistance expense claims. The audit found some minor deficiencies.

A 100 percent review of the Generic Time Study records (DFA 10s) for Social Services, Eligibility, Welfare Fraud, and Employment Services functions was completed.

- There were five minor employee coding errors, five time study records lacked employee or supervisor signatures or dates, and three contained incomplete identifying information.
- A spreadsheet formula error contributed to the understatement of \$712.80 in Direct Cost of Trainees/Purchase of Services on the DFA 325.1.

A 100 percent review of Support Staff Time Reports (DFA 7s) for the March 1994 quarter was completed.

- The clerical support hours for Social Services-General and Eligibility-General on the DFA 7A, Summary of Clerical and Administrative Hours and Salaries, for the March 1994 quarter are understated by 21 hours. This may have been caused by an error in a spreadsheet formula.
- Twelve DFA 7s were lacking supervisor signatures and dates, and two time studies contained mathematical errors.

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES: ADMINISTRATIVE AND ASSISTANCE EXPENSE CLAIM - FOR THE QUARTER ENDED MARCH, 1994 - CFDA No.'s 10.561, 93.556, 93.560, 93.561, 93.645, 93.566, 93.568, 93.659, 93.672, 93.674, 93.778 (Continued)

Finding: (Continued)

A 100 percent review of the Allocable Support Operating Costs reported on the March 1994 Administrative Expense Claim (AEC) was completed.

• The Department of Social Services claimed \$228.90 in Other Operating Costs incorrectly. The amount should have been charged to Staff Development, Personnel Services/Operating Costs.

A review was made of all records associated with the DFA 325.1B, Direct Cost Input Schedule.

- Costs totaling \$220 listed under Family Reunification should have been placed in Family Maintenance according to the supportive backup documentation.
- A review of the GAIN cases revealed that attendance records for education were missing from the file for two participants.
- The Child Care Provider Statements and the Child Care Authorization and Claim Form were incomplete. The Provider Statements did not provide the name or age of the child/children to receive care. Time frames listing the dates for the onset and completion of services rendered were not given.
- During the review of GAIN child care costs it was determined that the March 1994 quarter AEC did not reflect the separation of federally eligible and non-federally eligible child care costs in three cases.

To compile the Federal/Nonfederal Persons Count for the March 1994 quarter, the Department of Social Services uses the persons count from the CA 800 FC, Summary Report of Assistance Expenditures - Federal Children in Foster Care, and the CA 800 FC, Summary Report of Assistance Expenditure - Nonfederal Children in Foster Care and deducts the El Dorado County Probation count. The CDSS recommended source for this report is the CA 237 FC, Monthly Caseload Movement Report.

A review was made of the CA 800 FC, Summary Report of Assistance Expenditures - Federal Children in Foster Care, and the CA 800A FC, Summary Report of Assistance Expenditures - Nonfederal Children in Foster Care, contra rolls, and backup documentation for completeness, accuracy and allowability. Incorrect social worker rates were applied to four group homes during the month of March 1994 causing the Federal Foster Care Claim (CA 800 FC - FED) to be overreported by \$1,556.

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES: ADMINISTRATIVE AND ASSISTANCE EXPENSE CLAIM - FOR THE QUARTER ENDED MARCH, 1994 - CFDA No.'s 10.561, 93.556, 93.560, 93.561, 93.645, 93.566, 93.658, 93.659, 93.672, 93.674, 93.778 (Continued)

Recommendation:

- Department of Social Services submit a revised March 1994 quarter Administrative Expense Claim reflecting errors found.
- Inform first-line supervisors that it is their responsibility to ensure the accuracy of the time studies at the time they review, certify, and sign the documents. Conduct ongoing training for staff and first-line supervisors regarding the importance of complete and accurate time study information.
- Maintain records that demonstrate a clear audit trail for Gain cases and Child Care.
- Use the CA 237, Monthly Caseload Movement Report, to report Federal/ Nonfederal Persons count.
- Adjust overreported amount of \$1,556 on CA 800 FC (FED).

Management Response:

We agree with the findings and recommendations.

Corrective Action Plan:

- Submitted on November 10, 1994 the March 1994 quarter adjusted claim, reflecting the corrective actions identified in the monitoring report.
- The department's policy and procedures manual includes a time study procedure that informs supervisors of their responsibility to ensure the accuracy of time studies. Once each quarter prior to the mid-month time study, time study instructions are reviewed with supervisors.
- Training was given to GAIN staff to emphasize the importance of securing and correctly filing documentation for GAIN case files and Child Care.
- The CA237 Monthly Caseload Movement Report will be the basis for reporting Federal/Nonfederal Person counts.
- Adjusted overreported amount of \$1,556 of Federal Foster Care (CA 800 FC) in May, 1995.

COMMUNITY SERVICES - WIC - CFDA #10.557

Finding:

WIC Supplemental Food Program Monthly Financial Report for October 1994 was not filed until December 2, 1994. The report is due within 30 days.

The Audit Report dated July 20, 1995, issued by the State Controller's Office found that the provider's inventory of embossed vendor cards on hand did not agree with the card listing issued by the California Department of Health Services' WIC Office (DHS-WIC). The reconciliation revealed that the provider did not possess 23 of the cards on the DHS-WIC listing.

Recommendation:

File report timely.

The provider should contact DHS-WIC to request any embossed vendor cards it does not have, and communicate any errors it can detect in the listing maintained by DHS-WIC.

Management Response:

- We agree with the first finding and recommendation.
- On the issue of the embossed vendor cards, the reason that our WIC Program has not had separate vendor cards for each vendor by each clinic is that the State Department of Health Services has not provided a set of cards. Embossed vendor cards will become obsolete in April, 1996 (vouchers will be issued by computer). It would not be practical or responsible to expend resources in developing a complete set of cards at the point in time that they are becoming obsolete.

Corrective Action Plan:

- Ensure report is filed timely.
- The Community Services director has communicated to DHS-WIC that our proposed corrective action is to simply return all embossed vendor cards in May, 1996.

FAMILY SUPPORT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW - CFDA #93.563

Finding:

The purpose of the internal annual Performance Review was to evaluate rate of compliance with state and federal requirements. The review period covered July 1, 1994 through April 30, 1995 and reviewed 280 cases. Child Support Performance Review Bureau verified the results of the Child Support Program performance review. Based on the county's report the following components were above 80 percent and therefore in substantial compliance:

Locate Absent Parent
IRS/FTB Intercept
Collections and Distribution

UIB/SDI Intercept Interstate (URESA) Case Closure

Five components, Establishing Paternity, Establishing/Adjusting Support Orders, Enforcement of Support Orders, Liens, and Medical Support, were found to be in marginal compliance (between 75-80). Two components, Case Intake and Wage Assignment were below 75 percent.

The findings are statistically significant and the county is found to be out of compliance in these components. Four other review components, Review and Adjustment, Quarterly Notice, Public Outreach and Excess Incentives were also found to be in compliance.

Case Intake - the county was not completing all the intake requirements within the 20 day time frame.

Wage Assignment - Wage assignments are not served on employers within the required 15 calendar days.

Recommendation:

Implement Corrective Action Plan written by Division's Compliance Analysts.

- Institute quarterly quality assurance program reviewing 200 cases each quarter recommended by Corrective Action Plan.
- Organize into team units that are responsible for all functions on any case assigned to that team.
- Ensure that Division's Compliance Analysts remain in the County's budget to ensure that the County remains in compliance and does not fall out of Tier I incentives to base level of 6%.

FAMILY SUPPORT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW - CFDA #93.563 (Continued)

Management Response:

We agree with the finding and recommendation.

Corrective Action Plan:

- The State Department of Social Services has monitored the Corrective Action Plan. We expect the Family Support Division will be certified back into compliance effective January, 1996 and eligible for Tier II incentives of 2.25% of collections in addition to Tier I incentives of 11%.
- The first quarterly quality assurance review has been completed as of December 15, 1995.
- The division was reorganized in to a "cradle-to-grave" team concept in February, 1995.
- The department's fiscal year ended June 30, 1997 budget request will include two Family Support Compliance Analyst positions the entire year.

ADMINISTRATION OF CDBG BLOCK GRANT - CFDA #14.228

Finding:

The Department of Housing and Community Development during a fiscal monitoring visit for periods from April, 1993 through June, 1994 found the following findings regarding CDBG No. 93-EDBG-217.

- The grant funds are commingled with program income with no separate accounting for general administration.
- The County received invoices from EDC, however the charges were not separated by grant activity such as program activity, activity delivery and general administration costs.
- The County has not returned interest earned on CDBG advances. All interest and investment revenue earned on advances must be returned to the State, at least on a semi-annual basis. Grantees may keep interest amounts up to \$100 per year for administrative expenses.
- The Cash Request and Report and Quarterly Narrative Reports have not been submitted on a timely basis.
- The Economic Development Council prepared the financial reports, unfortunately the County did not reconcile the reported amounts to their accounting records.

ADMINISTRATION OF CDBG BLOCK GRANT - CFDA #14.228(Continued)

Recommendation:

- Program income be accounted for separately and not commingled with other funds. CDBG receipts and disbursements must be accounted for separately by grant and grant activity, including general administration.
- The County must determine the amount of past interest earned by CDBG cash advances and return it to HCD.
- When a subcontractor prepares the financial reports, reconcile the reports to grantees books of original entry.
- Submit a corrective action plan to HCD to resolve current and prevent future findings.

Management Response:

We agree with the finding and recommendation.

Corrective Action Plan:

- The County will establish separate budget units for program income and general administration for all currently active grants and all future grants. We now require consultants to segregate their charges by the grant activities also.
- The County returned all interest earned related to cash advances of November 13, 1995. In the future, cash advances will be kept in a separate budget unit and monitored on a quarterly basis. Any income earned will be returned to the State.
- The Community Services Department reconciles all contractor and CDBG accounts on a monthly basis.
- A corrective action plan will be written and submitted to HCD.

ADMINISTRATION OF CDBG BLOCK GRANT - CFDA # 14.228

Finding:

The County did not comply with the following terms of an economic development grant in administering a loan extended to American Traveler Press (ATP).

- The County did not obtain evidence that ATP had secured other financing sources beyond the County's loan. ATP's business plan, loan application, and grant application provided for owner equity and private loans as additional financing that did not materialize. ATP's inability to locate financing resources and its undercapitalization was a factor in the cash flow shortage that led to ATP's business failure and inability to repay the County's loan.
- The County did not file required quarterly reports with the State on the value of other funding obtained by ATP.
- The County did not secure collateral pledged by ATP, including a second deed of trust on real property. A form UCC-1 received for purchased equipment was not perfected.
- Loan draws were disbursed to fund costs incurred by ATP prior to the date of state authorization.
- Quarterly financial statements were not required of ATP to demonstrate financial activity and condition.

The County contracted with the Economic Development Corporation (EDC) for assistance in administering this grant and loan. However, the written contract with EDC was prepared subsequent to the County's initial disbursement of funds. Therefore, procedures were not in place to sufficiently administer the loan and ensure compliance prior to actual disbursement. Further, the County did not sufficiently monitor EDC's administration effort after the contract was awarded to ensure that the County's grant compliance requirements were satisfied.

Recommendation:

We recommend the County review grant compliance requirements and establish internal procedures to monitor compliance before implementing grant programs. In addition, we recommend the County comply with the contract administration terms of Article VI, paragraph 602, of the County charter (adopted November, 1994), which states that each contract shall identify the County officer or employee with responsibility for administering the contract.

Management Response:

County Administrative Office staff has discussed this matter with County Counsel who indicates general agreement with the finding that the County did not comply with the enumerated terms of a CDBG economic development grant in administering a loan extended to American Traveler Press (ATP). County Counsel would offer the following clarifying comments, however.

ADMINISTRATION OF CDBG BLOCK GRANT - CFDA # 14.228 (Continued)

- The County did receive a letter from Western Sierra National Bank offering ATP a \$200,000 credit line, and obtained oral assurances of outside financing, or imminent outside financing, from ATP officers prior to disbursing funds under the loan.
- The County received a draft UCC-1 form on equipment which was one of the types of collateral pledged by ATP in the loan agreement, but the UCC-1 interest was never perfected.
- No loans draws were disbursed by the County prior to the date of state authorization, but ATP did use loan funds to reimburse costs incurred by ATP before state authorization.
- Although the County-Economic Development Corporation (EDC) grant/loan
 administration contract was not effective until July 1, 1993, EDC had been intimately
 involved with the grant and loan processing from its inception, and the County and EDC
 had a past pattern and practice by which the County had an expectation that EDC was
 administering and would administer the program with a substantial degree of
 independence.

Corrective Action Plan:

- 1. Community Services will coordinate the County's CDBG loan programs, other than ATP. The Department has successfully administered several CDBG grants. CDBG has three types of grant programs, Economic Development, General and Economic Development Planning and Technical Assistance and General Allocation. Housing grants fall under the General Allocation program. Administration and reporting requirements between the three programs are similar.
- 2. Internal controls and monitoring procedures are an integral part of existing grants, and will be applied to all subsequent grants. Written program guidelines and responsibilities have been developed for the Housing grant.
- 3. The County will comply with the grant administration terms of the County charter, which was adopted during FY 94/95, by identifying the grant administrator in subsequent grant agreements.

Status: Implemented.

FAMILY SUPPORT: AUTHORIZATION OF DISREGARD LIST - CFDA # 93.563

Finding:

There was a six-month period during which a signed authorization was not prepared for the monthly listing of disregard payments by the District Attorney Family Support office. A signed authorization provides evidence that appropriate controls have been exercised over the preparation of the payment listing, and is included in procedures established by the Auditor/Controller's office.

Recommendation:

The Auditor/Controller's office should review Family Support authorization documents received for compliance with procedures.

Management Response:

We agree with the finding and recommendation.

Corrective Action Plan:

This item has been reviewed with staff. The accountant-auditor will review the authorized claim voucher and backup documentation before disregard check vouchers are interfaced for payment.

Status:

No instance noted in current year.

FOSTER CARE PAYMENT TO INELIGIBLE PROVIDER - CFDA # 93,658

Finding:

During our testing of 25 Foster Care disbursements, we noted one Emergency Assistance case in which payment was made to a facility licensed by the State, but not licensed as a Foster Care provider. A total of \$573 was disbursed before the licensing deficiency was noted and the case was discontinued for Federal Foster Care funding. The February amount of \$335 was properly paid with only County funds. The January payment of \$238 was improperly charged to federal assistance.

Recommendation:

The \$238 which was improperly charged to the Federal Foster Care program should be credited to that program and the amount charged against County paid assistance. We recommend that licensing be investigated more thoroughly for Emergency Assistance cases in order to better advise the Court in these cases.

Management Response:

We agree with the finding and recommendation.

FOSTER CARE PAYMENT TO INELIGIBLE PROVIDER - CFDA #93.568(Continued)

Corrective Action Plan:

- 1. The Placerville and Tahoe Accounting Units will stop any payments being made to inappropriately licensed facilities. This will be accomplished through on-line edits that would appear when incorrect data is entered into the system.
- 2. The Department will credit the incorrect federal funds charge and record the expenditure as a County charge. The adjustment was made in the May 1995 monthly claim for EA-Foster Care.

Status:

No instance noted in current year.

RECONCILIATION OF FOOD STAMP ISSUANCE, REPORT FNS-46 - CFDA # 10.551

Finding:

California State Department of Social Services regulations #63-703.2 and #63-704.125 require that the County submit the "Issuance Reconciliation Report Form" (FNS-46), which reconciles the record-for-issuance and the master issuance file. During our testing, we noted that the FNS-4 was not reconciled in accordance with the procedures manual available to the technician. Per these instructions, line 7 of the FNS-4 should include both the value of the prior month's returns, from line 10 of FNS-250, and the total of the current month's "Food Stamps Destroyed" report (FNS-471).

Recommendation:

- 1. The County should include the FNS-471 total in the amount on line 7 of the FNS-4, along with the prior month's returns.
- 2. The County should use the FNS-46 to reconcile the FNS-2250 to the County's "Monthly Food Stamp Issuance Report" (ISS-WLX-320), as adjusted by the County and the Contractor. A log of faxed adjustments should be updated daily to allow for ease of reconciliation at month end.
- 3. The reconciliation should be reviewed and approved by lead worker.

Management Response:

We agree with the recommendation.

RECONCILIATION OF FOOD STAMP ISSUANCE, REPORT FNS-46 - CFDA #10.551(Continued)

Corrective Action Plan:

- 1. Account Clerk will include the FNS-471 total in the amount on line 7 of the FNS-46, along with the prior month's returns.
- 2. Account Clerk will use the FNS-46 to reconcile the FNS-250 to the County ISS WLX-320, "Monthly Food Stamps Issuance Report", as adjusted by FAX between the County and Contractor. A log of FAX adjustments will be updated daily to virtually eliminate any additional reconciliation at month end.
- 3. The reconciliation will be reviewed and approved by lead worker at month end.
- 4. Procedure #1 above has already been implemented. Procedures #2 and #3 will be implemented immediately.

Status:

Recommendation

1. Implemented.

Recommendation

2. Implemented.

Recommendation

3. Not implemented.

Management Response:

The recommendation action #3 was not taken and the Principal Lead Worker (PLW) retired in June, 1995. A new PLW was hired in January, 1996; they have implemented the prescribed instruction.